

# SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 49-Sri Naina Deviji

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **Five**

(c) State and District: **Himachal Pradesh, District: Bilaspur**


(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: **08-12-2022**

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **07-01-2023**

(f) Name of the elected candidate: **Sh. Randhir Sharma (BJP)**


1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
Sr. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C-13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party ( As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement )		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Randhir Sharma (Bharatiya Janata Party) /	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	2549514/-	Yes	2500000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	--
2	Ram Lal Thakur (Indian National Congress) /	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	1685806/-	Yes	1000000/-	Nil	595000/- (as per schedule -9)	Nil	--
3	Narinder Singh (Aam Aadmi Party) /	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	253324/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	40000/-	Nil	--

4	Deepak Kumar (Kashtriya Devbhumi Party)	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	552250/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	532250/-	Nil	--
5 <i>Shag</i>	<del>Deep</del> Singh (Communist Party of India)	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	122650/-	Yes	122000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	--

  
 District Election Officer (DC)  
 Bilaspur District Bilaspur HP  
 Dist. Bilaspur (H.P.)-1/400

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any; *Nil*

Date: *7/1/2023*

  
 Signature of Expenditure Observer



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 1



Name of the State: H.P. District: Bilaspur Election: Vidhan Sabha Election-2022

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Randhir Sharma S/O Krishan Lal Vill. Guru ka Lahaur PO – Bassi Tehsil Sri Naina Devi Ji Distt. Bilaspur H.P MO -9418022699
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	49-Sri Naina Deviji
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Randhir Sharma
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 2549514 /-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		N.A			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		N.A			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		N.A			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		No			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -
	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -
	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer /RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		N.A			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		N.A			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice		N.A			
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?		N.A			
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation		N.A			



	received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 31/12/23</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p></p> <p>Pankaj Rai, IAS District Election Officer (DC) Bilaspur, District Bilaspur HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- NA.		
Date: 31/12/23.		<p></p> <p>Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 2



Name of the State: H.P. District: Bilaspur Election: Vidhan Sabha Election-2022

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Ram Lal Thakur S/O Paras Ram Vill. Ghail Tehsil Sadar Distt. Bilaspur H.P
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	49-Sri Naina Deviji
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Randhir Sharma
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	RS. 16,85,806/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been	Yes

	lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		N.A			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		N.A			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		N.A			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect  (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect  (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		No			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -
	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -
	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer /RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period			Yes		
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-			N.A		
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting			N.A		
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice			N.A		
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?			N.A		
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply			N.A		



	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 7/1/2023</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p></p> <p>Pankaj Rai, IAS District Election Officer (DC) Distt. Bilaspur (H.B.), 174001 Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, HP</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- NA</p> <p>Date: 7/1/2023</p> <p> Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>		

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03

Name of the State: H.P. District: Bilaspur Election: Vidhan Sabha Election-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Narinder Singh S/O Ram Chand Vill. Uttapar PO - Makri Tehsil Sri Naina Devi Ji Distt. Bilaspur H.P MO - 9418014499
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	49-Sri Naina Deviji
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Randhir Sharma
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	RS. 2,53,324/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been	Yes

	lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961																									
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A																								
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A																								
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A																								
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A																								
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No																								
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:	Yes																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th><th>Date</th><th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th><th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th><th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th><th>Amount understated by the candidate</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td></tr> <tr> <td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td></tr> <tr> <td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -																					
- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -																					
- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -																					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer /RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes																								
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A																								
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A																								



	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 7/11/2023</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p></p> <p>Pankaj Rai, IAS Distt. Election Officer (DC) District Election Officer (DC) Distt. Bilaspur (H.P.)-174003 Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- NA		
Date: 7/11/2023		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 04


Name of the State: H.P. District: Bilaspur Election: Vidhan Sabha Election-2022

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Deepak Kumar S/O Sh. Baldev Singh Vill & PO Swahan. Tehsil Sri Naina Devi Ji Distt. Bilaspur H.P
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	49-Sri Naina Deviji
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Randhir Sharma
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	RS. 5,52,250/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been	Yes



	lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961																									
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A																								
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A																								
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A																								
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A																								
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No																								
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:	Yes																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th><th>Date</th><th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th><th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th><th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th><th>Amount understated by the candidate</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td></tr> <tr> <td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td></tr> <tr> <td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -																					
- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -																					
- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -																					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer /RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes																								
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A																								
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A																								

	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 7/1/2023</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p></p> <p>Pankaj Raj, IAS District Election Officer (DC) Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- NA.		
Date: 7/1/2023.		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 05

Name of the State: H.P. District: Bilaspur Election: Vidhan Sabha Election-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Bhag Singh S/O Tilak Raj Vill. Dharot PO – Lakhnoo Tehsil Sri Naina Devi Ji Distt. Bilaspur H.P
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Communist Party of India
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	49-Sri Naina Deviji
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Randhir Sharma
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	RS. 1,22,650/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been	Yes

	lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961																									
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A																								
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A																								
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A																								
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A																								
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A																								
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A																								
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No																								
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:	Yes																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th><th>Date</th><th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th><th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th><th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th><th>Amount understated by the candidate</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td></tr> <tr> <td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td></tr> <tr> <td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td><td>- NA -</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -																					
- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -																					
- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -																					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer /RO / Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes																								
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A																								
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A																								
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A																								
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A																								
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A																								



	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 7/1/2023</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Pankaj Rai, IAS District Election Officer (DC) Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- NA.		
Date: 7/1/2023.		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



**Summary of DEO's Scrutiny Report from the State of Himachal Pradesh General Elections to State Legislative Assembly Year -2022.**

**Category-1-Candidates where no defects pointed out by the DEO in the DEO's Scrutiny Report**

Sl. No.	District	No. & Name of AC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/Independent	Remarks
1	Bilaspur	49-Sri Naina Deviji	Sh. Bhag Singh	Non-Returned	Communist Party of India	
2	Bilaspur	49-Sri Naina Deviji	Sh. Randhir Sharma	Returned	Bharatiya Janata Party	-
3	Bilaspur	49-Sri Naina Deviji	Sh. Ram Lal Thakur	Non-Returned	Indian National Congress	-
4	Bilaspur	49-Sri Naina Deviji	Sh. Deepak Kumar	Non-Returned	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	-
5	Bilaspur	49-Sri Naina Deviji	Sh. Narinder Singh	Non-Returned	Aam Aadmi Party	-

**Category-2-Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts of election expenses**

Sl. No.	District	No. & Name of AC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/Independent	Remarks
-NIL-						

**Category-3-Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts within prescribed time of 30 days of declaration of results**

Sl. No.	District	No. & Name of AC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/Independent	Remarks (mention (i) last date for submission of accounts, (ii) date of submission of accounts and the number of days delayed, (iii) reason for late submission, if obtained by the DEO)
-NIL-						

**Category-4-Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts of election expenses in the manner required under law ( cases other than Category 2 & 3)**

Sl. No.	District	No. & Name of AC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/Independent	Remarks (mention the deficiencies found and amount of understatement, if any)
-NIL-						

**Category-5-Candidates who failed to lodge their accounts in time and manner (cases other than Category 2, 3 & 4)**

Sl. No.	District	No. & Name of AC	Name of Candidate	Returned or Non-returned	Party Affiliation/Independent	Remarks ( mention (i) last date for submission of accounts, (ii) date of submission of accounts and the number of days delayed, (iii) reason for late submission, if obtained by the DEO, (iv) the deficiencies found and amount of understatement, if any)
-NIL-						

Date: 7/1/2023

District Election Officer (DC)  
Bilaspur District Bilaspur  
Bilaspur (H.P.) - 17400