SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 16-Kangra

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Kangra

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07/01/2023

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 06

(d) Date of declaration of result of election 08/12/2022

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Pawan Kumar Kajal

1-		3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
	and Party Affiliation	Due Date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	lodged in the	lodged in the manner	zed by the	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of	the Party (As r Part-III of Abst Statement)	eported in ract	Total expenses other/entities as Part-III of Abstra Statement	reported in act	Remarks of the Expenditur e Observer
				format (Yes/No)	by law	(as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	expenditure (Should be similar to point No. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and Mention names of donors)		
	Choudhary Surinder Kaku (Indian National Congress)	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	17,75,706/-	Yes	30,00,000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
	Pawan Kumar Kajal (Bharatiya Janata Party)	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	31,68,145.53/-	Yes	25,00,000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
	Vijay Kumar (Bahujan Samaj Party)	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	2,07,817/-	Yes	-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
	Raj Kumar (Aam Aadmi Party)	07.01.2023	04.01.2023	Yes	Yes	8,34,265/-	Yes	-	NII	Nil	Nil	

5 Amit Verma (Independent)	07.01.2023	04.01.2023	Yes	Yes	9,07,082/-	Yes		NII	Nil	Nil	-
6 Kulbhash Chand (Independent)	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	13,69,268.80	Yes	-	NII	Nil	Nil	

District Election Officer (DC) C)
Kangra at Dharamshala

Comments if any, by the Expenditure Observer

Date: > # January, 2023

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(2)

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961							
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO					
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Choudhary Surinder Kaku, Ward No. 7, Kangra Tehsil & District Kangra (HP)					
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress					
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Kangra					
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Pawan Kumar Kajal					
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022					
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023					
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes					
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes					
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes					
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023					
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes					
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023 Original					
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes					
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA					
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA					
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate						
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-					

15.			ection expenses f the Abstract S	, ·	17,75,706/-		
16.	expenses of t	he cand	O's opinion, the lidate has been Act, 1951 and 0		Yes		
17.	If No, then pl details	ease me	ention the follow		NA		
	Day to Day	Accou		egister comprising of ash Register, Bank on lodged		Yes	
	(ii) Whether of by candidate	luly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes	
	(iii) Whether election expen			espect of items of		Yes	
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election		Yes	
	(v) Whether a routed through		nditure (except paccount	Yes			
18.	(i) Whether th	ne DEO	had issued a no	NA			
	candidate for	•	_		NA		
	` ′	its of the	idate rectified the DEO on the a ed or not.	NA			
19.	candidate cor Shadow Obse	respond rvation	election exper with the exper Register and Fo	,	Yes		
	If No, then me Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
TOTAL							
20.		penditu Authori:	oduce his Regi re for insp zed persons		Yes		
21.			e with the facts d to above, g				

٠,

ن بد

,	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	120
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	120
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N20
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	140
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		Destriction lindal IAS Numer of the Decomposhala.

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: ゴーレーユコ

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(2)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Pawan Kumar Kajal, Village & Post Office Sahoura, Tehsil & District Kangra (HP)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Kangra
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Pawan Kumar Kajal
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	

•						
15.			ection expenses f the Abstract S		3168145.53/-	
16.	expenses of t	he cand	O's opinion, the idate has been Act, 1951 and the contract of		Yes	
17.	If No, then pl details	ease me	ntion the follow		NA	
	Day to Day	Accoun	Expenditure Rent Register, Cotement has bee		Yes	
	(ii) Whether of by candidate	duly swo	orn in affidavit		Yes	
	(iii) Whether election exper		e vouchers in resubmitted		Yes	
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account		Yes	
	(v) Whether a routed through		diture (except p	Yes		
18.			had issued a no		NA	
	candidate for	•	g the defect date rectified th		NA	
		its of the	e DEO on the a	NA		
19.	candidate cor Shadow Obse	respond rvation	election experwith the experence Register and Fo		Yes	
·	If No, then me	1 .		Amount as	Amount understated by the	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the account submitted by the candidate	candidate .
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	['] NA
TOTAL						
20.		penditu Authoriz			Yes	
21.			e with the facts d to above, g			

		120
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	140
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	1 -3∞
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	100
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	140
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	News
		Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) (Name of the DEO) shala.

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(3)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	Name & address of the candidate	Vijay Kumar, Village Natehar, Post Office Kangra, Tehsil & District Kangra (HP)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Kangra
	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Pawan Kumar Kajal
	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
j.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
0.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
1.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023 Original
2.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
3.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.			ection expenses f the Abstract S	2,	,07,817/-	
16.	expenses of th	ne cand	O's opinion, the idate has been Act, 1951 and G		Yes	
17.	If No, then ple	ease me	ntion the follow	ving defects with		NA
	Day to Day	Accoun	Expenditure Rent Register, Contement has bee		Yes	
	(ii) Whether d by candidate	uly swo	orn in affidavit l		Yes	
	(iii) Whether r		e vouchers in re submitted		Yes	
	(iv) Whether s	eparate	Bank Account		Yes	
	(v) Whether all routed through		diture (except p	Yes		
18.			had issued a no	NA		
	candidate for r			NA		
		ts of the	idate rectified the DEO on the aled or not.	NA		
19.	candidate corr	espond vation	with the expe Register and Fo	ases reported by the enses shown in the older of Evidence.		Yes
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OTAL						
20.	Did the candid Election Ex Observer/RO/A campaign period	penditu Authori:	re for insp		Yes	
21.	If DEO does n Row No. 19 details:-					

V	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	~			
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No			
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	176			
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	120			
2.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes			
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature			
		PstNinundingh Officer (DC) (Name of the baro) mshala.			

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(4)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO			
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Raj Kumar, Ward No. 6 Haler Khurd, Kangra, Tehsi & District Kangra			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party			
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Kangra			
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Pawan Kumar Kajal			
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022			
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023			
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes			
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes			
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes			
).	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023			
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes			
1.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04.1.2023 Original			
2.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes			
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA			
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA			
4.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate				
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					834265/-
16.	expenses of	the cand	O's opinion, the didate has been Act, 1951 and	Yes		
17.	If No, then pl	lease me	ention the follow	NA		
	Day to Day	Accou		egister comprising of Cash Register, Bank en lodged	Yes	
	(ii) Whether of by candidate	duly swe	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes
	(iii) Whether election expe			espect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	t opened for election		Yes
	(v) Whether a routed throug			petty expenditure)		Yes
18.			had issued a no	otice to the	NA	
	candidate for		ng the defect lidate rectified th	NA .		
		nts of th	e DEO on the a	NA .		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Yes
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	120
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	720
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	14
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	140
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	1220
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signoture
		DisNipur Jindab (AS) fficer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala. (Name of the DEO):

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(5)

DEO's SCR RULES, 196	UTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE	CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Amit Verma, Village Chhoti Haler, PO Kangra, Tehsi & District Kangra (HP)- 176001		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Kangra		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Pawan Kumar Kajal		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04.01.2023 Original		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	<u>-</u>		

15.			ection expenses f the Abstract S	reported by the tatement		907082/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes
17.	If No, then plo	ease me	ention the follow		NA .	
	Day to Day	Accou		gister comprising of ash Register, Bank n lodged		Yes
	(ii) Whether d	luly swo	orn in affidavit l	has been submitted		Yes
	(iii) Whether i			spect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether s	separate	Bank Account	opened for election		Yes
	(v) Whether a routed through			petty expenditure)	Yes	
18.			had issued a no	NA .		
	candidate for i		ng the defect idate rectified th	NA		
		ts of the	e DEO on the al	bove, i.e. whether	NA .	
19.	candidate cor	respond	f election expends with the expendence of the control of the contr		Yes	
	If No, then me				Amount as	Amount understated by the
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the account submitted by the candidate	candidate
i .	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ГОТАL						
20.		penditu Authori			Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	140
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	~
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	120
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N+D
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NO
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		BistNieuerliedan/Officer (DC)

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(6)

DEO's SCR RULES, 190	CUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE	E CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Kulbhash Chand, Village & PO Sahoura, Tehsil & District Kangra (HP)- 176209		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	16-Kangra		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Pawan Kumar Kajal		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
).	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	03.01.2023		
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original		
2.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
4.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate			
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-		

5						
15.			ection expenses f the Abstract S		1369268.80/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes
17.	If No, then pl	ease me	ention the follow	ving defects with		NA
,	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Rent Register, Catement has bee	Yes		
	(ii) Whether of	luly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes
	(iii) Whether election exper			espect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election		Yes
	(v) Whether a		diture (except p		Yes	
18.			had issued a no	NA		
	candidate for		ng the defect idate rectified th	NA		
		its of the	e DEO on the al		NA	
19.	candidate cor Shadow Obser	respond rvation	election expensions with the experience and Fo		Yes	
	If No, then me Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ГОТАL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	P4P
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	~
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	100
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	120
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	179
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) (Name of the DED) amshala.

Date: 7-1-23