

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly /parliamentary Constituency : 23 Kullu

(b) Total No. of contesting Candidates: - 6

(c) State and Distt.: Himachal Pradesh Distt. Kullu

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08.12.2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07.01.2023

(F) name of elected candidate: Sunder Singh Thakur.

Sr. no	Name of the candidate & Party affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of account by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grade total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/Agent (as mentioned in part-II of abstract statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point no 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. annexure C-13)	Total expenses incurred by the party (as reported in Part-3 of abstract statement)		Total expenses incurred by the others/ entities (as reported in Part-3 of abstract statement)		Remarks of the expenditure Observer
								Lump-sum amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each political Party	Grand Total of other expense in kind by the political party	Lump-sum amount in cash or cheque given to candidate and mentioned name of donors)	Grand Total of other expense in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Narotam Singh, B.J.P.	07-01-2023	03-01-2023	Yes	Yes	22,77,485-	Yes	25,00,000-	-NIL	3,00,000 - Thakur Ram	NIL	
2	Sunder Singh Thakur I.N.C	07-01-2023	03-01-2023	Yes	Yes	27,29,312-	Yes	10,00,000-	NIL	-NIL	NIL	
3	Sher Singh A.A.P.	07-01-2023	03-01-2023	Yes	Yes	7,96,003-	Yes	-NIL-	-NIL	695944 - Akshay, Banti Shree Bhaga Singh Bhupender etc.	NIL	
4	Ram Singh Independent	07-01-2023	03-01-2023	Yes	Yes	14,60,295-	Yes	-NIL-	-NIL	-NIL-	NIL	
5	Hatam Singh CPI (M)	07-01-2023	03-01-2023	Yes	Yes	3,17,920-	Yes	3,17,920-	NIL	-NIL-	NIL	

6	Lot Rama Thakur, Independent	07-01-2023	03- ⁰¹ 2023	yes	yes	10,000-	yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
---	------------------------------------	------------	---------------------------	-----	-----	---------	----------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

Signature of DEO
Ankur

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any


Date:

Naandeep Kumar
Signature of Expenditure Observer

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Narotam Singh V.P.O. Manikaran Sub-Teh Jari, Dist- Kullu (H.P.)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23 - Kullu
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sunder Singh Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (i) Yes/No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (ii) Yes/No
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay days - NA -
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes/No - NA -
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	- NA -
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	- NA -

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 22,77,485-00	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes/No	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				- NA -	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes/No	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes/No	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes/No	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes/No	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes/No - NA -	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				- NA -	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes/No	
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL				-	-	-
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes/No	

	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	- NA -
21.	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes/No - NA -
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	- NA -
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes/No - NA -
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	- NA -
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	- NA -
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 07-01-2023	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No  Signature (Name of the DEO) Kully

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date:

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Mandedfaint

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State... H.P. District... Kullu Election... Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sunder Singh Thakur, Vill. Tegubesh, P.O. Khokhan, TEH Bhunter, Distt Kullu H.P.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress (INC)
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23 - Kullu
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sunder Singh Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay days - NA -
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes/No - NA -
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	- NA -
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	- NA -

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 27,29,312-00	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes/No	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				- NA -	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes/No	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes/No	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes/No	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes/No	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes/No - NA -	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				- NA -	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes/No	
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL				—	—	—
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes/No	

	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	- NA -
21.	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes/No - NA -
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	- NA -
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes/No - NA -
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	- NA -
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	- NA -
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 07-01-2023	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No  Signature (Name of the DEO) Kully

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:-

Date:

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Mandeefamr

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State... H.P. District... Kullu Election... Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961


S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sher Singh vill. Chowki P.O. Jari, sub Tehsil Jari, Distt. Kullu
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23 - Kullu
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sunder Singh Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes/No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) Yes/No
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	✓ Yes/No
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	✓ Yes/No
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account. (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	✓ Yes/No
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay days - NA -
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes/No - NA -
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	- NA -
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	- NA -

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 796,003-00	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes/No	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes/No	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes/No	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes/No	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes/No	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes/No ✓	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes/No	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes/No ✓	
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL				—	—	—
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes/No ✓	

	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	- NA -
21.	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes/No
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	- NA -
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes/No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	- NA -
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	- NA -
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 07/01/2023	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No  Signature (Name of the DEO) Kully

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:-

Date:


 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State H.P. District Kullu Election Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ram Singh H.No 84 ward No 01 Akhara Bazar P.O. Akhara TEH 8 DISTT KULLU
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23- Kullu
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sunder Singh Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (i) Yes/No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (ii) Yes/No
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay days NA-
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes/No NA-
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	- NA -

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs.14,60,295-00	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes/No	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				- NA -	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes/No	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes/No	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes/No	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes/No	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes/No	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA -	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes/No	
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL				—	—	—
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes/No	

	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA -
21.	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes/No
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA -
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes/No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA -
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA -
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 07-01-2023	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No  Signature (Name of the DEO) Kully

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:-

Date:

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Mandeefame

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State H.P. District Kullu Election Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961


S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Hotam Singh, vill. Grahon P.O. Neoli, TEH & Dist. Kullu (H.P.)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	CPI(M)
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23 - Kullu
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sunder Singh Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(ii) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay days -NA-
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes/No -NA-
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-NA-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-NA-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. ...3,17,920-00	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes/No	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				-NA-	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes/No	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes/No	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes/No	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes/No	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				Yes/No -NA-	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				-NA-	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.				Yes/No	
	If No, then mention the following:					
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL				✓	—	—
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes/No	

	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	-NA-
21.	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes/No -NA-
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	-NA-
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes/No -NA-
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	-NA-
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	-NA-
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 07-01-2023	Yes/No ✓  Signature (Name of the DEO) Kutlu

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:-

Date:


Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Name of the State... H.P. District... Kullu Election... Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Lot Ramp Thakur, Vill. Grahah P.O. Kasol, TEH. Bhunter Dist. Kullu, (H.P.)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	23 - Kullu
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sunder Singh Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay days -NA-
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes/No -NA-
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-NA-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-NA-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					Rs. 10,000-00	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes/No	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					- N/A -	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					Yes/No	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					Yes/No	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					Yes/No	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					Yes/No	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					Yes/No	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect					Yes/No ✓	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					Yes/No	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					- N/A -	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes/No ✓	
	If No, then mention the following:						
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
TOTAL				—	—	—	
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes/No ✓	

	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	- NA -
21.	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes/No
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	- NA -
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	Yes/No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	- NA -
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	- NA -
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 07-01-2023	Yes/No Signature (Name of the DEO) Kuliy

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:-

Date:

Mandeefammy
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.