SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency:

43-HAROLI

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 05

(c) State and District: UNA (e) Last date of lodging accounts:

07.01.2023

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08.12.2022 (f) Name of the elected candidate: **MUKESH AGNIHOTRI**

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format	Whether lodged in the manner required	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
			candidate	(Yes or No)	by law (Yes or No)	by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- C13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1.	Naresh Kumar Bahujan Samaj Party	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	2,35,374	Yes	0	0	1,05,000 i) Renu ii) Silver OAKS iii) Chaju Ram iv) Mela Ram	0	
2.	Mukesh Agnihotri Indian National Congress	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	19,24,884	Yes	10,00,000	0	6,50,000 i) Smt. Simmi	0	
3.	Ram Kumar Bharatiya Janata Party	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	21,36,909	Yes	15,00,000	5,39,425	0	0	
4.	Ashhwani Kumar Rana Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,02,689	Yes	0	0	0	0	
5.	Ravinder Mann Aam Aadmi Party	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,51,975	Yes	0	0	0	0	

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Signature of the DEO (DC) Una

Date: 08-01-2023

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 01 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER **RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** Description To be filled up by the DEO S.No. Name & address of the candidate Naresh Kumar 1. 2. Political Party affiliation, if any Bahujan Samaj Party No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency 3. 43-Haroli Name of the elected candidate 4. Mukesh Agnihotri Date of declaration of result 08.12.2022 5. 6. Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting 03.01.2023 (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of 7. (i) Yes Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting (i) Yes Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account 8. Yes Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) 9. Last date prescribed for lodging Account 07.01.2023 Yes 10. Whether the candidate has lodged the account 11. If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account 03.01.2023 (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting 12. Whether account lodged in time Yes 12 A. If not lodged in time, period of delay NA 13. If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for NA explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. 14. NA Explanation, if any, given by the candidate Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate 14 A. NA Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of 15. Rs. 2,35,374/the Abstract Statement 16. Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the Yes candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 17. If No, then please mention the following defects with details NA (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Yes Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged

S.No.	Description	i			To be filled	up by the DEO
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	Yes			
	(iii) Whether	requisite	Yes			
	submitted					
	(iv) Whether	separate	Yes			
	(iv) Whether	r all expe	nditure (excep	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes	
	bank accoun	t				
18.	(i) Whether t	he DEO	had issued a no	tice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
	` ′		date rectified t			
	` ′		DEO on the al	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA	
10	rectified or n		1			
19.	1		-	ses reported by the candidate correspond	X 7	
	_			ow Observation Register and Folder of	Yes	
	Items of	Date	mention the fo	-	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by
	expenditure		Observation	evidence	account	the candidate
			Register	Cyldenice	submitted	the candidate
			Register		by the	
					candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL			<u> </u>		-	
20.	Did the cand	idate pro	duce his Regist	ter of Accounting Election Expenditure		
	for inspection	n by the	Observer/RO/A	authorized persons 3 times during	Yes	
	campaign pe	riod				•
21.		•		mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA	
	L		owing details:			
	1 1		•	brought to the notice of the candidate	NA	
				e Account Reconciliation Meeting	37.1	
			•	e notices issued relating to	NA	
	mention the		n (if it is in regional language) and			
			give any reply	to the notice?	NA	
		Carraraare	give any repry	to the notice.		
*	(iv) If yes, p	lease Anr	nex copies of si	uch explanation received, (with English	NA	
	translation o	f the sam	e, if it is in reg	ional language) and mention date of		
	reply					

Ľ

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature
		(RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

08.01.2023 Date:

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex

separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 02 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 To be filled up by the DEO S.No. Description 1. Name & address of the candidate Mukesh Agnihotri Political Party affiliation, if any **Indian National Congress** 2. 3. No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency 43-Haroli Name of the elected candidate Mukesh Agnihotri 4. 08.12.2022 5. Date of declaration of result Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting 03.01.2023 6. (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of 7. (i) Yes Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting (i) Yes Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Yes 8. Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) 07.01.2023 9. Last date prescribed for lodging Account Whether the candidate has lodged the account Yes 10. If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the 11. candidate: (i) original account 05.01.2023 (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting 12. Whether account lodged in time Yes NA If not lodged in time, period of delay 12 A. If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for NA 13. explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. 14. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate NA Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate NA 14 A. 15. Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of Rs. 19,24,884/the Abstract Statement Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the Yes 16. candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 17. If No, then please mention the following defects with details NA (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Yes Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged

S.No.	Description	l			To be filled	up by the DEO
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	Yes			
	(iii) Whether	requisite	Yes			
	submitted					
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes	-
	(iv) Whether	r all expe	nditure (excep	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes	
	bank accoun	t				
18.	(i) Whether t	he DEO	had issued a no	otice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
	, ,		idate rectified t			
			DEO on the ab	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA	
	rectified or n					
19.			•	ses reported by the candidate correspond		
				ow Observation Register and Folder of	Yes	
	Evidence. If					
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow Observation	Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the	understated by
			Register	evidence	account submitted	the candidate
			Register		by the	
					candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL						
20.	Did the cand	idate pro	duce his Regist	ter of Accounting Election Expenditure		
,		-	_	authorized persons 3 times during	Yes	
	campaign pe	•				
21.	If DEO does	not agree	e with the facts	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA	
	to above, giv	e the foll	owing details:	-		
	(i) Were the	defects n	oticed by DEO	brought to the notice of the candidate	NA	
	during camp	aign peri	od or during the	e Account Reconciliation Meeting		
	(ii) If yes, the	en annex	copies of all th	e notices issued relating to	NA	, ., <u>.</u>
	discrepancie	s with En	glish translatio	n (if it is in regional language) and		
	mention the	date of no				
	(iii) Did the	candidate	give any reply	to the notice?	NA	
1	(iv) If yes, p	lease Anr	nex copies of su	ach explanation received, (with English	NA	
	translation of	f the sam	e, if it is in regi	ional language) and mention date of		
	reply					

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01.2023	(RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08.01.2013

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEC
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ram Kumar
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	43-Haroli
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Mukesh Agnihotri
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 21,36,909/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes

S.No.	Description				To be filled	up by the DEO
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	Yes	<u> </u>		
	(iii) Whether	requisite	Yes			
	submitted	•				
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes	
	(iv) Whether	r all expe	nditure (excep	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes	
	bank accoun	t				
18.		he DEO	had issued a no	tice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
	` '		date rectified t			
			DEO on the ab	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA	
	rectified or n					
19.			•	ses reported by the candidate correspond	W.s.	
	1		mention the fo	ow Observation Register and Folder of	Yes	
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure	Date	Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by
	CAPCHAITAIC		Observation	evidence	account	the candidate
			Register	·	submitted	the cundrate
					by the	
					candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL		·				
20.	Did the cand	idate pro	duce his Regist	ter of Accounting Election Expenditure		
	for inspection	n by the (Observer/RO/A	authorized persons 3 times during	Yes	
	campaign pe					
21.		•		mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA NA	
			owing details:			
	` ′		•	brought to the notice of the candidate	NA .	
				e Account Reconciliation Meeting	BT A	
			-	ne notices issued relating to	NA	
	mention the		_	n (if it is in regional language) and		
	_			to the notice?	NA NA	
	(III) Did tile	-undiaut	or to air, reply	MONEY!		
	(iv) If yes, p	lease Anr	nex copies of su	uch explanation received, (with English	. NA	
			-	ional language) and mention date of		
	reply					

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)

23. Comments	, if any,	by the	Expenditure	Observer*-
--------------	-----------	--------	-------------	------------

Date: 08.01. 2023

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 04 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER **RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** Description To be filled up by the DEO S.No. Name & address of the candidate 1. Ashhwani Kumar Rana 2. Political Party affiliation, if any Rashtriya Devbhumi Party No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency 3. 43-Haroli Name of the elected candidate 4. Mukesh Agnihotri 5. Date of declaration of result 08.12.2022 Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting 03.01.2023 6. 7. (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of (i) Yes Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting (i) Yes 8. Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Yes Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) 9. Last date prescribed for lodging Account 07.01.2023 Yes 10. Whether the candidate has lodged the account If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the 11. candidate: (i) original account 03.01.2023 (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting 12. Whether account lodged in time Yes NA 12 A. If not lodged in time, period of delay 13. If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for NA explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. 14. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate NA NA 14 A. Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate 15. Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of Rs. 1,02,689/the Abstract Statement Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the 16. Yes candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 17. If No, then please mention the following defects with details NA Yes (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged

S.No.	Description	1			To be filled	up by the DEO
,	(ii) Whether	duly swo	Yes			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure					-
	submitted					
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes	
	(iv) Whethe	r all expe	nditure (excep	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes	
	bank accoun	t				
18.	(i) Whether t	the DEO	had issued a no	tice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
			idate rectified t			
	(iii) Comme		NA			
	rectified or n					
19.			•	ses reported by the candidate correspond low Observation Register and Folder of		
	1		Yes			
	Evidence. If			T		
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the	understated by
			Observation	evidence	account submitted	the candidate
			Register		by the	
			:		candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL			•			
20.	Did the cand	idate pro		<u> </u>		
	for inspectio	n by the (Observer/RO/A	authorized persons 3 times during	Yes	
	campaign pe	riod			•	
21.	If DEO does	not agree	e with the facts	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA	
	to above, giv	e the foll				
	(i) Were the	defects n	NA ·			
	during camp	aign peri	od or during the	e Account Reconciliation Meeting		
	(ii) If yes, th	en annex	NA			
	discrepancie	s with En	ıglish translatio	n (if it is in regional language) and		
	mention the	date of no				
	(iii) Did the	candidate	give any reply	to the notice?	NA	
	` ' ' ' ' ' '		•	ich explanation received, (with English onal language) and mention date of	NA	
	reply	2 54111			•	



S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)
		(RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Unq

I	23.	Comments,	if any,	by the	Expenditure	Observer*-
ı						

Date: 08.01.2023

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 05 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEC			
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ravinder Mann			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party			
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency 43-Haroli				
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Mukesh Agnihotri			
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022			
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023			
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes			
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes			
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)				
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023			
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes			
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023			
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes			
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA			
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.				
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate NA				
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA			
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement Rs. 1,51,975/-				
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes			

S.No.	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	Yes			
	(iii) Whether submitted	r requisite	Yes			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					
	(iv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through					
	bank account					
18.	(i) Whether t	the DEO				
	defect					
	(ii) Whether	the cand	idate rectified t	he defect		
	(iii) Comme	nts of the	DEO on the al	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA	
	rectified or n	not.			,	
19.	Whether the					
	with the exp	enses sho	Yes			
	Evidence. If	No, then				
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by
			Observation	evidence	account	the candidate
			Register		submitted	
					by the	
					candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL						
20.	Did the cand	lidate pro				
	for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does	not agre	NA	-,		
	to above, giv	e the foll				
	(i) Were the	defects n	NA			
	during camp	aign peri				
	(ii) If yes, the	en annex	NA			
	discrepancie	s with En				
	mention the	date of no				
	(iii) Did the	candidate	NA			
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of				NA	
	reply					
	1					

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the	Yes
	candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date: 08.01-2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)
		DEO (DC) Una

23. Com	ments, if any, by the Expenditure Observ	er*-
		0 0
Date:	08.01-2023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.