SÜMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 62-Kasumpti

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates:

Six

(c) State and District:

Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: (e) Last date of lodging accounts:

08-12-2022 07-01-2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate:

Sh Anirudh Singh (INC)

1.	2.	2	1		<i>c</i> ·	7						
1.	<u> </u>	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
Sr. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format	Whether lodged in the	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the	Whether the DEO agrees with the	Total expenses i Party (As reporte Abstract St	ed in Part-III of	Total expenses incurred by oth reported in Part-III of Abstraction		Remarks of the Expenditu
				(Yes or No)	manner required by law (Yes or No)	candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C- 3)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	re Observer
1	Sh.Kameshwar , BSP	07-01-2023	3-01-2023	Yes	Yes	51,970/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
2	Sh Kuld PP Singh Tanwar, CPIM	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	6,18,936/	Yes	1,00,000/-	Nil	4,77,437/- 1.Hosohiar Singh 55,000/- 2.Apnau Dogra 70,000/- (Cheque) 3. P2A/05CREDIT/IMPS 11,000/- (Online) 4.Online Chauhan 22,000/- 5. Suresh 58,000/- 6. Rachna Agarwal 10,000/- 7. Aruna 50,000/- 8. Savita Devi 50,000/- 9. Jaya Nand Sharma	Nil	 -

										10. Anirudh S. Verma 30,000/- 11. Satyam Pundir 50,000/- 12. Nareen Kumar 6,000/- etc. By Cash 36064 Online-6.75 Online-6854.32		
3	Sh. Ram Prakash, Rashtriya Dev Bhumi Party	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	81287/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
4	Dr. Rajesh Chanana, AAP	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	3,09,697/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
5	Sh Anirudh Singh, INC	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	16,41,838/-	Yes	20,00,000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
6	Sh Suresh Bhardwaj, BJP	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	19,20,521/-	Yes	15,00,000/-	Nil	1,99,000/- Taj 1,00,000/- Sunil-50,000/- Doneted by worker- 49000/-	Nil	-

District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any; Nil

Date: M January, 2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -1 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Kameshwar, VPO Rajhana Shimla, Tehsil & District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	62-Kasumpti
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Anirudh Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
		N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 51,970 /-

16.						·-···		
		ther in the DEO's of						
	lodg	ion expenses of the ed in the manner re 1951 and C.E. Rule	equired by the R.					
17.	If No	o, then please mention ets with details		N.A	N.A			
-	(i) Regis		r, Bank Regist	int				
	(ii)	Whether duly swe been submitted by ca	orn in affidavit	N.A				
	(iii)	Whether requisite vou of election expendit	chers in respect of	N.A				
		Whether separate led for election	Bank Account	N.A				
	(v) petty accou	Whether all expe expenditure) routed t ant		N.A				
18.		Whether the DEO he candidate for rectif		e No				
	(ii) the d	Whether the ca	ndidate rectified					
	(iii) above not.	Comments of the e, i.e. whether the de		or				
	by the	her the items of electi e candidate correspor n in the Shadow C	nd with the expens	es				
		older of Evidence.		01				
	and F		llowing:					
Items o	and F If No.	older of Evidence.	_	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
	and F If No.	older of Evidence. , then mention the fo	Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	per the account submitted by	understated by		
	and F If No.	older of Evidence. , then mention the fo	Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the account submitted by	understated by		
expend	and F If No.	older of Evidence. , then mention the fo	Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL	per the account submitted by	understated by		
	and F If No. fiture	older of Evidence. , then mention the fo	Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZAi ster	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the account submitted by	understated by		
expend	and F If No. f iture Did Accor for Observation	the candidate production inspection ver/RO/Authorized	Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZAi ster	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes	per the account submitted by	understated by		
expend	Did Accorduring If DH menti	the candidate production inspection ver/RO/Authorized g campaign period EO does not agreoned against Row	Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZ4i ster the his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 times the with the fact No. 19 referred	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes	per the account submitted by	understated by		
expend:	Did According If DF mentito about to about to brought	the candidate production inspection ver/RO/Authorized against Row ove, give the defects ht to the notice of the	Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZ4i ster The his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 times with the fact No. 19 referred to the person of the person	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes N.A N.A	per the account submitted by	understated by		
expend:	Did According If DF menti to about to about campa Recondii) If If No.	the candidate production inspection ver/RO/Authorized gampaign period EO does not agree oned against Row ove, give the defects	Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZ4i ster The his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 times with the fact No. 19 referred ing details: noticed by DE e candidate during the Accounting the Accounting the response of all the notice.	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes N.A N.A N.A	per the account submitted by	understated by		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 9-1-2023	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

 $[\]ast$ if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -2 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Kuldip Singh Tanwar, 105/12, S.Bhawan, Sangti (West) Sanjauli, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	CPIM
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	62-Kasumpti
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Anirudh Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NI A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A N.A
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 6,18,936/-

				1			
16.	election e	xpenses of the	pinion, the account candidate has be equired by the R.	of Yes			
17.		n please mentior		N.A			
	(i) Register (Register,	Whether Ele comprising of I	ction Expenditu Day to Day Accou r, Bank Registe een lodged	int			
	(ii) Whe	ther duly sw submitted by ca	orn in affidavit	N.A N.A			
	items of el	ection expendit	ure submitted	N.A N.A			
	opened fo	or election	enditure (except	N.A			
4.0	petty expe	nditure) routed	through bank				
18.	to the can	didate for rectiful whether the ca	ad issued a notice fying the defect andidate rectified	No	No		
	1	Comments of the whether the de	e DEO on the fect was rectified of	or			
19.	by the can shown in and Folder	didate correspond the Shadow Corof Evidence.	on expenses report nd with the expens observation Regist	es			
Items o	f				Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
				of evidence NIL			
20.	Accountin	ng election	ee his Register of Expenditure	Yes			
	during cam	inspection O/Authorized paign period	by the persons 3 times				
21.	If DEO of mentioned to above,	loes not agre against Row give the follow			N.A		
		the defects	noticed by DEC				
	brought to campaign Reconciliat	ion Meeting	ring the Account	it			

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 9-1-2023	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	2 Chry:
Date: G	01 . 23	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -3 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Ram Prakash, Vill. Jhandi, Chikhar- Satlai P.O. Satlai Tehsil & District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Dev Bhumi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	62-Kasumpti
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Anirudh Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-1-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
	If not, reason thereof.	
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 81287/-

16.	elec	ether in the DEO's op	candidate has be	en					
		ged in the manner red , 1951 and C.E. Rules		P.					
17.	If N	o, then please mention		N.A	N.A				
	defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure			ire N.A					
	Reg Reg	ister comprising of D ister, Cash Register tract Statement has be	ay to Day Accou	ınt					
	(ii)	Whether duly swo been submitted by car	rn in affidavit	N.A					
)Whether requisite vouc s of election expenditu		N.A					
		Whether separate B		N.A					
	(v) petty	y expenditure) routed tl		N.A					
18.		Whether the DEO ha		e No					
	(ii) the	Whether the car defect	ndidate rectified						
	(iii abov not.	e, i.e. whether the def		or					
	by the show	ther the items of elections candidate correspondent in the Shadow Of Folder of Evidence.	d with the expens oservation Regist	es					
Items o		Date	Page No.		Amount as	-			
expend	iture		of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate			
				Register/folder of evidence					
			L	NIL	<u> </u>				
20.		the candidate product counting election	e his Register of Expenditure	Yes					
	durin	rver/RO/Authorized g campaign period	by the persons 3 times						
21.	ment to ab	EO does not agree ioned against Row ove, give the following	No. 19 referreding details:-	d					
		Were the defects right to the notice of the	candidate durin	g					
	camp Reco	aign period or dur nciliation Meeting If yes, then annex copic		<u> </u>					

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 9-1-2-02-3	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
Date:	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

 $[\]ast$ if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -4 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Dr. Rajesh Chanana, House No. B-8, Lane-2 Sector-1 New Shimla, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	62-Kasumpti
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Anirudh Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 3,09,697/-

16.	electio	er in the DEO's op n expenses of the d in the manner re 951 and C.E. Rule	candidate has be quired by the R.	een	Yes		
17.	defects (i)	then please mention s with details Whether Elec	tion Expenditu	ıre]	N.A N.A		
	Registe Abstra	er comprising of Der, Cash Register ct Statement has be	, Bank Registe en lodged		,		
	has b	Vhether duly swo een submitted by ca	ndidate		N.A		
		hether requisite voud felection expenditu			N.A		
		Whether separate E d for election		1	N.A		
	(v) petty e accour	Whether all expe xpenditure) routed that		ľ	N.A		
18.		nether the DEO ha		е /	Vo		
	(ii) the de		ndidate rectified				
	(iii) above, not.	Comments of the i.e. whether the def		or			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register		ses	'es			
		lder of Evidence. hen mention the fol	lowing:				
I	expenditure Of Shadow Observation Register Of Re		as pe Shad Obse Regis	tion amount or the ow rvation ster/folder idence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
				NIL	idence		
20.		ne candidate produc		. Y	es		
	Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		s				
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		d	.A			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		g nt	.A			
	issued r	ves, then annex copic elating to discrepar on (if it is in region the date of notice	icies with Englis	h N	.A		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
No.	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 9-1-23	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
0	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	of Charge
Date:	-1-25	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -5 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Anirudh Singh, Raghuvir Bhawan, PO Bharari, Kelti, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	62-Kasumpti
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Anirudh Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 16,41,838/-

16.	Whather in the DECL a printer the country	Yes			
	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has bee	n			
	lodged in the manner required by the R. F Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	·			
17.	If No, then please mention the following	N.A			\dashv
	defects with details				
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Accoun				
	Register, Cash Register, Bank Register				
	Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit	N.A			_
	has been submitted by candidate				_
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A		•	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account	N.A			
	opened for election Whether all expenditure (except	NT A			4
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice	No			
	to the candidate for rectifying the defect				
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the				
	above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported	Yes			
	by the candidate correspond with the expenses	s			
	shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.	r			
	If No, then mention the following:				
1 1	ns of Date Page No. of Shadow	Mention amount	Amount as per the	Amount	
	Observation	as per the Shadow	account	understated by	
	Register	Observation	submitted by the candidate	the candidate	
		Register/folder			
		of evidence NIL	lL		+
		<u> </u>	·		
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of	Yes			1
	Accounting election Expenditure				
	for inspection by the				
	Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts	N.A			1
	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
	brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account				
	Reconciliation Meeting				4
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English				
	translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				
L	mention the date of hotice	I			

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi IAS
	Date: 9-1-23	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Comment	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	a Chry
Date: 9	1.23	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
		And the state of t

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -6
Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022
DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Suresh Bhardwaj, R-I H.B. Colony Strawberry Hills, Shimla, District Shimla, HP	
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJP	
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	62-Kasumpti	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Anirudh Singh	
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022	
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023	
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes	
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes	
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes	
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023	
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes	
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes	
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A	
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A	
	If not, reason thereof.		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 19,20,521/-	

16.	Whether in the DEO's opicelection expenses of the clodged in the manner recact, 1951 and C.E. Rules	candidate has be quired by the R.	en	Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A		
	(i) Whether Elect Register comprising of Da Register, Cash Register, Abstract Statement has bee	re N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly swor	n in affidavit	N.A		
	has been submitted by car (iii) Whether requisite vouc items of election expenditu	hers in respect of	N.A		
	(iv) Whether separate B opened for election		N.A		
	(v) Whether all exper petty expenditure) routed the account		N.A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had to the candidate for rectify		, No		
	(ii) Whether the can the defect	didate rectified			
	(iii) Comments of the above, i.e. whether the defe		or		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.		es		
Items o	If No, then mention the foll Date	Page No.		Amount as	
expendi		of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
			of evidence NIL		
			TVIEZ		
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure		Yes		
	for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		1		
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		g it		
	(ii) If yes, then annex copie issued relating to discrepan translation (if it is in regio mention the date of notice	cies with English	h N.A		

1	
	4
15	44

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 9-1-22	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commen	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Shy
Date:	9-1-23	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.