# SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 53-Solan(SC)

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 04

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Solan

(d) Date of declaration of result of election: 08.12.2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07.01.2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Sh. Dhani Ram Shandil

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	744	10		111
S. No.	Name	Due	Date of	Whethe	Whet	Grand	Whether	Total expense	s incurred	Total	0777000000	11 Re
	of the	date of	lodging	r	her	Total	the	by the Party (		incurred	expenses	
	candidate	lodging	of	lodged	lodged	of the	DEO	reported in			rs/entities	mar ks
	and Party	of	accounts	in the	in	expenses	agrees	Abstract Stat		as	18/ chilies	of
	Affiliation	account	by the	prescri	the	incurred/	with the	1100aact Stat	cincint ,		in Part-III	the
		•	candidate	bed	mann	authorized	amount			of	III Tait-III	Exp
				format	er	by the	shown				Statement	
				(Yes or	requir	candidate/	by the	Lump Sum	Grand	Lump	Grand	Obs
				No)	ed	agent (as	candidat	Amount	Total of	sum	total	erv
					by law	mentioned	e	in cash or	other	Amoun	of other	er
					(Yes	in <i>Part-II</i>	against	cheque	expenses	t in	expenses	
					or No)	of Abstract	all items	given	in kind	cash /	in kind	
						Statement)	of	to	by the	cheque	incurred	
							expendit	candidate	Political	given to	for the	
							ure	by each	Party	the	candidat	
							(Should	Political		candid	е	
							be	Party		ate		
							similar			(and		
						,	to			mentio		
							point no.			n		
							<b>22</b> of			names		
							DEO's			of		
							Scrutiny			donors)		
							Report					
							i.e.					
							Annexur					
1.	Sh. Dhani						e- C13)					
-,	Ram											
	Shandil,	0 - 04				28,36,747.89/_		2000000 /				
	Indian	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	20,30,141.09/	Yes	300000/-	NIL .	NIL	NIL	_
	National							(INC)				-
	Congress											
2.	Sh.	<u> </u>			-							
	Rajinder,											
]	Bahujan	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	13,610/-	Yes	NIL	NIL	NITT	RYTT	_
	Samaj Party			100	100	10,010/-	168	MIT	MIL	NIL	NIL	

3	Sh Rajesh Kashyap, Bharatiya Janata Party	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	30,99,258/-	Yes	25,00,000/- (BJP)	5,49,258/-	NIL	NIL	-
4	. Smt. Anju, Aam Aadmi Party	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	81,721/-	Yes	NIL	NIL	NIL	33096/-	-

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,....

Date: 9.1.2023

(Kritika Kulhari),IAS District Election Officer (D.C), Solan, District Solan, H.P.

Ram Prakash Maurya, IRS Expenditure Observer

1

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 01 Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. DHANI RAM SHANDIL VILLAGE BASHEEL, POST OFFICE MAMLIGH, TEHSIL KANDAGHAT, DISTRICT SOLAN (H.P.)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	53-Solan (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. DHANI RAM SHANDIL
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended	Yes Yes
	the Meeting	
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).  (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.28,36,747.89/-

	1			~-~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
16.	Whether in		Yes			
	account of					
	1		_	the manner		
	required by					
	Rules, 196			C 11 '	DT A	
17.	If No, then			e following	N.A.	
	defects with			Davistan	N	
				ure Register	Yes	
	comprising Register, C					
	Abstract St	_		_		
	(ii) Whether				Yes	
	been subm			uavit iias	163	
				rs in respect	Yes	Posts
				re submitted	100	
	(iv) Whethe				Yes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	opened for	_				
	(v) Whether			xcept petty	Yes	****
				oank account		
18.	(i) Whether					
	to the cand	idate for	r rectifying	the defect	NA	
	(ii) Whether	r the car	ndidate rec	tified the		
	defect					
	(iii) Comme			•		
1.0				ctified or not.		
19.	Whether th			-	Yes	
				respond with		
	the expense Observation					
	Evidence.	i Kegisu	er and Fold	iei oi		
,	If No, then	mention	the follow	ng:	٠	
	Items of	Date	Page No.	Mention	Amount as	Amount
	expenditu		of	amount	per the	understated
	re		Shadow	as per the	account	by the
			Observa	Shadow	submitted	candidate
			tion	Observatio	by the	ŧ
			Register	n Register/	candidate	
				folder of		
				evidence		
i				NA		
ii,iii				<u>- T</u>		
20	D: 1./1	1' 1 4	Tota		77	
20.	Did the can	_		_	Yes	
	Accounting inspection h		_			
	_	-	•			
	Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					
21.	If DEO does		Agreed			
	mentioned a	_	<b>g</b>	· .		
	above, give	_				
	(i) Were the				NA	
			otice of the			
			period or o			
			iation Mee			
	(ii) If yes, th	en anne	x copies of	all the	NA	

	notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation	NA
	received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes, Agreed  (Kritika Kulhari), IAS  District Election Officer (DC)  Solan
	Date: 06.01.2023	~00000

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-							
		0						
		- V.,						
	Date: 06.01.2023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer						

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 02 Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. RAJINDER WARD NO. 8, SHAKTI NAGAR, JAUNAJI ROAD, TEHSIL & DISTRICT SOLAN (H.P.)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BAHUJAN SAMAJ PARTY
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	53-Solan (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. DHANI RAM SHANDIL
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No).  (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date oflodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the	Rs.13610/-

	Abstract St	atement				
16.	Whether in			n the	Yes	
10.	account of		-		163	
	1					
	candidate l					
	required by					
	Rules, 196					
17.	3	-		ne following	N.A.	
	defects with	n details				
	(i) Whether	Election	Expendi	ture Register	Yes	
	comprising		_	-		
	Register, Ca					
	Abstract St				ļ	
	(ii) Whether				Yes	
	been subm	-			100	
					Yes	
				ers in respect	ies	
				ire submitted		
	(iv) Whethe	-	te Bank A	account	Yes	
	opened for			W. 1000-74-77		
	(v) Whether	all expe	enditure (e	except petty	Yes	
	expenditure	e) routed	l through	bank account		
18.	(i) Whether	the DEC	) had issu	ied a notice		
	1 ' '			g the defect	NA NA	
	(ii) Whether					
	defect	0110 0011				
		nte of th	e DEO or	the above,		
	i.e. whether			•		
		uie de	iect was i	ecuned of		
	not.	• ,	<u> </u>		T 7	
19.	Whether th			-	Yes	
	, -			rrespond with		
	the expense					
	Observation	ı Registe	er and Fol	der of		4
	Evidence.					
	If No, then	mention	the follov	ving:		
	Items of	Date	Page	Mention	Amount	Amount
	expenditu		No.	amount	as per the	understated
	re		of	as per the	account	by the
			Shado	Shadow	submitted	candidate
			w	Observation	by the	
			Observ	Register/	candidate	
			ation	folder of	Carrarate	
			Registe	evidence		
			•	evidence		
			r			
•				λτ Δ	<u> </u>	
<u>i</u>				NA		
ii,iii			W-4	-1	<u> </u>	
00	Did 41	didata =	Tot		Yes	
20.	Did the can			163		
	Accounting					
	inspection b	•	•			
	Authorized	-	3 times d	uring		
	campaign p					
21.	If DEO does				Agreed	
				9 referred to		
	above, give					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		***************************************			

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO	NA
	brought to the notice of the candidate	
	during campaign period or during the	
	Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the	NA
	notices issued relating to	
	discrepancies with English translation	
	(if it is in regional language) and	
	mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the	NA
	notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such	NA .
	explanation	
	received, (with English translation of	
	the same, if it is in regional language)	
	and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the	NA
	candidate's explanation	
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes, Agreed
	expenses are correctly reported by the	
	candidate.	
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary	(N)V/
	Report of DEO)	(Kritika Kulhari), IAS
		District Election Officer (DC)
	Date: 06.01.2023	Solan
	Date. 00.01.2023	

23.	Comments, if any, by t	the Expenditure Observer*-
		0
		(1)
	Date: 06.01.2023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

# Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03 Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN

S.No.	RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 Description	To be filled up by the
		DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh RAJESH KASHYAP HOUSE NO. 17/1, GUPTA LODGE, WARD NO. 6, POST OFFICE SOLAN, TEHSIL & DISTRICT SOLAN (H.P.)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BHARATIYA JANATA
4.	Folitical Farty anniation, if any	PARTY
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	53-Solan (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. DHANI RAM
		SHANDIL
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).  (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract	Rs.30,99,258/-

	Statement						
16.		····	O's opinion,	Yes			
	account of						
			n lodged in t				
			P. Act, 1951				
	Rules, 196						
17.	If No, then defects wit	_	nention the	following	N.A.		
			n Expenditu	re Register	Yes		
			to Day Accor	_	100		
			ister, Bank l				
		_	t has been lo	_			
			worn in affid		Yes		
	been subm	•					
			ite vouchers	in respect	Yes		
	1 ' '	_	expenditure	-			
			ite Bank Acc		Yes		
	opened for						
			enditure (exc	cept petty	Yes		
			d through ba				
18.			D had issued				
	''		rectifying t		NA		
			ndidate recti				
	defect						
	(iii) Comme	ents of th	ne DEO on tl	he above,	•		
	i.e. whether	r the de	fect was rec	tified or			
	not.						
19.			of election ex		Yes		
			didate corre				
			n in the Sha				
		n Registe	er and Folde	r of			
	Evidence.		.1 0.11				
		1	the followin	- Para			
	Items of	Date	Page No.	Mention	Amount	Amount	
	expenditu		of	amount	as per the	understated	
	re		Shadow	as per the	account	by the	
			Observati	Shadow Observati	submitted	candidate	
			on Pegister	1	by the candidate		
			Register	on Register/	candidate		
				folder of			
				evidence			
i		<u> </u>	1	NA	L	1	
ii,iii				- · · · ·			
			Total				
20.	Did the can	didate p	roduce his F	Register of	Yes		
		_	Expenditur				
	inspection b	by the O	bserver/RO/				
]		-	3 times dur	ing			
	campaign p						
21.		_	ee with the f		Agreed		
		_	Row No. 19 r				
	above, give	the follo	wing details:	-			

	(ii) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA .
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA .
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes, Agreed  (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC)
	Date: 06.01.2023	Solan

23.	3. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
	Date:06.01.2023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer	
	Batc.00.01.2020	Digitatare of the Emperation of Solver	

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

# Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 04 Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN

1. 2. 3.	Name & address of the candidate  Political Party affiliation, if any  No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary	Smt. ANJU 5-PAVABO, TEHSIL KANDAGHAT, DISTRICT SOLAN (H.P.) AAM AADMI PARTY		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary	AAM AADMI PARTY		
4.	Constituency	53-Solan (SC)		
	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. DHANI RAM SHANDIL		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended	Yes Yes		
	the Meeting			
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).  (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.		
	Comments of the DEO on the explanation	N.A.		

15.		and Total of all election expenses			Rs.81,721/-	
	reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract					
	i	n Part-Il	of the Abst	ract		
16.	Statement Whether in the DEO's opinion, the				Yes	·
10.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the			Yes		
			-			
			lodged in the			
	Rules, 196		P. Act, 1951	and C.E.		
17.			nention the	following	N.A.	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		14.71.			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register				Yes	
	comprising	of Day t	to Day Accou	unt		
	Register, Ca	ash Regi	ster, Bank I	Register,		
	Abstract St	atement	has been lo	dged		
	(ii) Whether	duly sv	vorn in affid	avit has	Yes	
	been subm					
			ite vouchers		Yes	
	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		expenditure			
	1 7 7	_	te Bank Acc	ount	Yes	
	opened for			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	, , ,	-	enditure (exc		Yes	
			l through ba			
18.	` '		) had issued			
			rectifying tl		NA NA	
	` '	the can	didate rectif	ned the		
	defect		. DEO 41	<b>1</b>		
	` '		le DEO on th	-		
	not.	the de	fect was rect	linea or		
19.		e items (	of election ex	znenses	Yes	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with				103	
	the expenses shown in the Shadow		~			
			er and Folde			
	Evidence.	O				
	If No, then	mention	the followin	g:		
	Items of	Date	Page No.	Mention	Amount	Amount
	expenditu		of	amount	as per the	understated
	re		Shadow	as per the	account	by the
			Observati	Shadow	submitted	candidate
			on	Observati	by the	
			Register	on	candidate	
				Register/		
				folder of		
	ent 1.1		. 1 1:00	evidence	7 7	
i					hadow regist	
ii,iii	_	or sucn e	expenauure o	auring ine pe	eriodical and	jinai
	inspection.		Total			
20.	Did the con	didate n		Pegister of	Yes	
40.	O. Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/		_	163		
	Authorized	•				
	campaign p			0		
21.	If DEO does		ee with the f	acts	Agreed	
		0-				

	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to	
	above, give the following details:-	
	(iii) Were the defects noticed by DEO	NA
	brought to the notice of the candidate	
	during campaign period or during the	
	Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the	NA .
	notices issued relating to	
	discrepancies with English translation	
	(if it is in regional language) and	
	mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the	NA .
	notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such	NA .
	explanation	
	received, (with English translation of	
	the same, if it is in regional language)	
	and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes, Agreed
	expenses are correctly reported by the	
	candidate.	01
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary	(VX/
	Report of DEO)	(Kritika Kulhari), IAS
		District Election Officer (DC)
	Date: 06.01.2023	Solan
	Date: 06.01.2023	

23.	<b>23.</b> Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		e Expenditure Observer*-
	0		
			Mu.
	Date:	06.01.2023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

<sup>\*</sup> If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.