

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 11-Jaswan Pragpur (b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 06

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Kangra

(d) Date of declaration of result of election 08/12/2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07/01/2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Bikram Singh

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
Sl. No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes/No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes/No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/autho rized by the candidate/age nt(as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point No. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by other/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by cash Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and Mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Prem Chand (Bahujan Samaj Party)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,72,110/-	Yes	-	Nil	Nil	Nil	
2	Bikram Singh (Bharatiya Janata Party)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1780228/-	Yes	1000000/-	Nil	700000/- (Loan) Rakesh Kumar= 200000/- Rohit Kumar = 500000/-	Nil	
3	Surinder Singh Mankotia (Indian National Congress)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	2907484/-	Yes	3000000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	
4	Sahil Chouhan (Aam Aadmi Party)	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	3,41,470/-	Yes	-	Nil	Nil	Nil	

5	Mukesh Kumar (Independent)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	872850/-	Yes	-	NII	10000/- (Loan) Ashok Kumar	Nil	
6	Sanjay Prashar (Independent)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	2334942/-	Yes	-	NII	Nil	Nil	


District Election Officer (DC)
Kangra at Dharamshala.

Comments if any, by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7th January, 2023.




Signature of Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(1)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Prem Chand, Vill. Upper Pragpur, PO & Sub Tehsil Pragpur, District Kangra H.P.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-Jaswan Pragpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Bikram Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				1,72,110/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	



	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Yes
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Yes
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Yes
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	<p>Yes</p>  <p>Signature</p> <p>Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS</p> <p>(Name of the DEO)</p> <p>District Election Officer (DC), Kangra at Dharamshala,</p>
23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer		
<p>Date: 7-1-23</p>  <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(1)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Bikram Singh, Vill. Jole, PO Jandour, Tehsil Jaswan, District Kangra, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-Jaswan Pragpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Bikram Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				1780228/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce B- Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	



	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	26
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	26
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	26
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	26
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	26
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p>  <p>Signature</p> <p>Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) (Name of the DEO):</p>
23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer		
<p>Date: 7-1-23</p>  <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(3)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Surinder Singh Mankotia, Vill. Kalehar, PO & Tehsil Dada Siba, District Kangra, H.P.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-Jaswan Pragpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Bikram Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				2907484/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	



	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	26
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	26
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	26
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	26
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	26
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	<p>Yes</p>  <p>Signature</p> <p>Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) (Name of the DEO):</p>
23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer		
<p>Date: 7-1-23</p>  <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(4)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sahil Chouhan, Vill. Nichla Swana, PO Swana, Tehsil Jaswan, District Kangra, H.P.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-Jaswan Pragpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Bikram Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				3,41,470/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	



	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	26
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	26
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	26
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	26
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	26
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes  Signature Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) Kangra at Dharanashala. (Name of the DEO).
23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>Date: 7-1-23</div> <div>  Signature of Expenditure Observer </div> </div>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(5)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Mukesh Kumar, Village Duhki, P.O. Seul, Tehsil Dada Siba, District Kangra, H.P.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-Jaswan Pragpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Bikram Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs. 872850/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	



	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Yes
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Yes
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Yes
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p>  <p>Signature</p> <p>Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS</p> <p>(Name of the DEO):</p> <p>District Election Officer (DC)</p> <p>Kangra at Dharamshala.</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-23</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Signature of Expenditure Observer </p>		

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(6)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sanjay Prashar, Village & P.O. Swana, Tehsil Jaswan, District Kangra, H.P.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	11-Jaswan Pragpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Bikram Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				2334942/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				----	

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Yes
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Yes
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	Yes
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	Yes
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Yes
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p>  <p>Signature</p> <p>Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala. (Name of the DEO):</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-23</p>  <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		