

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: **52-Doon**

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **06**

(c) State and District: **Himachal Pradesh, Solan**


(d) Date of declaration of result of election: **08.12.2022**

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **07.01.2023**

(f) Name of the elected candidate: **Sh. Ram Kumar**


1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate / agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Exp. Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1.	Sh. Nagender Chand, Bahujan Samaj Party	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	65,397/-	Yes	NIL	2,000/-	NIL	NIL	-
2.	Sh. Paramjeet Singh Pammi, Bharatiya Janata Party	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	30,83,763/-	Yes	10,00,000/- BJP	5,49,258/-	NIL	6,46,950/-	-
3.	Sh. Ram Kumar, Indian National Congress	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	26,41,873/-	Yes	10,00,000/- INC	NIL	5,00,000/- (Mohan Singh)	6,48,845/-	-

4.	Sh.Des Raj Chauhan, Independent	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	15,03,117 /-	Yes	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	-
5.	Sh.Balwant Singh, Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	07.01.2023	Not Submitted	--NA--	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-
6.	Sh. Swarn Singh Saini, Aam Aadmi Party	07.01.2023	Not Submitted	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-


 (Kritika Kulhari), IAS
 District Election Officer (D.C),
 Solan, District Solan, H.P.

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,.....

Date: 9.1.2023


 09/01/2023
 Yogesh Mishra, IRS
 Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 01


Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN


Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Nagender Chand Village Ravohan, P.O. Bhadhonighat, Tehsil Krishangarh, Distt. Solan, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	52-Doon
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ram Kumar
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date oflodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by thecandidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.65,397/-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii,iii						
Total						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				Agreed	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the				NA	

	Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 07.01.2023</p>	<p>Yes, Agreed</p> <p> (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan</p>

23.	<p>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"></p> <p>Date: 07.01.2023 Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>
------------	--

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 02


Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN


Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Paramjeet Singh Pammi Village Lehi & Post Office Karuana, Tehsil Baddi, Distt. Solan, (HP)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	52-Doon
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ram Kumar
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date oflodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.30,83,763/-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii,iii						
Total						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				Agreed	
	(ii) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the				NA	

	Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 07.01.2023	Yes, Agreed  (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 07.01.2023 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
------------	--

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03


Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN


Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Ram Kumar Village & Post Office Haripur Sandholi, Tehsil Baddi, Distt. Solan, (HP)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	52-Doon
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ram Kumar
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.26,41,873/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the	Yes

	account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii,iii						
Total						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				Agreed	
	(iii) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	

	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 07.01.2023</p>	<p>Yes, Agreed</p> <p> (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan</p>

23.	<p>Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</p> <p></p> <p>Date: 07.01.2023 Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>
------------	---

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 04


Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN


Election to H.P. STATE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Des Raj Chauhan Village Kalu Jhanda, Post Office Mandhala, Tehsil Baddi, Distt. Solan, (HP)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	52-Doon
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ram Kumar
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A.
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A.
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A.
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.15,03,117/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E.	Yes

	Rules, 1961					
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				N.A.	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
ii,iii						
Total						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				Agreed	
	(iv) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				NA	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation				NA	

	(if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 07.01.2023	Yes, Agreed  (Kritika Kulhari), IAS District Election Officer (DC) Solan

23.	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-  Date: 07.01.2023 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
------------	--

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

"GREATER PARTICIPATION FOR A STRONGER DEMOCRACY"

No. 3-Solan-3/2022-ELN. 96

Office of the District Election Office (DC)

Solan District Solan Dated: Solan, the 10th January, 2023

Notice

Whereas, you were contesting candidate in the Election to Himachal Pradesh State Legislative Assembly 2022 from 52-Doon Assembly Constituency, and under Section 78 of Representation of People Act, 1951, you were required to lodge election accounts within 30 days after the declaration of result i.e., latest by 7th January, 2023, about which you were intimated vide Letters No.3-Solan-3/2022- ELN-9041-50 dated 13-12-2022 and even No-9119-69 dated 30-12-2022 of undersigned.


Whereas, after the completion of 30 days from the declaration of result i.e., 7th January, 2022, you have not filed your election account.

Therefore, a notice is hereby given to you under Rule 89(5) of the Conduct of Election Rules, 1961 that you must lodge the election accounts within 3 days of receipt of this notice.

In case, you fail to lodge the election accounts within the stipulated time given above, the action will be taken against you under Section 10A of Representation of People Act, 1951, which will invite the disqualification for a period of three years from the date of the orders passed by the Election Commission of India.

Name and Address:-

Sh. Balwant Singh,
Village & P.O. Chandi ,
Tehsil Krishangarh, District Solan, H.P.


(Kritika Kulhari) IAS,
District Election Officer (DC)
Solan, District Solan

"GREATER PARTICIPATION FOR A STRONGER DEMOCRACY"

No. 3-Solan-3/2022-ELN. - 95

Office of the District Election Office (DC)

Solan District Solan Dated: Solan, the 10th January, 2023

Notice

Whereas, you were contesting candidate in the Election to Himachal Pradesh State Legislative Assembly 2022 from 52-Doon Assembly Constituency, and under Section 78 of Representation of People Act, 1951, you were required to lodge election accounts within 30 days after the declaration of result i.e., latest by 7th January, 2023, about which you were intimated vide Letters No.3-Solan-3/2022- ELN-9041-50 dated 13-12-2022 and even No-9119-69 dated 30-12-2022 of undersigned.

Whereas, after the completion of 30 days from the declaration of result i.e., 7th January, 2022, you have not filed your election account.

Therefore, a notice is hereby given to you under Rule 89(5) of the Conduct of Election Rules, 1961 that you must lodge the election accounts within 3 days of receipt of this notice.

In case, you fail to lodge the election accounts within the stipulated time given above, the action will be taken against you under Section 10A of Representation of People Act, 1951, which will invite the disqualification for a period of three years from the date of the orders passed by the Election Commission of India.

Name and Address:-

Sh. Swarn Singh Saini,

Village & P.O. Bhud,

Tehsil Baddi, District Solan, H.P.



(Kritika Kulhari) IAS,
District Election Officer (DC)
Solan, District Solan