SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(A) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 21-Lahaul & Spiti (ST)
(B) Total no. of contesting candidates: 03
(C) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Lahaul & Spiti
(D) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08.12.2022
(E) Last Date of Lodging Accounts: 07.01.2023
(F) Name of the elected candidate: Ravi Thakur (INC)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
Sr. No.	Name of the Candidate of party Affiliation	Due date lodging of Account	Date of lodging of Accounts by the Candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by laws (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses Incurred / authorized by the candidate /agent (as mentioned in Part- II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO 's Scrutiny report i.e., annexure-C13)	Total expenses incurred by the party (as reported in Part-II of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/ entities (as reported in Part- III of Abstract Statement)		Remarks of the expenditure observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each political party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the political party	Lump Sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand Total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Dr. Ram Lal Markanda (BJP)	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	22,87,958.75	Yes	25,00,000.00	Nil	3,00,000.00 1. Nageent Chhering (45000 Cash) 2. Ang Chhuk (60000 Cash) + Rajesh (45000 Cash) 3 Amar Singh (55000 Cash) + Sohan Singh (35000 Cash) 4 Norbu (60000 Cash)	Nil	*Refer to Annexure-A

2	Sh. Ravi Thakur (INC)	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	21,50,279.15	Yes	10,00,000.00	Nil	Nil	Nil	* Refer to Annexure-A
3	Sh. Sudershan Jaspa (AAP)	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	5,27,480.00	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	* Refer to Annexure-A

AEO

Signature of DEO
District Election Officer (DC)
Lahaul & Spiti at Keylong (H.P.)

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, If any, _____

Date:

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

1. Amit Sanjay Gaikwad, IRS

2. Nazbu Bhutia, IRS

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 1

Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH **District** LAHAUL & SPITI **Election** CONSTITUENT ASSEMBLY

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	**Description**	**to be filled up by the DEO**
1	Name & address of the candidate	DR. RAM LAL MARKANDA
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	B.J.P.
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	21-L&S (ST)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Mr. Ravi Thakur
5	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	05.01.2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) YES (ii) YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06.01.2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023
12	whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	N/a
13	If account lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	YES
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/a
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N/a
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 2287958.75/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N/a
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N/a
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/a
	(iV) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N/a
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/a
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NO

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -
ii , iii	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA	- NA -
Total						

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period	YES
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-	N/A
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	- NA -
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	- NA -
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	- NA -
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	- NA -
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation	
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date 07/1/23	Yes/~~No~~ Signature (Name of the DEO) Sh. Sumit Khunte District Election Officer (DC) Lahaul & Spiti at Keylong (H.P.)

ACO

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07/1/23

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

AMIT SANJAY GURAV (I.R.S.)
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
21- LAHAUL & SPITI
Exp. Observer Code: R-34045

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

AMIT SANJAY GURAV
(I.R.S.)

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
21- LAHAUL & SPITI

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 2

Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH **District** LAHAUL & SPITI **Election** CONSTITUENT ASSEMBLY

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	**Description**	**to be filled up by the DEO**
1	Name & address of the candidate	MR. RAVI THAKUR
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	I.N.C.
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	21-L&S (ST)
4	Name of the elected candidate	MR. RAVI THAKUR
5	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	05.01.2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) YES (ii) YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06.01.2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023
12	whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	N/a
13	If account lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	YES
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/a
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N/a
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 2150279.15/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N/a
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N/a
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/a
	(iV) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N/a
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/a
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NO

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	- NA -	- NA	- NA -	- — NA -	— NA	- — NA —
ii , iii	- NA -	- NA	- NA -	- — NA -	— NA	- — NA —
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					YES
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					N/A
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					— NA -
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					— NA -
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					— NA -
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply					— NA -
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					— NA -
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) **Date** 07/1/23.					Yes/~~No~~ **Signature (Name of the DEO)** Sh. Sumit Kehling District Election Officer (DC) Lahaul & Spiti at Keylong (H.P.)

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07/1/23.

AMIT SANJAY GURAV (I.R.S.)
EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
24- LAHAUL & SPITI

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

(NOR134 \ 13H4T(A)
Exp. Observer code: IR-34045

AEO

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

AMIT SANJAY GURAV
(I.R.S.)

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
21- LAHAUL & SPITI

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 3

Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH **District** LAHAUL & SPITI **Election** CONSTITUENT ASSEMBLY

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	**Description**	**to be filled up by the DEO**
1	Name & address of the candidate	MR. SUDERSHAN JASPA
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	A.A.P.
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	21-L&S (ST)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Mr. Ravi Thakur
5	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	05.01.2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) YES (ii) YES
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	YES
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	06.01.2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	YES
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023
12	whether account lodged in time	YES
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	N/a
13	If account lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	YES
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/a
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N/a
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part –II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 5,27,480/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	YES
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	N/a
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N/a
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N/a
	(iV) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N/a
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N/a
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NO

19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	-NA-	-NA-	-NA-	- -NA-	-NA-	- NA-
ii , iii	-NA-	-NA	-NA-	- -NA-	-NA-	-NA-
Total						

20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period	YES
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-	N/A
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	-NA-
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	-NA-
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice	-NA-
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention the date of reply	-NA-
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation	✓
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) **Date** 07/1/23.	Yes/~~No~~ **Signature (Name of the DEO)** Sumit Sanjay G ~~District Election Officer (DC)~~ Lahaul & Spiti at Keylong (H.P.) AMIT SANJAY GURAV (I.R.S.) EXPENDITURE OBSERVER 21-LAHAUL & SPITI

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date 07/1/23.

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

(NORBU BHUTIA)

Exp. Observer Code: R-34045

ACEO

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

AMIT SANJAY GURAV
(I.R.S.)

EXPENDITURE OBSERVER
21- LAHAUL & SPITI