SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 13-Jaisinghpur (SC)(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 06

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Kangra

(d) Date of declaration of result of election 08/12/2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07/01/2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Yadvinder Goma

	2	3	4	5	6	7	Name of the elec	T Carianale	. I auvilluer	Goma		
	Name of the	Due Date	Date of	Whether	Whether	the same of the sa	8	9		10		11
No	candidate and Party Affiliation	of lodging of account	lodging of	lodged in the prescrib ed	lodged in the manner required	the expenses incurred/autho rized by the candidate/age	agrees with the	the Party (As in Part-III of Absorbatement)	eported in	Total expenses other/entities as Part-III of Abstra Statement	reported in	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
1	∕advinder Goma				by law (Yes/No)	Abstract	to point No. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by cash Political Party	in kind by the Political Party	Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and Mention names	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
	Indian National Congress)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	33,43,187/-	Yes	30,00,000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	
1	Ravinder Kumar Dhiman (Bharatiya anata Party)	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	31,05,968/-	Yes	25,00,000/-	Nil	· Nil	Nil	
3 5	antosh Kumar (Aam admi Party)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	3,96,720/-	Yes	-	Nil	50,000/- Pancham	Nil	
(F	ushil Kumar Rashtriya Devbhumi arty)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes 4	4,74,993/-	Yes	-	NII	Chand Nil	Nil	
D (II	Kehar Singh (07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes 1	,14,600/-	Yes	<u>-</u>	NII	Nil	Nil	

Surender Singh	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	36,200/-	Yes	T	1			
(Independent)					100,200/	res	-	NII	Nil	Nil	

District Election OfficeD (DC) r (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala hala.

Comments if any, by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7th January, 2023

My nde 7. 01. 2023
Signature of Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(1)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Yadvinder Goma, Vill. Parnoh, PO Panchrukhi, Tehsi Palampur, District Kangra		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	13-Jaisinghpur(SC)		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Yadvinder Goma		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
).	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
1.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original		
2.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
3.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
4.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-		
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-		

15.			ection expenses of the Abstract S		3343187/-	
16.	expenses of	the cand	O's opinion, the didate has been Act, 1951 and		Yes	
17.	If No, then p	lease me	ention the follow	wing defects with		NA
	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Rent Register, Catement has been		Yes	
	(ii) Whether by candidate	duly sw	orn in affidavit		Yes	
	(iii) Whether election expe		e vouchers in resubmitted		Yes	
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	Yes		
	(v) Whether a		nditure (except		Yes	
18.			had issued a no	NA		
	candidate for	_	ng the defect idate rectified t		NA	
		nts of th	e DEO on the a	NA		
19.	candidate con Shadow Obse	rrespond ervation	f election exper I with the exper Register and Fo		Yes	
	If No, then me Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OTAL						
0.		ependitu Authoriz	oduce his Regi re for insp zed persons		Yes	
21.				mentioned against give the following		

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	40
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NO
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NO
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	110
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) (Name of the DEO) Kangra at Dharamshala.

Date: 7-1-23

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(2)

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961							
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO					
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ravinder Kumar Dhiman, VPO Janga!, Tehsil Jaisinghpur, District Kangra HP					
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party					
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	13-Jaisinghpur(SC)					
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Yadvinder Goma					
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022					
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023					
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes					
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes					
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes					
).	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023					
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes					
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	03.01.2023					
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original					
2.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes					
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA					
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA					
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate						
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate						

15.			ection expenses of the Abstract S		3105968/-	
16.	expenses of	the cand	O's opinion, the didate has been Act, 1951 and		Yes	
17.	If No, then p details	lease me	ention the follow	wing defects with		NA
	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Rent Register, Catement has been		Yes	
	(ii) Whether by candidate		orn in affidavit		Yes	
	(iii) Whether election expe		e vouchers in re submitted		Yes	
	(iv) Whether	separate	e Bank Account	Yes		
	(v) Whether a routed through		nditure (except paccount	Yes		
18.			had issued a no	NA		
	candidate for (ii) Whether t		ng the defect idate rectified th		NA	
		nts of the	e DEO on the a	NA		
19.	candidate con	rrespond ervation	f election expent with the expense Register and Fone		Yes	
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OTAL						
0.		penditu Authoriz	oduce his Regire for inspected persons		Yes	
1.	If DEO does r Row No. 19 details:-	not agree	e with the facts d to above, g			

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Mo
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Mo
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NO
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	No
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Mo
2.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Manimin
		Signature
		Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS
		Thems of the DEO) fficer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala,

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23

Myorde 07.01.W13 Signature of Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(3)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Santosh Kumar, Vill. Kandhral, PO Bharwana, Sul Tehsil Panchrukhi, Distt. Kangra
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	13-Jaisinghpur(SC)
1.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Yadvinder Goma
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
5.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
3.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
).	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
0.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
1.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
2.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
3.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
4.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of	

15.			ection expenses of the Abstract S	1	396720/-	
16.	expenses of t	he cand	O's opinion, the didate has been Act, 1951 and		Yes	
17.	If No, then pl	ease me	ention the follow		NA .	
	(i) Whether E Day to Day Register, Abs	Accou		Yes		
	(ii) Whether of by candidate	duly sw	orn in affidavit		Yes	
	(iii) Whether election expenses		e vouchers in re submitted		Yes	
	(iv) Whether	separate	e Bank Account	Yes		
	(v) Whether a routed throug		nditure (except account	Yes		
18.	(i) Whether the candidate for		had issued a no	NA		
			idate rectified t		NA	
	(iii) Commer the defect was		e DEO on the a ed or not.	NA		
19.	candidate cor	respond rvation	f election exper I with the expo Register and Fo ne following:		Yes	
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ГОТАL						
20.		penditu Authori			Yes	
21.				mentioned against give the following		

		Mo		
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	70		
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	10		
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	110		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	<i>N</i> 0		
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes		
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature		
		Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS		
		(Night of the ben)Officer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala.		

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(4)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sushil Kumar, Vill. Gharlon, PO Bhuana, Sub Tehsi Panchrukhi, District Kangra
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	13-Jaisinghpur(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Yadvinder Goma
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
)	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
1.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
2.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
3.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
4.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	•

						47,40027
15.			ection expenses of the Abstract S	s reported by the Statement		474993/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes
17.	If No, then pl	ease me	ention the follow		NA .	
	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Research Register, Catement has been	Yes		
	(ii) Whether of by candidate	iuly sw	orn in affidavit	has been submitted	Yes	
	(iii) Whether election exper	requisit nditure	e vouchers in re submitted	espect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	t opened for election		Yes
	(v) Whether a routed through		nditure (except account	Yes		
18.			had issued a no	otice to the	NA	
	candidate for (ii) Whether the		ng the defect idate rectified th	NA		
		nts of th	e DEO on the a		NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes
	It No, then me Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	W 0		
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	No		
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NO		
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	No		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	110		
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Fes		
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature		
		Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS		
		Di (Name of the DEO) licer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala.		

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(5)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. **RULES, 1961** S.No. Description To be filled up by the DEO Dr. Kehar Singh, VPO Bardam, Tehsil Jaisinghpur, 1. Name & address of the candidate District Kangra HP Independent 2. Political Party affiliation, if any 13-Jaisinghpur(SC) 3. and No. name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency Sh. Yadvinder Goma 4. Name of the elected candidate 08.12.2022 5. Date of declaration of result 03.01.2023 6. Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been Yes informed about the date of Account Reconciliation 7. Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting Yes Yes 8. Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) 07.01.2023 Last date prescribed for lodging Account Yes 10. Whether the candidate has lodged the account 11. If the candidate has lodged the account, date of 03.01.2023 lodging of account by the candidate: Original (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting Yes 12. Whether account lodged in time NA 12 A. If not lodged in time, period of delay NA 13. If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. 14. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate 14A Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate

15.			ection expenses of the Abstract S		1,14,600/-	
16.	expenses of	the cand	O's opinion, the didate has been Act, 1951 and		Yes	
17.	If No, then p	lease me	ention the follow		NA	
	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Rent Register, Catement has been		Yes	
	(ii) Whether by candidate	duly swo	orn in affidavit		Yes	
	(iii) Whether election expe			espect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election		Yes
	(v) Whether a routed throug			petty expenditure)	*	Yes
18.			had issued a no	otice to the	NA	
	candidate for (ii) Whether t			NA		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					NA
19.	candidate cor	respond rvation	Felection exper with the expo Register and Fo ne following:		Yes	
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	. NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					

•	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	MQ
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	No
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	100
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	10
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	YES
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Munu -
		Signature
		Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS
		D (Name of the OFO) fficer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala.

Date: 7-1-23

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(6)

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961					
S.No. Description		To be filled up by the DEO			
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Surender Singh, Vill. Andrana, PO Marera, Tehsil Jaisinghpur, District Kangra H.P.			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent			
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	13-Jaisinghpur(SC)			
1.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Yadvinder Goma			
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022			
5.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023			
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes			
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes			
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes			
	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023			
0.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes			
1.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account	03.01.2023			
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original			
2.	Whether account lodged in time	· Yes			
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA			
3.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate.	NA			
	If not, reason thereof.				
4.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate				
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				

15.			ection expenses of the Abstract S	reported by the Statement		36200/-
16.	expenses of	the cand	O's opinion, the didate has been Act, 1951 and		Yes	
17.	If No, then pl	lease me	ention the follow		NA	
	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Research Register, Catement has been	Yes		
	(ii) Whether of by candidate	duly sw	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes
	(iii) Whether election expe			espect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether	separate	e Bank Account	opened for election		Yes
	(v) Whether a routed throug			petty expenditure)	Yes	
18.			had issued a no	otice to the	NA	
	candidate for			NA		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:					Yes
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	<i>₩</i> °		
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	178		
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NB		
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	MO		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	100		
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes		
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature		
		Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS		
		D(Name efthe Officer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala.		

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23

Nup orde 07.01. vors Signature of Expenditure Observer