

# SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 38-Hamirpur

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 09

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Hamirpur

(d) Date of declaration of result of election 08/12/2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07/01/2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Ashish Sharma

7) Date of lodging accounts: 07/01/2023												
(1) Name of the elected candidate: Ashish Sharma												
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
Sl. No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes/No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes/No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/agent( as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point No. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by other/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by cash Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and Mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Dr. Kashmir Singh Thakur(Communist Party of India)(Marxist)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	3,71,358	yes	Nil	Nil	1,43,239	Nil	-
2	Narinder Thakur(Bharatiya Janata Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	26,37,949	yes	2500000	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
3	Dr. Pushpinder Verma (Indian National Congress)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	22,40,389	yes	3000000	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
4	Praveen Kaushal (Bahujan Samaj Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	53,534	yes	Nil	Nil	5000	Nil	-
5	Abhinay Bhardwaj (Rashriya Devbhumi Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	1,25,713	yes	Nil	Nil	86,189	Nil	-
6	Sushil Kumar Surroch (Aam Aadmi Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	4,15,675	yes	Nil	Nil	3,10,000	Nil	-



7	Ashish Kumar (Independent)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	4650	yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
8	Ashish Sharma (Independent)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	18,99,977	yes	Nil	Nil	7,70,455	Nil	-
9	Naresh Kumar Darji (Independent)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	7,75,757	yes	Nil	Nil	13000	Nil	-

Comments if any, by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-01-2023

Signature of DEO  
Hamidpur

Signature of Expenditure Observer



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

★ Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur



Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022

**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Dr. Kashmir Singh Thakur S/o Garja Ram, Village Anu Kalan, Ward No.3 Tehsil & District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Communist Party of India (Marxist)
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	38-Hamirpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ashish Sharma, Independent
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				3,71,358 /-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii .....	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjita. (Name of the DEO):</p> <p>District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023.</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Narinder Thakur, S/o Jagdev Chand, House No.10, Ward No.1 MC Area, Tehsil & District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	38-Hamirpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ashish Sharma, Independent
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				26,37,949 /-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii .....	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p><u>Jitender Sanjita</u> (Name of the DEO)</p> <p>District Election Officer (DO) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.M.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Dr. Pushpinder Verma , Pushpkunj, Shiam Nagar, PO Daruhi, Tehsil & District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	38-Hamirpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ashish Sharma, Independent
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				22,40,389 /-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii .....	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjita. (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022



## DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Praveen Kaushal S/o Laxman Singh, Village Bhiar, PO. Mehal, Tehsil Bhoranj, District Hamirpur.
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	38-Hamirpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ashish Sharma, Independent
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				53,534/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii .....	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjita. (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DO) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H...)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		



## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022



## DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Abhinay Bhardwaj S/o Radha Krishan Bhardwaj, H. No-3 Ward No.11, PO Mohin, Tehsil & District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	38-Hamirpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ashish Sharma, Independent
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				1,25,713 /-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii .....	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					



	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	NO
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NO
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes   Signature  Jitender Samta. (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DO) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)
23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div>Date: 7-1-2023.</div> <div>  Signature of Expenditure Observer </div> </div>		



## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022



## DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Sushil Kumar Surroch S/o Braham prakash Surroch, Vill. Kallar, PO Bhira Tehsil & District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	38-Hamirpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ashish Sharma, Independent
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				4,15,675/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii .....	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p></p> <p>Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjita.</p> <p>(Name of the DEO):</p> <p>District Election Officer (DC)</p> <p>Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (Him.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023.</p> <p></p> <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		





## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022




## DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Ashish Kumar S/o Tej Nath, V & PO Tikkar Khatrian , Tehsil Bhoranj District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	38-Hamirpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ashish Sharma, Independent
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				4650/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii .....	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p> (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023.</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Ashish Sharma S/o Ved Prakash, Village Mulana PO Bohni , Tehsil & District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	38-Hamirpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ashish Kumar , Independent
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				18,99,977/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii .....	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>No</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sangita (Name of the DEO):</p> <p>District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p></p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023.</p> <p>Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO Hamirpur

Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Election to the State Legislative Assembly-2022



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Naresh Kumar Darji S/o Om Prakash, Village Sasan PO. Jhaniari Devi , Tehsil & District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	38-Hamirpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ashish Sharma , Independent
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-



15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				77,575/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii .....	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	



21.	<p>If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-</p> <p>(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting</p> <p>(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice</p> <p>(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?</p> <p>(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply</p> <p>(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation</p>	<p>NA</p> <p>NA</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p> <p>NO</p>
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p> Signature</p> <p>Jitender Sanjita. (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer</p> <p>Date: 7-1-2023.</p> <p> Signature of Expenditure Observer</p>		