

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 56-Nahan
(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, District Sirmaur
(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07-01-2023

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 06
(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08-12-2022
(f) Name of the elected candidate: Sh. Ajay Solanki (INC)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Sh. Ajay Solanki (INC)	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	20,49,918/-	Yes	30,00,000/-	Nil	4,65,000/-	Nil	
2	Dr. Rajeev Bindal (BJP)	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	31,75,070/-	Yes	25,00,000/-	Nil	2,84,000/-	Nil	
3	Sh. Ayodhya Parsad Verma (BSP)	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	92,427/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	5,000/-	Nil	
4	Sh. Ramjan (IND)	07-01-2023	Not Submitted and Notice has been issued on dated 07/01/2023									
5	Sh. Sunil Sharma (AAP)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	1,69,327/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	90,000/-	Nil	
6	Sh. Sankender Singh (RDP)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	Yes	Yes	63,672/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	42,000/-	Nil	

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

Mr. Ramjan has not submitted that + District Election Office District Sirmaur at Nahan. Accordingly action has been taken by DEO.


Date: 10.01.23

Signature of the Expenditure Observer


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO — 01
Name of the State H.P. District Sirmour Election Vidhan Sabha-2022



DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Ajay Solanki House No 320/9, Behind JBT School, Nahan
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	56-Nahan
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ajay Solanki
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(xxvii) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(xxviii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxvii) original account (xxviii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	(Original Account) 06-01-2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	20,49,918/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A


District Election Officer (DC),
District Sirmour at Nahan

	(lxvi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A																		
	(lxvii) Whether duly sworn affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A																		
	(lxviii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A																		
	(lxix) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A																		
	(lxx) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A																		
18.	(xl) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (xli) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (xlii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A																		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes																		
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th><th>Date</th><th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th><th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th><th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th><th>Amount understated by the candidate</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td>N.A</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate									N.A				
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate															
		N.A																		
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes																		
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A																		
	(lxvi) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A																		
	(lxvii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A																		
	(lxviii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A																		
	(lxix) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A																		
	(lxx) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A																		


**District Election Officer (DC),
District Sirmaur at Nahan**

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 10.01.23		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

**District Election Officer (DC),
District Sirmaur at Nahan**

* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO- 2
Name of the State H.P. District Sirmour Election Vidhan Sabha-2022



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
 RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	<i>Dr. Rajeev Bindal</i> Villa Round, Nahan, Sirmaur HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	56-Nahan
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ajay Solanki
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(xxxv) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(xxxvi) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxxv) original account (xxxvi) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	(Original Account) 06-01-2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	31,75,070/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A

**District Election Officer (DC),
 District Sirmaur at Nahan**

	(lxxxvi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A				
	(lxxxvii) Whether duly sworn affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A				
	(lxxxviii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A				
	(lxxxix) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A				
	(xc) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A				
18.	(lii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (liii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (liv) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A				
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes				
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
			N. A.			
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes				
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A				
	(lxxxvi) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A				
	(lxxxvii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A				
	(lxxxviii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A				
	(lxxxix) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A				
	(xc) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A				


**District Election Officer (DC),
District Sirmaur at Nahan**

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO) District Election Officer (DEO), District Sirmour at Nahan
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 10.07.23		 Signature of the Expenditure Observer


* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 03
Name of the State H.P. District Sirmour Election Vidhan Sabha-2022



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
 RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Ayodhya Prasad Verma Purbia Ka Bag, Mohalla Dhabon, Nahan, Sirmaur H.P
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	56-Nahan
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ajay Solanki
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(xxxii) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(xxxii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxxii) original account (xxxii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	(Original Account) 06-01-2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	92427/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following	N.A


**District Election Officer (DC),
 District Sirmour at Nahan**

	defects with details													
	(lxxvi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A												
	(lxxvii) Whether duly sworn affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A												
	(lxxviii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A												
	(lxxix) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A												
	(lxxx) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A												
18.	(xlvii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (xlviii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (xlviii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A												
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes												
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th><th>Date</th><th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th><th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th><th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th><th>Amount understated by the candidate</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td>N.A</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate			N.A				
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate									
		N.A												
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes												
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A												
	(lxxvi) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A												
	(lxxvii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A												
	(lxxviii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A												
	(lxxix) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A												
	(lxxx) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A												


**District Election Officer (DC),
District Sirmaur at Nahan**


22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date:</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p></p> <p>District Election Officer (DC), (Name of the DEO) District Simsburi at Nahan</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*:-</p> <p>Date: 10.07.23</p> <p> Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>		

* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.


** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 04
Name of the State H.P. District Sirmour Election Vidhan Sabha-2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Ramjan Gram Maliyon, PO Majra, Tehsil Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur H.P-173021
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	56-Nahan
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ajay Solanki
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(lxxix) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(lxxx) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	No
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Not Submitted
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Not Submitted
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (lxxix) original account (lxxx) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Not Submitted
12.	Whether account lodged in time	No
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	Yes
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	No
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	No
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Not Submitted
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	No
17.	If No, then please mention the following	N.A


**District Election Officer (DC),
District Sirmour at Nahan**

	defects with details																			
	(cxcvi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Not Submitted																		
	(cxcvii) Whether duly sworn affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Not Submitted																		
	(cxcviii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Not Submitted																		
	(cxcix) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A																		
	(cc) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A																		
18.	(cxviii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (cxix) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (cxx) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A																		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	No																		
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th><th>Date</th><th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th><th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th><th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th><th>Amount understated by the candidate</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td>N.A</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate									N.A				
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate															
		N.A																		
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	No																		
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A																		
	(cxcvi) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A																		
	(cxcvii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A																		
	(cxcviii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A																		
	(cxcix) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A																		
	(cc) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation																			


**District Election Officer (DC),
District Sirmaur at Nahan**

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Not Submitted  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 10.01.23		 District Election Officer (DC), District Sirmour at Nahan  Signature of the Expenditure Observer


* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 05.
Name of the State H.P. District Sirmour Election Vidhan Sabha-2022



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
 RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Sunil Sharma House No 82, Mohalla Haripur, Nahan
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	56-Nahan
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ajay Solanki
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(xxv) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(xxvi) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xxv) original account (xxvi) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	(Original Account) 07-01-2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	1,69,327/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A


**District Election Officer (DC),
 District Sirmour at Nahan**

	(lxi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A																		
	(lxii) Whether duly sworn affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A																		
	(lxiii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A																		
	(lxiv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A																		
	(lxv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A																		
18.	(xxxvii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (xxxviii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (xxxix) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A																		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes																		
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th><th>Date</th><th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th><th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th><th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th><th>Amount understated by the candidate</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td>N.A</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate									N.A				
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate															
		N.A																		
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes																		
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A																		
	(lxi) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A																		
	(lxii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A																		
	(lxiii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A																		
	(lxiv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A																		
	(lxv) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A																		


**[District Election Officer (DC),
District Sirmaur at Nahan]**

22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date:	Yes  Signature (Name of the DEO)
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*- Date: 10.07.23		 District Election Officer (DC), District Sirmaur at Nahan Signature of the Expenditure Observer


* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO— 6
Name of the State H.P. District Sirmour Election Vidhan Sabha-2022



**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
 RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Salender Singh Village Sarahan, PO Banethi, Tehsil Nahan
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	RDP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	56-Nahan
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Ajay Solanki
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(xlv) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(xlvi) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (xlv) original account (xlvi) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	(Original Account) 07-01-2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	63,672/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A


**District Election Officer (DEO),
 District Sirmour at Nahan**

	(cxi) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A																								
	(cxii) Whether duly swornin affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A																								
	(cxiii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A																								
	(cxiv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A																								
	(cxv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A																								
18.	(lxvii) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (lxviii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (lxix) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	N.A																								
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes																								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Items of expenditure</th><th>Date</th><th>Page No. of Shadow Observation Register</th><th>Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence</th><th>Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate</th><th>Amount understated by the candidate</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																			
Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate																					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes																								
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A																								
	(cxi) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A																								
	(cxii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A																								
	(cxiii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A																								
	(cxiv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A																								
	(cxv) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A																								


**District Election Officer (DC),
District Sirmaur at Nahan**

22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date:</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p></p> <p>Signature (Name of the DEO)</p> <p>District Election Officer (DC), District Sirmour at Nahani</p>
<p>23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-</p> <p>Date: 10.9.23</p> <p></p> <p>Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>		

* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ELECTION OFFICER AT NAHAN DISTRICT SIRMAUR H.P.

No -DEMC-SMR (Exp.) 9/2022 418

Dated 07th January 2023


NOTICE

WHEREAS Sh. Sh. Ramjan, Village Maliyon, P.O Majra Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur H.P (Contesting candidate of Assembly Constituency -56-Nahan) were informed to lodge the account of Election Expenses-2022 vide letter No 3/SRM-11/2018-ELN-1905 Dated 16-12-2022 issued by the office of the undersigned.

WHEREAS it has come to the notice of DEMC during the scrutiny of the said accounts that Sh. Ramjan, 56- Nahan not lodged his account till today dated 07.01.2023 (Due Date).

Therefore, through this notice you are directed to submit your Election Expenditure Accounts on or before 22.01.2023 without fail.

"TREAT IT MOST URGENT"


District Election Officer
District Sirmour H.P
**District Election Officer (DC),
District Sirmaur at Nahan**

To
Sh. Ramjan,
Village Maliyon, P.O Majra
Tehsil-Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur H.P
Assembly Constituency-56 Nahan.