SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 67-Rohru(SC)

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: Six

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08-12-2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07-01-2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Sh Mohan Lal Brakta (INC)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.	
Sr. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	lodging of of accounts	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	f of accounts by	Whether lodged in the prescribed format	Whether lodged in the	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the	Whether the DEO agrees with the	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others, reported in Part-III of Abstract S		Remarks of the Expenditu
				(Yes or No)	manner required by law (Yes or No)	candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C- 13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	re Observer	
1	Smt Shashi Bala, BJP	07-01- 2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	26,91,061	Yes	15,00,000	Nil	1,000 1.Anil Kumar	Nil	-	
2	Sh Prakash Andta, BSP	07-01- 2023	04/01/2023	Yes	Yes	1,78,616/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	32,000 1.Saveer Ructa -5000 2.Ram Lal -7000 3. Surya Pratik Singh- 10000 4.Aman Raj-5000 5.Keshav Ram -5000	Nil	-	
3	Sh Ashwani Kumar, AAP	07-01- 2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	4,97,700/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	2,80,300/- 1.Kapil Kumar 80,000/- 2.Monu Lal 2,00,000/- 3. Deep Kumar 3,00/-	Nil		
4	Sh Rajender Singh, Independent	07-01- 2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	3,60,034	Yes	Nil	Nil	191,100 1.Balwant -10,000 2.Pawan-1100 3.Balwan Singh -10000 4.Yashpal 1,50,000 5.Balak Ram Negi -20000	Nil	-	

5	Sh Narender Singh, Rashtriya Dev Bhumi Party	07-01- 2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	5,10,140	Yes	Nil	Nil	488705/- (Schedule -9 Attached)	Nil	
6	Sh. Mohan Lal Brakta, INC	07-01- 2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	18,05,004	Yes	20,00,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	-

District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any;

Date: &

January, 2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

**						N. NO	.5	
	FE SO Veg	21-102-22	1000		10	ಉಾ		
2			10-000		90	oro		
3	Azay Sharma				20	010		
-11					tio			
1	Pooleashchaulen					ovo	•	
15		01-11-22		-				
6	Pawankumar	04-11-22	10000		60			Television of the second
1	Azay Shaoma	02-11-22	10 000		7,0	ore		
8	Pawan brown		(७०००			000		
9		18-11-22	10000		90	The state of the s		
10	Pagasan Nezi	20-n-22	10000		16	0000		
11		21-11-22	10000		110	eno		
12	Pooketh charles		10000		121	מטס		
13	Devoar Chather	24-11-22	10000		130	0000		
	Mohantal	24,122	10000		140	no con		
15	Anil Shoomer	24-11-22				000		
16	Addish	24-11-22	10000			0000		
17	1()	25-11-22	10000			oup		
18	Pritham Dev	25-11-22	10000			2) 000		
19	Anghul Staring		10000			000	4 1	
20	Sumil kumar		10000			0000		
2)	Jai Pal charte		10000					
22	Aldher Negi	26-11-22	10 000			0000		
28	Der Ray	20-11-22				0000	1	
Dy	Balder Stapans		10000			vovo		
25		26-11-22	10000	. Ab	25	000		
20		22-11-22	10000		260	0000		
27	ceela Ingh	32-11-22				0000		
28	Rankash chain	22-71-27				0000		
29	Ajoy shome	231122				0000		
30	Sudhers Ny	23-11-23				0000		
21	Panen Lamor	23-11-22				ow e		
22	Rawon Kimes	27-11-22	10000			0000		
38	Stones Ngi	27-17-22	10000			OUN		
34	Ashale komoro	2741-22	10000			V 000		
335	ceel 4 Stryh	2711-22	loers		367	9000		
36	Anshul Sharme	29112	loeno			ocrop		v
31	Pawan lovmar	29-11-22	1000			cono-		
38	Der Ray Chauhn				38	0000		
29	Sudper Negi	04-12-22	10000			0000		
1840	Sun lango	35/2/22				, जिला		
41			10000			omo		
3.0	Agay Sharma	29/12/22	10000			0000		
42	Asher Into	28/2/22	10000			0000	*	
43	Amit Shame	23/192				2070		
44	Sum kumar	25/12/22	705					
45	Rombias Storms	30/12/22	10000			2076		- A
.46	Popja Shepome	30/2/22	10000			705		
47	Meet Thomas	30122	2000			3705		
84	May lamar	30/12/22			1488	1705		
49	kalil Shopma	30/2/22	10000		48	8705		100
()	TRANI - NOTOLE	10440	.1.				any and a	

·		 	<u> </u>			
	B-B-F	-			488705	The same
\$0	Mohan lu Digony	30-12- 22	10000	-	498705	
e e				Toleil	498705	

Exterior Eyent

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 1 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Smt. Shashi Bala, Village Diswani, PO Kaloti Tehsil Chirgaon District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-1-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
	If not, reason thereof.	
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 26,91,061/-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		candidate has be quired by the R	een		
17.	defe	o, then please mention ects with details	<u>.</u>	N.A		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted					
) Whether separate B ned for election	ank Account	N.A		
	(v) petty acco	y expenditure) routed th		N.A		
18.		Whether the DEO ha		e No		
	(ii) the	Whether the car defect	ndidate rectified			
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					
19.	Whe	ther the items of election	on expenses repor	ted Yes		
	by th	ne candidate correspond on in the Shadow Ob Folder of Evidence.	d with the expens	ses		
7		o, then mention the fol				
Items o expendi		Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	J			of evidence Nil		
	Τ					
20.		I the candidate produce	his Register of Expenditure	Yes		
	1		by the persons 3 times	5		
21.	If D ment	EO does not agree ioned against Row ove, give the following	No. 19 referre			
	(i) V broug camp	Were the defects not to the notice of the aign period or durniciliation Meeting	oticed by DE candidate durin	g		
	(ii) I	f yes, then annex copie I relating to discrepan				

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 8-1-2-3	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commen Date: 8 - 1	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
Date: 6 1		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -2 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Prakash Andta, Village Bharoli, PO Sheel Tehsil Rohru District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,78,616/-

16.						*
	election lodge	her in the DEO's open expenses of the ed in the manner real 1951 and C.E. Rule	candidate has bequired by the R	een		
17.	If No.	, then please mentior ts with details		N.A		
	(i) Regis Regis		r, Bank Regist	unt		
	has	Whether duly sw been submitted by ca	andidate	N.A		
		Whether requisite vou of election expendit				
		Whether separate a	Bank Account	N.A		
	(v) petty accou	Whether all expenditure) routed nt		N.A		
18.						
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					
	and Fo	older of Evidence.				
	If No,	older of Evidence. then mention the fo				
Items of expend	If No,		Page No. of Shadow Observation Regisgter	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	If No,	then mention the fo	Page No. of Shadow Observation	as per the Shadow Observation	per the account submitted by	understated by
	If No,	then mention the fo	Page No. of Shadow Observation	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the account submitted by	understated by
	If No,	then mention the fo	Page No. of Shadow Observation	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the account submitted by	understated by
expend	If No,	then mention the fo	Page No. of Shadow Observation	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL	per the account submitted by	understated by
	If No, of iture	then mention the fo	Page No. of Shadow Observation Regisgter	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the account submitted by	understated by
expend	Did Acco for Observe during	then mention the for Date the candidate production inspection ter/RO/Authorized campaign period	Page No. of Shadow Observation Regisgter ce his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 time	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes	per the account submitted by	understated by
expend	Did Acco for Observe during If DE mentic	then mention the for Date the candidate production inspection er/RO/Authorized campaign period O does not agreened against Row	Page No. of Shadow Observation Regisgter ce his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 time e with the fact No. 19 referre	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes	per the account submitted by	understated by
expend 20.	Did Acco for Observe during If DE mentic to abo (i) W brough campai	the candidate production inspection er/RO/Authorized campaign period O does not agre	Page No. of Shadow Observation Regisgter ce his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 time e with the fact No. 19 referrering details:- noticed by DE e candidate during	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes S N.A	per the account submitted by	understated by

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 8-1-20	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	anuly
Date: 8-1	- 2023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -3 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Ashwani Kumar, House No53/2 Khashadhar-I Tehsil Chirgaon District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A.
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 4,97,700/-

				<u> </u>			
	16.	loda	ether in the DEO's option expenses of the open of the manner records, 1951 and C.E. Rules	candidate has be quired by the R.	en		
	17.	If N	o, then please mention		N.A		
		(i) Reg Reg	Whether Electister comprising of Dister, Cash Register tract Statement has be	ay to Day Accou	nt		
			Whether duly swo		N.A		
		(iii	been submitted by can) Whether requisite vouc s of election expenditu	chers in respect of	N.A		
		(iv)) Whether separate B		N.A		
		(v)	Whether all expenses whether a		N.A		
	18.		Whether the DEO ha		No No		
		(ii) the	Whether the car defect	ndidate rectified			
		(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.					1
	19.	by the show	ther the items of election the candidate correspond with in the Shadow Of Folder of Evidence.	d with the expens oservation Regist	es		
	Items of expendi	•	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
		•			of evidence NIL		
					INIL		
<u> </u>	20,				77		
	20.		d the candidate produce counting election	e his Register of Expenditure	Yes		
	for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period 21. If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-						
				N.A	N.A		
	(i) Were the defects noticed by E brought to the notice of the candidate durampaign period or during the Accordance Reconciliation Meeting				g		
		issued transl	If yes, then annex copied relating to discrepantation (if it is in region the date of notice	cies with English	h N.A		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC)
	Date: 8-1-23	Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commen	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
	li control de la	

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 4 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO Sh. Rajinder Singh, Village Nagoti, PO Gawas Sub-Tehsil Jangla District Shimla, HP		
1.	Name & address of the candidate			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A		
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate			
		N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 3,60,034/-		

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961			en			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details			N.A	N.A		
				int			
	(ii) V	Whether duly sw een submitted by c	orn in affidavit	N.A			
	(iii) W		uchers in respect of	N.A			
		Whether separate	Bank Account	N.A			
	(v)	Whether all exp xpenditure) routed	enditure (except through bank	N.A			
18.		candidate for recti	nad issued a notic ifying the defect andidate rectified				
	the de	fect Comments of the					
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.			ses			
Observation Register OI		Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate			
				NIL			
		a maneny					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the		Yes				
	Observe	er/RO/Authorized	persons 3 times	S			
21.			d				
			g nt				
		(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC)
	Date: 8-1-27	Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commen	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
		and the second s

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 5 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Narender Singh, Village Jabeda, PO Kaloti Tehsil Chirgaon District Shimla, HP		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Dev Bhumi Party		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A		
	If not, reason thereof.			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 5,10,140/-		

16.	Whether in the DEO' election expenses of lodged in the manne Act, 1951 and C.E. I	en				
17.	If No, then please men defects with details	N.A				
	(i) Whether Register comprising Register, Cash Reg Abstract Statement ha					
	(ii) Whether duly has been submitted b	y candidate	N.A			
· -	items of election exper		N.A			
	(iv) Whether separa					
	(v) Whether all petty expenditure) rou account	expenditure (except ted through bank	N.A		<u>.</u>	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified					
	the defect (iii) Comments of above, i.e. whether the not.	of the DEO on the e defect was rectified	or			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.		ses	Yes		
	If No, then mention th	e following:				
Items o expendi	f Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
	<u> </u>		NIL	<u> </u>		
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure		Yes		,	
	for inspection Observer/RO/Authorize during campaign perior	d				
21.	If DEO does not a mentioned against F to above, give the fo	d	N.A			
	(i) Were the defect brought to the notice of campaign period or Reconciliation Meeting (ii) If yes, then annex	ng nt				
	issued relating to disc translation (if it is in mention the date of not	h N.A				

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC)
	Date: 8-1-23	Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Comment	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -6
Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022
DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO Sh. Mohan Lal Brakta, VPO Kutara Tehsil Rohru District Shimla, HP		
1.	Name & address of the candidate			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A		
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 18,05,004/-		

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P.			en		
	Act, 1951 a	nd C.E. Rules	s, 1961			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details			N.A	"	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged			nt		
	(ii) Whether		rn in affidavit	N.A		
	(iii) Whether		chers in respect of	N.A		
	(iv) Wheth		Sank Account	N.A		
	(v) Wh		nditure (except nrough bank	N.A		
18.			No			
	\ / / ·	mments of the	DEO on the ect was rectified o	or		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.			es		
	expenditure of S Obs		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	L			Nil		
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure			Yes		
	for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		i			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting			g it		
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices			h N.A		



	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC)
	Date:	Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	MILLIAN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE
Date: 2 -	1.2023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.