

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: **67-Rohru(SC)**

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **Six**

(c) State and District: **Himachal Pradesh, Shimla**

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: **08-12-2022**

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **07-01-2023**

(f) Name of the elected candidate: **Sh Mohan Lal Brakta (INC)**

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
Sr. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C-13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Smt Shashi Bala, BJP	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	26,91,061	Yes	15,00,000	Nil	1,000 1.Anil Kumar	Nil	--
2	Sh Prakash Andta, BSP	07-01-2023	04/01/2023	Yes	Yes	1,78,616/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	32,000 1.Saveer Ructa -5000 2.Ram Lal -7000 3. Surya Pratik Singh-10000 4.Aman Raj-5000 5.Keshav Ram -5000	Nil	--
3	Sh Ashwani Kumar, AAP	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	4,97,700/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	2,80,300/- 1.Kapil Kumar 80,000/- 2.Monu Lal 2,00,000/- 3. Deep Kumar 3,00/-	Nil	--
4	Sh Rajender Singh, Independent	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	3,60,034	Yes	Nil	Nil	191,100 1.Balwant -10,000 2.Pawan-1100 3.Balwan Singh -10000 4 .Yashpal 1,50,000 5.Balak Ram Negi -20000	Nil	--

5	Sh Narender Singh, Rashtriya Dev Bhumi Party	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	5,10,140	Yes	Nil	Nil	488705/- (Schedule -9 Attached)	Nil	--
6	Sh. Mohan Lal Brakta, INC	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	18,05,004	Yes	20,00,000	Nil	Nil	Nil	--

District Election Officer(DC)
Shimla, District Shimla, HP

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any;

Date: 8 January, 2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

1	Feena Negi	21-10-22	10000		10000
2	Leela Singh	21-10-22	10000		20000
3	Ajay Sharma	25-10-22	10000		30000
4	Poolesh Chaurhan	26-10-22	10000		40000
5	Sudheer Negi	01-11-22	10000		50000
6	Pawan Kumar	04-11-22	10000		60000
7	Ajay Sharma	04-11-22	10000		70000
8	Pawan Kumar	14-11-22	10000		80000
9	Sudheer Negi	18-11-22	10000		90000
10	Pawan Negi	20-11-22	10000		100000
11	Sumil Kumar	21-11-22	10000		110000
12	Poolesh Chaurhan	22-11-22	10000		120000
13	Devraj Chaurhan	24-11-22	10000		130000
14	Mohan Lal	24-11-22	10000		140000
15	Anil Sharma	24-11-22	10000		150000
16	Aashish	24-11-22	10000		160000
17	Pawan Negi	25-11-22	10000		170000
18	Pritam Dev	25-11-22	10000		180000
19	Anshul Sharma	25-11-22	10000		190000
20	Sumil Kumar	25-11-22	10000		200000
21	Jai Pal Chaurhan	26-11-22	10000		210000
22	Sudheer Negi	26-11-22	10000		220000
23	Dev Raj	26-11-22	10000		230000
24	Baldev Sharma	26-11-22	10000		240000
25	Leela Singh	26-11-22	10000		250000
26	Sumil Kumar	22-11-22	10000		260000
27	Leela Singh	22-11-22	10000		270000
28	Poolesh Chaurhan	22-11-22	10000		280000
29	Ajay Sharma	23-11-22	10000		290000
30	Sudheer Negi	23-11-22	10000		300000
31	Pawan Kumar	23-11-22	10000		310000
32	Pawan Kumar	27-11-22	10000		320000
33	Sudheer Negi	27-11-22	10000		330000
34	Ashok Kumar	27-11-22	10000		340000
35	Leela Singh	27-11-22	10000		350000
36	Anshul Sharma	29-11-22	10000		360000
37	Pawan Kumar	29-11-22	10000		370000
38	Dev Raj Chaurhan	04-12-22	10000		380000
39	Sudheer Negi	04-12-22	10000		390000
40	Sumil Kumar	05-12-22	10000		400000
41	Ajay Sharma	05-12-22	10000		410000
42	Ashok Kumar	23-12-22	10000		420000
43	Anil Sharma	23-12-22	10000		430000
44	Sumil Kumar	25-12-22	705		440705
45	Poolesh Sharma	30-12-22	10000		450705
46	Pooja Sharma	30-12-22	10000		460705
47	Ashok Sharma	30-12-22	2000		468705
48	Vijay Kumar	30-12-22	10000		478705
49	Kapil Sharma	30-12-22	10000		488705

	B-B-F	-			488705	
50	Moham Lul Shapney	30-12-22	10000		498705	
				Total	498705	

J. B. M. /
Electron agent

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 1**Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022****DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Smt. Shashi Bala, Village Diswani, PO Kaloti Tehsil Chirgaon District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-1-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 26,91,061/-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes

Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
Nil					

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 8-1-23</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date: 8-1-23		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -2
Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022
DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Prakash Andta, Village Bharoli, PO Sheel Tehsil Rohru District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,78,616/-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes

Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
NIL					

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 8-1-20</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date: 8-1-2023		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -3
Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022
DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Ashwani Kumar, House No53/2 Khashadhar-I Tehsil Chirgaon District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 4,97,700/-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		N.A			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		N.A			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		N.A			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		No			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NIL					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		N.A			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		N.A			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice		N.A			

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 8-1-23</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date: 8-1-2023		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 4**Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022****DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Rajinder Singh, Village Nagoti, PO Gawas Sub-Tehsil Jangla District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
I 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 3,60,034/-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes

Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
NIL					

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 8-1-23</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date: 8-1-2023		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 5**Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022****DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Narender Singh, Village Jabeda, PO Kaloti Tehsil Chirgaon District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Dev Bhumi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
I 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 5,10,140/-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		N.A			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		N.A			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		N.A			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		No			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NIL					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		N.A			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		N.A			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice		N.A			

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 8-1-23</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date: 8-1-23		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -6
Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022
DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Mohan Lal Brakta, VPO Kutara Tehsil Rohru District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	67-Rohru(SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Mohan Lal Brakta
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 18,05,004 /-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		N.A			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		N.A			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		N.A			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		No			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	Nil					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		N.A			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		N.A			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice		N.A			

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date:</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date: 8-1-2023		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.