SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 60-Chopal

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates:

Six

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Shimla (d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08-12-2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts:

07-01-2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate:

Sh Balbir Singh Verma (BJP)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
Sr. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format	Whether lodged in the	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the	Whether the DEO agrees with the	Total expenses i Party (As report Abstract St	ed in Part-III of			Remarks of the Expenditu
				(Yes or No)	manner required by law (Yes or No)	candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C- 13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	re Observer
1	Sh Rajneesh Kımta, INC	07-01- 2023	04-01-2021	Yes	Yes	32,02,545/-	Yes	10,00,000	Nil	7,00,000/- Roshan Lal Kimta (7,00,000)	Nil	-
2	Dr. Subhash Chand Manglate, Independent	07-01- 2023	05-01-2021	Yes	Yes	15,15,377/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
3	Sh Bhagat Lal BSP	07-01- 2023	0501-2023	Yes	Yes	1,25,050/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	1,18,050/- 1.Raghuvir Singh 50,000/- (Donate) 2. M.R. Sharma 20,000/- (Donate) 3. Madan Lal 48,050/-	Nil	
4	Sh Ashok Kumar, Independent	07-01- 2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	1,38,800/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	(Donate) Nil	Nil	

15
13

5	Sh Balbir Singh Verma, BJP	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	36,92,821/- Yes	1000000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
6	Sh Udey Singhta, AAP	/07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	1,40,490 Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	

District Election Officer (DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any;

Date:

8 January, 2023

Signature of the. Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -1 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Rajneesh Kimta, Village Kaloon, PO Chambi, Tehsil Chopal, District Shimla, Hi		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	60-Chopal		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Balbir Singh Verma		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 32,02,545 /-		

	16.	election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961			en		
	17.		then please mention ts with details	the following	N.A		
		Regist Abstr	Whether Elect ter comprising of Dater, Cash Register, act Statement has been	ay to Day Accou Bank Registe on lodged	nt er,		
		has l	Whether duly swor	didate	N.A		
	-		Whether requisite vouc of election expenditure		N.A		
			Whether separate B	ank Account	N.A		
		(v)	Whether all exper expenditure) routed th		N.A		
•	18.	' '	Thether the DEO has candidate for rectify Whether the can	ing the defect	No ,		,
		the de (iii) above not.	Comments of the , i.e. whether the defe		or		
	19.	by the shown and Fo	ter the items of election candidate correspond in the Shadow Ob older of Evidence. then mention the following	d with the expense servation Regist	es		
	Items of expendit	•	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
					Nil		3
_							
	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times				Yes		
	during campaign period 21. If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-						
		(i) W brough campa Recon-	Vere the defects rate to the notice of the ign period or durciliation Meeting	noticed by DE e candidate durin ring the Accoun	g nt		
	,	issued transla	yes, then annex copie relating to discrepantion (if it is in region the date of notice	cies with Englis	h N.A		,

(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 8-1-23	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
	notice? (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -2 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Dr. Subhash Chand Manglate, Village &, PO Nerwa, Tehsil Nerwa, District Shimla, HP		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	60-Chopal		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Balbir Singh Verma		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 15,15, 377 /-		

election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				en P.			
17.		o, then please mention tets with details	the following	N.A			
	has	Whether duly swort been submitted by car	ndidate	N.A			
		Whether requisite vouc of election expenditu		N.A			
		Whether separate B ed for election	ank Account	N.A			
	(v) petty accor	Whether all experence expenditure) routed the third three three expenditures are the content of		N.A			
18.		Whether the DEO ha e candidate for rectify		e No			
	(ii) the d	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect					
	(iii) abov not.	Comments of the e, i.e. whether the defe		or			
	show and F	e candidate correspond n in the Shadow Ob Folder of Evidence. , then mention the fol	bservation Regist				
Items expend	of	of Date Page No. of Shadow Observation register O		Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
1							
			<u> </u>	Nil	<u> </u>		
20				Nil			
20.		the candidate product	e his Register of Expenditure	·			
20.	for Obser	ounting election inspection ver/RO/Authorized g campaign period	Expenditure by the persons 3 times	Nil Yes			
20.	for Obser during	ounting election inspection ver/RO/Authorized	Expenditure by the persons 3 times with the fact No. 19 referred	Nil Yes S N.A			
	for Obserduring If Doment to ab (i) Volume to amp Record	ounting election inspection ver/RO/Authorized g campaign period EO does not agree ioned against Row	Expenditure by the persons 3 times with the fact No. 19 referred ing details:- noticed by DEse candidate durin ring the Account	Yes S N.A O N.A			

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC)
	Date: 8-1-20023	Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commen	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	0 87
Date: 8 -	1.23	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
		3

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -3
Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022
DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Bhagat Lal, Village Darbar, PO Irra, Tehsil Nerwa, District Shimla, HP	
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP	
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	60-Chopal	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Balbir Singh Verma	
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022	
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023	
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes	
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes	
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes	
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023	
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes	
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes	
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A	
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A	
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/ A	
14A		N.A N.A	
	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate		
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,25,050/-	

16.	election	er in the DEO's opin expenses of the c	candidate has be	en				
		l in the manner req 951 and C.E. Rules						
17.	defects	then please mention t with details		N.A				
	Registe	Whether Elect er comprising of Da er, Cash Register, act Statement has bee	ay to Day Accou Bank Registe	int				
	has b	Whether duly swor een submitted by can	ndidate	N.A				
···	items o	hether requisite vouc f election expenditu	re submitted	N.A				
	opene	Whether separate B d for election						
	(v) petty e accoun	Whether all exper xpenditure) routed that		N.A				
18.		hether the DEO had candidate for rectify		e No				
	(ii) the de	Whether the can	ididate rectified					
	(iii) above, not.	Comments of the i.e. whether the defe		or				
	by the shown and Fo	er the items of election candidate correspond in the Shadow Ob Ider of Evidence. Then mention the foll	d with the expens oservation Regist	ses				
Items of expendi	f	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
				Nil	<u> </u>			
20.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		11.70.11.2	Yes				
		Ü	Expenditure					
	for inspection Observer/RO/Author during campaign per		by the persons 3 times	3				
21.	If DE mentio	O does not agree ned against Row we, give the followi	No. 19 referred					
	(i) Work brought campai Reconc	ere the defects rate to the notice of the gn period or durillation Meeting	noticed by DE0 e candidate durin ring the Accour	nt l				
-	(ii) If issued r	yes, then annex copie relating to discrepant ion (if it is in regio	cies with Englis	h N.A				

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 8-1-27	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Comment	ss, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	VIIII,
Date: 8 -	1.23	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -4 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Ashok Kumar, Village Halai, PO Deha, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	60-Chopal
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Balbir Singh Verma
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,38,800 /-

			U. 0.10(2) (A. 10)				
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961			en			
17.	7. If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A	N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged			nt	N.A		
	(ii) Whether has been subn		rn in affidavit	N.A			
		quisite vouc	hers in respect of	N.A			
	(iv) Whether		ank Account	N.A			
	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	ner all exper	nditure (except nrough bank	N.A			
18.	to the candidat	e for rectify	d issued a notice ring the defect	No			
	the defect (iii) Comm	nents of the	DEO on the	or			
	by the candidate shown in the 3 and Folder of E	correspond Shadow Obvidence.	on expenses reported with the expense oservation Regist lowing:	es			
	If No, then mention the following: Items of expenditure Date Page No. of Shadow Observation register		Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
				Nil			
	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times						
20.	Accounting ele	ection	Expenditure by the	Yes			
	Accounting elector for inspection of the control of	ection ection thorized period	Expenditure by the persons 3 times				
20.	for inspectors of the control of the	ection ection thorized period not agree inst Row	Expenditure by the persons 3 times with the fact: No. 19 referred	s N.A			
	for inspectors of the control of the	ection thorized period not agree inst Row the followi defects redice of the od or dur Meeting	Expenditure by the persons 3 times with the fact: No. 19 referred	N.A N.A			

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	
	Date: 8-/-23	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -5 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Balbir Singh Verma, Village Halai, PO Deha, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla, HP		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	ВЈР		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	60-Chopal		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Balbir Singh Verma		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 36,92,821 /-		

16.	Whether in the DEO's election expenses of th lodged in the manner Act, 1951 and C.E. Ru	een			
17.	If No, then please mention defects with details	N.A	N.A		
	(i) Whether El Register comprising of Register, Cash Regis Abstract Statement has		N.A		
	(ii) Whether duly so has been submitted by	candidate	N.A		
	(iii) Whether requisite vo		N.A		
	(iv) Whether separate opened for election	Bank Account	N.A		
	(v) Whether all ex petty expenditure) routed account	penditure (except l through bank	N.A		
18.					
	(iii) Comments of above, i.e. whether the conot.	the DEO on the lefect was rectified	or		
19.	Whether the items of election by the candidate corresponds shown in the Shadow and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the	ond with the expens Observation Regis	ses		
Items of expend	of Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
			Nil		
20.	Did the candidate prod Accounting election for inspection	Yes			
21.	Observer/RO/Authorized during campaign period	s N.A			
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-				
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account		ng		
	Reconciliation Meeting (ii) If yes, then annex co				

(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the	N.A
(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC)
	Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
	notice? (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -6
Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022
DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Udey Singhta, Village &, PO Thangar, Tehsil Nerwa, District Shimla, HP	
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP	
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	60-Chopal	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Balbir Singh Verma	
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022	
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023	
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes	
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes	
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes	
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023	
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes	
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes	
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A	
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A	
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A	
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 140490/-	

16.							
	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961			een			
17.	17. If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A	N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged			unt	N.A		
	(ii) has	Whether duly sw been submitted by c	orn in affidavit andidate	N.A			
) Whether requisite vous of election expendit					
		Whether separate ned for election		N.A			
	(v) petty acco	expenditure) routed	enditure (except through bank	N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect						
	(iii abov not.	e, i.e. whether the de		or			
	Whe	ther the items of elect	ion expenses repor	ted Yes			
	by the show and l	ne candidate correspo yn in the Shadow (Folder of Evidence.	nd with the expen Observation Regis	ses			
Items expend	by the show and I	ne candidate correspondent in the Shadow C	nd with the expen Observation Regis	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	understated by	
	by the show and I	ne candidate correspond in the Shadow Corresponding to the Shadow Corresponding to the football	ollowing: Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation	per the account submitted by	Amount understated by the candidate	
	by the show and I If No of diture	the candidate correspond in the Shadow Corresponding the Shadow Corresponding the Shadow Counting election inspection	nd with the expen Observation Regis Observation Regis Ollowing: Page No. of Shadow Observation register ce his Register of Expenditure by the	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes	per the account submitted by	understated by	
expend 20.	by the show and I If No of diture Die According for Obse durin	d the candidate producounting election inspection inspection inspection rver/RO/Authorized g campaign period	nd with the expension depends on the composition of	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes	per the account submitted by	understated by	
expend	by the show and I If No of diture Did According for Obse durin If D ment	d the candidate producounting election inspection inspection rver/RO/Authorized	ce his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 times with the face No. 19 referred	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes ts N.A	per the account submitted by	understated by	
expend 20.	by the show and I If No of diture Did According for Obse durin to ab (i) broug camp Reco	d the candidate producounting election inspection inspection rver/RO/Authorized g campaign period EO does not agretioned against Row	ce his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 times with the face No. 19 referred ving details:- noticed by DE the candidate during the Accounts.	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL Yes ts N.A d N.A	per the account submitted by	understated by	

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 2 -1 - 2 - 2 ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commen		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.