**SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES**

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: **54-Kasauli (SC)**
(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **07**
(c) State and District: **Himachal Pradesh, Solan**
(d) Date of declaration of result of election: **08.12.2022**
(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **07.01.2023**
(f) Name of the elected candidate: **Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri**

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | | 10 | | 11 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| S. No. | Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation | Due date of lodging of account | Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate | Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No) | Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No) | Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in *Part-II* of Abstract Statement) | Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. **22** of DEO`s Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- C13) | Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement ) | | Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement | | Remarks of the Exp. Observer |
| | | | | | | | | Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party | Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party | Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors) | Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate | |
| 1. | Sh. Rajiv Saizal Bharatiya Janata Party | 07.01.2023 | 06.01.2023 | Yes | Yes | 20,96,705.82/- | Yes | 10,51,000/- BJP | 1,61,567.40/- | NIL | NIL | – |
| 2. | Sh.Ram Rattan, Bahujan Samaj Party | 07.01.2023 | 06.01.2023 | Yes | Yes | 13,680/- | Yes | NIL | NIL | NIL | 1516/- | – |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3. | Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri, Indian National Congress | 07.01.2023 | 06.01.2023 | Yes | Yes | 30,06,372/- | Yes | 30,00.000/- INC | 1,65,000/- | 11000/- (Ramesh Kumar) 51,000/- (A V Tools) 5,000/- (Jasbir Singh) 50,000/- (Jaideep singh) 50,000/- (Raghuvinder Singh) 50,000/- (Deepak Dhir) 1,00,000/- (Bhiriamesh Pathak) 20,000/- (Ramesh Kumar) 10,000/- (Yanger Cchangkia) **(GT.= 3,47,000/-)** | 3,33,200/- | - |
| 4. | Sh.Rajinder, Himachal Jan Kranti Party | 07.01.2023 | 06.01.2023 | Yes | Yes | 39,134.40/- | Yes | NIL | NIL | NIL | 19634.40/- | - |
| 5. | Sh. Rajeev Kumar Kaundal, Rashtriya Devbhumi Party | 07.01.2023 | 06.01.2023 | Yes | Yes | 7,12,958/- | Yes | NIL | 6000/- | 50,000/- (Veena Rani) 9997.64/- (Vishavjeet) 40,000/- (Beena) GT- 99997.64/- | 5,85,185/- | - |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6. | Sh.Harmel Singh,, Aam Aadmi Party | 07.01.2023 | 06.01.2023 | Yes | Yes | 12,05,248.25/- | Yes | NIL | 30892/- | 50,000/- (Preeet agro Ind. Mumbai) | 12346.25/- | — |
| 7. | Sh. Om Prakash, Independent | 07.01.2023 | 06.01.2023 | Yes | Yes | 1,05,118/- | Yes | NIL | NIL | NIL | NIL | — |

(Kritika Kulhari),IAS
District Election Officer (D.C),
Solan, District Solan, H.P.

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,.....

Date: 9-1-2023.

Ram Prakash Maurya, IRS
Expenditure Observer

**ANNEXURE-C13**

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 01**
**Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN**

**Election to H.P. STATE LEGISTATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022**

| **DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** | | |
|---|---|---|
| **S.No.** | **Description** | **To be filled up by the DEO** |
| **1.** | Name & address of the candidate | **Sh. Rajiv Saizal, Vill.Rihun,PO Bohli, Tehsil & District Solan HP** |
| **2.** | Political Party affiliation, if any | **Bharatiya Janata Party** |
| **3.** | No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency | **54-Kasauli(SC)** |
| **4.** | Name of the elected candidate | **Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri** |
| **5.** | Date of declaration of result | **08.12.2022** |
| **6.** | Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting | **03.01.2023** |
| **7.** | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing | *Yes* |
| | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting | *Yes* |
| **8.** | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).<br>(If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19) | *Yes* |
| **9.** | Last date prescribed for lodging Account | **07.01.2023** |
| **10.** | Whether the candidate has lodged the account | *Yes* |
| **11.** | If the candidate has lodged the account, date oflodging of account by the candidate:<br>(i) original account<br>(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting | **06.01.2023** |
| **12.** | Whether account lodged in time | *Yes* |
| **12A** | If not lodged in time, period of delay | *N.A.* |
| **13.** | If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. | *N.A.* |
| **14.** | Explanation, if any, given by the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **14A** | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **15.** | Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement | *Rs.20,96,705.82/-* |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **16.** | Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 | *Yes* |
| **17.** | If No, then please mention the following defects with details | *N.A.* |
| | (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged | *Yes* |
| | (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate | *Yes* |
| | (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted | *Yes* |
| | (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election | *Yes* |
| | (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account | *Yes* |
| **18.** | (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect<br>(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect<br>(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not. | *NA* |
| **19.** | Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.<br>If No, then mention the following: | *Yes* |

| | Items of expenditure | Date | Page No. of Shadow Observation Register | Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence | Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **i** | *NA* | | | | | |
| **ii,iii** | | | | | | |
| | **Total** | | | | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **20.** | Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period | *Yes* |
| **21.** | If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- | **Agreed** |
| | (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the | *NA* |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Account Reconciliation Meeting | |
| | (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice | *NA* |
| | (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ? | *NA* |
| | (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply | *NA* |
| | (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation | *NA* |
| **22.** | Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.<br>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** | *Yes, Agreed*<br><br>*(Kritika Kulhari), IAS*<br>*District Election Officer (DC)*<br>*Solan* |

| | |
|---|---|
| **23.** | Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-<br><br>Date:**06.01.2023** Signature of the Expenditure Observer |

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

**ANNEXURE-C13**

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 02**
**Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN**

**Election to H.P. STATE LEGISTATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022**

| **DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** | | |
|---|---|---|
| **S.No.** | **Description** | **To be filled up by the DEO** |
| **1.** | Name & address of the candidate | **Sh. Ram Rattan Village Sihardi Musalmana, PO Dharampur , Tehsil Kasauli District Solan** |
| **2.** | Political Party affiliation, if any | **Bahujan Samaj Party** |
| **3.** | No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency | **54-Kasauli(SC)** |
| **4.** | Name of the elected candidate | **Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri** |
| **5.** | Date of declaration of result | **08.12.2022** |
| **6.** | Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting | **03.01.2023** |
| **7.** | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing | *Yes* |
| | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting | *Yes* |
| **8.** | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19) | *Yes* |
| **9.** | Last date prescribed for lodging Account | **07.01.2023** |
| **10.** | Whether the candidate has lodged the account | *Yes* |
| **11.** | If the candidate has lodged the account, date oflodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting | **06.01.2023** |
| **12.** | Whether account lodged in time | *Yes* |
| **12A** | If not lodged in time, period of delay | *N.A.* |
| **13.** | If account not lodged or not lodged in time,whether DEO called for explanation from thecandidate. If not, reason thereof. | *N.A.* |
| **14.** | Explanation, if any, given by the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **14A** | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **15.** | Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement | *Rs.13,680/-* |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **16.** | Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 | | | | *Yes* | |
| **17.** | If No, then please mention the following defects with details | | | | *N.A.* | |
| | (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account | | | | *Yes* | |
| **18.** | (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect<br>(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect<br>(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not. | | | | *NA* | |
| **19.** | Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.<br>If No, then mention the following: | | | | *Yes* | |
| | Items of expenditure | Date | Page No. of Shadow Observation Register | Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence | Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |
| **i** | *NA* | | | | | |
| **ii,iii** | | | | | | |
| | **Total** | | | | | |
| **20.** | Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period | | | | *Yes* | |
| **21.** | If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- | | | | **Agreed** | |
| | (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the | | | | *NA* | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Account Reconciliation Meeting | |
| | (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice | *NA* |
| | (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ? | *NA* |
| | (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanationreceived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply | *NA* |
| | (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation | *NA* |
| **22.** | Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.<br>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** | *Yes, Agreed*<br><br>*(Kritika Kulhari), IAS*<br>*District Election Officer (DC)*<br>*Solan* |

| | |
|---|---|
| **23.** | Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** Signature of the Expenditure Observer |

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO'sreport, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments
separately.

**ANNEXURE-C13**

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03**
**Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN**

**Election to H.P. STATE LEGISTATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022**

| **DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** | | |
|---|---|---|
| **S.No.** | **Description** | **To be filled up by the DEO** |
| **1.** | Name & address of the candidate | **Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri VPO Sultanpur, Tehsil & District Solan** |
| **2.** | Political Party affiliation, if any | **Indian National Congress** |
| **3.** | No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency | **54-Kasauli(SC)** |
| **4.** | Name of the elected candidate | **Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri** |
| **5.** | Date of declaration of result | **08.12.2022** |
| **6.** | Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting | **03.01.2023** |
| **7.** | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing | *Yes* |
| | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting | *Yes* |
| **8.** | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).<br>(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) | *Yes* |
| **9.** | Last date prescribed for lodging Account | **07.01.2023** |
| **10.** | Whether the candidate has lodged the account | *Yes* |
| **11.** | If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:<br>(i) original account<br>(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting | **06.01.2023** |
| **12.** | Whether account lodged in time | *Yes* |
| **12A** | If not lodged in time, period of delay | *N.A.* |
| **13.** | If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. | *N.A.* |
| **14.** | Explanation, if any, given by the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **14A** | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **15.** | Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement | *Rs.30,06,372/-* |
| **16.** | Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. | *Yes* |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Rules, 1961 | | | | | |
| **17.** | If No, then please mention the following defects with details | | | | *N.A.* | |
| | (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account | | | | *Yes* | |
| **18.** | (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect<br>(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect<br>(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not. | | | | *NA* | |
| **19.** | Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.<br>If No, then mention the following: | | | | *Yes* | |
| | Items of expenditure | Date | Page No. of Shadow Observation Register | Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence | Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |
| **i** | *NA* | | | | | |
| **ii,iii** | | | | | | |
| | **Total** | | | | | |
| **20.** | Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period | | | | *Yes* | |
| **21.** | If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- | | | | **Agreed** | |
| | (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting | | | | *NA* | |
| | (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation | | | | *NA* | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice | |
| | (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ? | *NA* |
| | (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanationreceived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply | *NA* |
| | (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation | *NA* |
| **22.** | Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.<br>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** | *Yes, Agreed*<br><br>*(Kritika Kulhari), IAS*<br>*District Election Officer (DC)*<br>*Solan* |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **23.** | Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** | Signature of the Expenditure Observer |

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

**ANNEXURE-C13**

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 04**
**Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN**

**Election to H.P. STATE LEGISTATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022**

| **DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** | | |
|---|---|---|
| **S.No.** | **Description** | **To be filled up by the DEO** |
| **1.** | Name & address of the candidate | **Sh. Rajinder House No. 389 Chowk Bazar Subathu, Tehsil & District Solan** |
| **2.** | Political Party affiliation, if any | **Himachal Jan Kranti Party** |
| **3.** | No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency | **54-Kasauli(SC)** |
| **4.** | Name of the elected candidate | **Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri** |
| **5.** | Date of declaration of result | **08.12.2022** |
| **6.** | Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting | **03.01.2023** |
| **7.** | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing | *Yes* |
| | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting | *Yes* |
| **8.** | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No).<br>(If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19) | *Yes* |
| **9.** | Last date prescribed for lodging Account | **07.01.2023** |
| **10.** | Whether the candidate has lodged the account | *Yes* |
| **11.** | If the candidate has lodged the account, date of<br>lodging of account by the candidate:<br>(i) original account<br>(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting | **06.01.2023** |
| **12.** | Whether account lodged in time | *Yes* |
| **12A** | If not lodged in time, period of delay | *N.A.* |
| **13.** | If account not lodged or not lodged in time,whether DEO called for explanation from thecandidate. If not, reason thereof. | *N.A.* |
| **14.** | Explanation, if any, given by the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **14A** | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **15.** | Grand Total of all election expenses reported by thecandidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement | *Rs.39,134.40/-* |
| **16.** | Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the | *Yes* |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 | | | | | |
| **17.** | If No, then please mention the following defects with details | | | | *N.A.* | |
| | (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account | | | | *Yes* | |
| **18.** | (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect<br>(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect<br>(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not. | | | | *NA* | |
| **19.** | Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.<br>If No, then mention the following: | | | | *Yes* | |
| | Items of expenditure | Date | Page No. of Shadow Observation Register | Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence | Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |
| **i** | *NA* | | | | | |
| **ii,iii** | | | | | | |
| | **Total** | | | | | |
| **20.** | Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period | | | | *Yes* | |
| **21.** | If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- | | | | **Agreed** | |
| | (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting | | | | *NA* | |
| | (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the | | | | *NA* | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice | |
| | (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ? | *NA* |
| | (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply | *NA* |
| | (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation | *NA* |
| **22.** | Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.<br>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** | *Yes, Agreed*<br><br>*(Kritika Kulhari), IAS*<br>*District Election Officer (DC)*<br>*Solan* |

| | |
|---|---|
| **23.** | Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** Signature of the Expenditure Observer |

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO'sreport, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

**ANNEXURE-C13**

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 05**
**Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN**

**Election to H.P. STATE LEGISTATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022**

| **DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** | | |
|---|---|---|
| **S.No.** | **Description** | **To be filled up by the DEO** |
| **1.** | Name & address of the candidate | **Sh. Rajeev Kumar Kaundal House No. 13, Village Jamantoo, PO Sanawar,Tehsil Kasauli , District Solan** |
| **2.** | Political Party affiliation, if any | **Rashtriya Devbhumi Party** |
| **3.** | No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency | **54-Kasauli(SC)** |
| **4.** | Name of the elected candidate | **Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri** |
| **5.** | Date of declaration of result | **08.12.2022** |
| **6.** | Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting | **03.01.2023** |
| **7.** | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing | *Yes* |
| | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting | *Yes* |
| **8.** | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No).<br>(If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19) | *Yes* |
| **9.** | Last date prescribed for lodging Account | **07.01.2023** |
| **10.** | Whether the candidate has lodged the account | *Yes* |
| **11.** | If the candidate has lodged the account, date oflodging of account by the candidate:<br>(i) original account<br>(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting | **06.01.2023** |
| **12.** | Whether account lodged in time | *Yes* |
| **12A** | If not lodged in time, period of delay | *N.A.* |
| **13.** | If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. | *N.A.* |
| **14.** | Explanation, if any, given by the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **14A** | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **15.** | Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement | *Rs.7,12,958/-* |
| **16.** | Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the | *Yes* |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 | | | | | |
| **17.** | If No, then please mention the following defects with details | | | | *N.A.* | |
| | (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account | | | | *Yes* | |
| **18.** | (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect<br>(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect<br>(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not. | | | | *NA* | |
| **19.** | Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.<br>If No, then mention the following: | | | | *Yes* | |
| | Items of expenditure | Date | Page No. of Shadow Observation Register | Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence | Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |
| **i** | *NA* | | | | | |
| **ii,iii** | | | | | | |
| | **Total** | | | | | |
| **20.** | Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period | | | | *Yes* | |
| **21.** | If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- | | | | **Agreed** | |
| | (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting | | | | *NA* | |
| | (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the | | | | *NA* | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice | |
| | (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ? | *NA* |
| | (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanationreceived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply | *NA* |
| | (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation | *NA* |
| **22.** | Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.<br>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** | *Yes, Agreed*<br><br>*(Kritika Kulhari), IAS*<br>*District Election Officer (DC)*<br>*Solan* |

| | |
|---|---|
| **23.** | Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** Signature of the Expenditure Observer |

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments
separately.

ANNEXURE-C13

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 06**
**Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN**

**Election to H.P. STATE LEGISTATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022**

| **DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** | | |
|---|---|---|
| **S.No.** | **Description** | **To be filled up by the DEO** |
| **1.** | Name & address of the candidate | **Sh.Harmel Singh Mella Complex, Sector-1, Parwanoo, Sub Tehsil Parwanoo, District Solan** |
| **2.** | Political Party affiliation, if any | **Aam Aadmi Party** |
| **3.** | No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency | **54-Kasauli(SC)** |
| **4.** | Name of the elected candidate | **Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri** |
| **5.** | Date of declaration of result | **08.12.2022** |
| **6.** | Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting | **03.01.2023** |
| **7.** | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing | *Yes* |
| | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting | *Yes* |
| **8.** | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No).<br>(If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19) | *Yes* |
| **9.** | Last date prescribed for lodging Account | **07.01.2023** |
| **10.** | Whether the candidate has lodged the account | *Yes* |
| **11.** | If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:<br>(i) original account<br>(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting | **06.01.2023** |
| **12.** | Whether account lodged in time | *Yes* |
| **12A** | If not lodged in time, period of delay | *N.A.* |
| **13.** | If account not lodged or not lodged in time,whether DEO called for explanation from thecandidate. If not, reason thereof. | *N.A.* |
| **14.** | Explanation, if any, given by the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **14A** | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **15.** | Grand Total of all election expenses reported by thecandidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement | *Rs.12,05,248.25/-* |
| **16.** | Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner | *Yes* |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 | |
| **17.** | If No, then please mention the following defects with details | *N.A.* |
| | (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged | *Yes* |
| | (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate | *Yes* |
| | (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted | *Yes* |
| | (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election | *Yes* |
| | (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account | *Yes* |
| **18.** | (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect<br>(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect<br>(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not. | *NA* |
| **19.** | Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.<br>If No, then mention the following: | *Yes* |

| | Items of expenditure | Date | Page No. of Shadow Observation Register | Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence | Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **i** | *NA* | | | | | |
| **ii,iii** | | | | | | |
| | **Total** | | | | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **20.** | Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period | *Yes* |
| **21.** | If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- | **Agreed** |
| | (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting | *NA* |
| | (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to | *NA* |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice | |
| | (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ? | *NA* |
| | (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply | *NA* |
| | (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation | *NA* |
| **22.** | Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.<br>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** | *Yes, Agreed*<br><br>*(Kritika Kulhari), IAS*<br>*District Election Officer (DC)*<br>*Solan* |

| | |
|---|---|
| **23.** | Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** Signature of the Expenditure Observer |

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

**ANNEXURE-C13**

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 07**
**Name of the State: HIMACHAL PRADESH District SOLAN**

**Election to H.P. STATE LEGISTATIVE ASSEMBLY -2022**

| **DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** | | |
|---|---|---|
| **S.No.** | **Description** | **To be filled up by the DEO** |
| **1.** | Name & address of the candidate | **Sh. Om Prakash Vill. Kumharda, PO Kaba Kalan, Tehsil & District Solan.** |
| **2.** | Political Party affiliation, if any | **Independent** |
| **3.** | No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency | **54-Kasauli(SC)** |
| **4.** | Name of the elected candidate | **Sh. Vinod Sultanpuri** |
| **5.** | Date of declaration of result | **08.12.2022** |
| **6.** | Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting | **03.01.2023** |
| **7.** | (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing | *Yes* |
| | (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the Meeting | *Yes* |
| **8.** | Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting(Yes or No).<br>(If not, defects that could not be reconciled beshown in Column No. 19) | *Yes* |
| **9.** | Last date prescribed for lodging Account | **07.01.2023** |
| **10.** | Whether the candidate has lodged the account | *Yes* |
| **11.** | If the candidate has lodged the account, date of<br>lodging of account by the candidate:<br>(i) original account<br>(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting | **06.01.2023** |
| **12.** | Whether account lodged in time | *Yes* |
| **12A** | If not lodged in time, period of delay | *N.A.* |
| **13.** | If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. | *N.A.* |
| **14.** | Explanation, if any, given by the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **14A** | Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate | *N.A.* |
| **15.** | Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement | *Rs.1,05,118/-* |
| **16.** | Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner | *Yes* |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 | | | | | |
| **17.** | If No, then please mention the following defects with details | | | | *N.A.* | |
| | (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election | | | | *Yes* | |
| | (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account | | | | *Yes* | |
| **18.** | (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect<br>(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect<br>(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not. | | | | *NA* | |
| **19.** | Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.<br>If No, then mention the following: | | | | *Yes* | |
| | Items of expenditure | Date | Page No. of Shadow Observation Register | Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence | Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate | Amount understated by the candidate |
| **i** | *NA* | | | | | |
| **ii,iii** | | | | | | |
| | **Total** | | | | | |
| **20.** | Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period | | | | *Yes* | |
| **21.** | If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- | | | | **Agreed** | |
| | (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting | | | | *NA* | |
| | (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to | | | | *NA* | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice | |
| | (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? | *NA* |
| | (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanationreceived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply | *NA* |
| | (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation | *NA* |
| **22.** | Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.<br>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** | *Yes, Agreed*<br><br>*(Kritika Kulhari), IAS*<br>*District Election Officer (DC)*<br>*Solan* |

| | |
|---|---|
| **23.** | Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-<br><br>Date: **06.01.2023** Signature of the Expenditure Observer |

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.