# SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 61-Theog

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates:

Eight

(c) State and District:

Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08-12-2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts:

07-01-2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate:

Sh Kuldeep Singh Rathore (INC)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.		0	10		
Sr.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed	Whether lodged in the	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/	Whether the DEO agrees with the	Total expenses in ( As reported in )	9.  accurred by the Party Part-III of Abstract	Total expenses incurred by o reported in Part-III of Abstr	thers/entitie	ent the
				format (Yes or No)	manner required by law (Yes or No)	authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C- 13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand to of othe expenses kind incu for the candida	er s in irred e
	Sh Vijay Pal Kachi, Independent	07-01-2023	03-01-2023	Yes	Yes	3,30,111/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	5,67,000/- 1.Vijay Verma 20,000/- (Donation) 2. Girdhari Lal 20,000/- (Donation) 3. Surjeet Singh 20,000 (Donation) 4. Jagjeet Kumar 20,000 (donation) 5. Joginder Kanwar 1,00,000 (Donation) 6. Ram Lal 5000/- (Cash) 7. Sh. Chandu Lal 10,000 (Cash) 8. Rahul 31,000 (Cash)	Nil	NA

	1		T							
										9. Sanjeev 10,000
>										(Cash)
7-1										10. Mukesh Verma
										10,000 (Cash)
					·					11. Mahaveer Verma
										10,000 (Cash)
										12. Jagdish 10,000
										(Cash)
										13. Bal Kishan 5000
		-	·							(Cash)
										14. Chaman Lal 5000
		i								(Cash)
										15. Radha Lal 10,000
		~								/- (Cash)
			[							16. Anil Kumar
i.										10,000 (Cash)
										17. Prakash Chand
										5000 (Cash)
										18. Yashpal 2000
								:		(Cash)
										19. Hoyashar Chand
										5000 (Cash)
										3000 (Cash)
										20. Prem Kumar 5000
										(Cash)
										21. Dinesh 8000/-
			:							(Cash)
										22. Dina Naath 5000/-
					-					(Cash)
						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		·		23. Ramesh 2000/-
				1						(Cash)
					ļ					24.Hatinder Kumar
										10,000/- (Cash)
					İ					25. Jai Prakash
				i						2,000/- (Cash)
										26. Salig Ram
										10,000/- (Cash)
								İ		27. Baldev 5,000/-
				ŀ						(Cash)
			!							28. Vinod 5,000/-
						į	İ			(Cash)
										29. Joginder Pal
										2,00,000/-
									·	
										30. Khem Raj 7,000/-
										(Cash)

2	Sh Amit Mehta, Indedpendent	07-01-2023	03-01-2023	Yes	Yes	4,68,801/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
3	Sh Jia Lal Sadhak, BSP	07-01-2023	03-01-2023	Yes	Yes	64,000/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
4	Smt Indu Varma, Independent	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	9,64,399/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
5	Sh Ajay Shyam, BJP	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	29,68,161/-	Yes	25,00,000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
6	Sh Attar Singh Chandel, ARP	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	1,10,985	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
7.	Sh Kuldeep Singh Rathore, INC	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	23,53,990	Yes	30,00,000	Nil	7,30,001/- 1.Navender Nepta - 7,00,000/- 2.Through UPI Online- 30,000/- 3. Misc Receipt-1/-	Nil	
8	Sh Rakesh Singha, CPIM	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	12,99,624	Yes	Nil	Nil	50,000/- 1.Sandeep -5000 2.Rajender -10,000 3.Suresh Verma- 15,000 4.Through online - 20,000	Nil	

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any;

Date:

**9** January , 2023

District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -1 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Vijay Pal Khachi, Village Ghumana, PO Oddi Tehsil Kumarsain, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	61-Theog
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Kuldeep Singh Rathore
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof.  Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
		N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.3,30,111 /-

16.	elect lodg	ether in the DEO's option expenses of the ged in the manner re	candidate has be quired by the R.	een		
17.	If No	o, then please mention cts with details		N.A		
	(i) Regi Regi		r, Bank Regist	ınt		
	(ii)	Whether duly swo been submitted by ca	orn in affidavit	N.A		
	(iii)	Whether requisite yours of election expenditu	chers in respect of	N.A		
		Whether separate I	Bank Account	N.A		
	(v)	Whether all expert expenditure) routed t		N.A		
18.		Whether the DEO have candidate for rectif				
	the d	lefect	e DEO on the			
19.	by th show and F	ther the items of elective candidate corresponds in the Shadow Of Folder of Evidence.	nd with the expensions desired the control of the c	ses		
Items of expend	of	Date ,	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	l.	<u></u>		of evidence NIL		
20.	Acc	-	Expenditure	Yes		
	1	inspection ever/RO/Authorized g campaign period	by the persons 3 time	S		
21.	21. If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-			d		
	to above, give the following details:-  (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					
		f yes, then annex copi				

(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 9-1-23	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
	notice?  (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply  (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation  Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 9-1-23

<sup>\*</sup> if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -2 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Amit Mehta, Village Bagahari, PO Bhutti, Tehsil Kumarsain, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	61-Theog
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Kuldeep Singh Rathore
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof.  Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
		N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 4,68,801 /-

16.		O's opinion, the account			
		ner required by the R			
17.	If No, then please m	ention the following	N.A		
		Election Expendit g of Day to Day Acco Register, Bank Regist has been lodged	unt		
	(ii) Whether duly	y sworn in affidavit d by candidate	N.A		
		ite vouchers in respect of penditure submitted			
	opened for election		N.A		
	(v) Whether a petty expenditure) re account	all expenditure (except outed through bank	N.A		
18.		EO had issued a notic r rectifying the defect	ce No		
	the defect	the candidate rectified	i		•
	` /	s of the DEO on the the defect was rectified	or		
	by the candidate con		ses		
Items o			T	A	
expend		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
			of evidence NIL		
			·		
20.	Did the condidate	produce his Desister - f	Yes		
	Accounting election	•			
	for inspection Observer/RO/Author during campaign per	ized persons 3 time iod			
21.		agree with the fac Row No. 19 referre following details:-			
	(i) Were the de brought to the notice campaign period Reconciliation Meet	fects noticed by DE e of the candidate duri or during the Accou ing	ng int		
		ex copies of all the notic screpancies with Engli	sh N.A		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 9-01-2023	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	is, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
Date.		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

<sup>\*</sup> if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -3 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Jia Lal Sadhak, Village Baghewar, PO Shari Matiana, Tehsil Theog District Shimla HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	61-Theog
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Kuldeep Singh Rathore
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof.  Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15,	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 64,000/-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	1		
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged			-
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A		
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	No		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect			
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.			
	If No, then mention the following:			
Items of expendi	ture of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
		IL	<u> </u>	
20.		Yes		
	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure  for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times	Tes		
21.	during campaign period  If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	N.A		
	to above, give the following details:- (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account	N.A		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC)
	Date: 9-1-2023	Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Rump
Date: 9 -	1 - 2.3	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

<sup>\*</sup> if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -4 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Smt Indu Varma, Village &, PO Sainj Tehsi Theog, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	61-Theog
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Kuldeep Singh Rathore
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation	Yes
	Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
	If not, reason thereof.  Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14.		N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 9,64,399/-

	16.	elect	ether in the DEO's op- tion expenses of the op- ged in the manner rec to 1951 and C.E. Rules	candidate has be quired by the R.	een	Yes		
	17. If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A					
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged			N.A				
		(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of				N.A N.A	***	
		(iv)	s of election expenditu  Whether separate B  ned for election			N.A		
		(v) petty acco	/ expenditure) routed tl			N.A		
	18.  (i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect  (ii) Whether the candidate rectified		No					
		(iii)	e, i.e. whether the def		or			
	19.	by the show and l	ther the items of election the candidate correspond on in the Shadow Of Folder of Evidence.	d with the expensions regis	ses	Yes		
	Items of expendit		Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	as Sl O Re	lention amount per the nadow bservation egister/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
				I	NI	evidence L	<u> </u>	
2	20.	0. Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure			Yes			
	for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period							
2				N.A				
		broug camp Reco	Were the defects of the chaign period or duraciliation Meeting	e candidate during the Accou	ng nt	N.A		
		issued transl	If yes, then annex copid relating to discrepantation (if it is in region the date of notice	ncies with Englis	sh	N.A		

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 9-1-2023	Aditya Negi, JAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
Date. J		Signature of the Expenditure Coserver

<sup>\*</sup> if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -5 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Ajay Shyam, VPO Kiyara, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	ВЈР
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	61-Theog
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Kuldeep Singh Rathore
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
	If not, reason thereof.	
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 29,68,161 /-

16.							
10,	elect	ther in the DEO's opion expenses of the ced in the manner received 1951 and C.E. Rules	candidate has be quired by the R.	en			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A	N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged			nt			
	(ii) has	Whether duly swo been submitted by car	rn in affidavit ndidate	N.A			
		Whether requisite vouc s of election expenditu		N.A			
	open	Whether separate B ned for election		N.A			
	(v) petty acco	Whether all expert expenditure) routed thunt		N.A			
18.	to th	the defect			No		
	1	e, i.e. whether the def		or			
19.	by th show and I	ther the items of elections candidate correspond in the Shadow Of Folder of Evidence.	d with the expens bservation Regist	es			
					Amount on		
Items of expend	6.876	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	6.876	Date	of Shadow Observation	as per the Shadow Observation	per the account submitted by	understated by	
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	liture Dic	I the candidate produc	of Shadow Observation Register	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the account submitted by	understated by	
expend	Dic Acc for Obse	I the candidate production inspection	of Shadow Observation Register	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL  Yes	per the account submitted by	understated by	
expend	Dic According If D ment to ab	I the candidate production inspection rver/RO/Authorized g campaign period EO does not agrectioned against Rowove, give the follow	e his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 time with the fact No. 19 referre ing details:-	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL  Yes  S S S N.A	per the account submitted by	understated by	
expend	Dic According for Obserdurin to ab (i) broug camp Reco	I the candidate production inspection rver/RO/Authorized g campaign period EO does not agree ioned against Row	e his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 time e with the fact No. 19 referre ing details:- noticed by DE e candidate durir ring the Account	as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence NIL  Yes  s N.A  O N.A	per the account submitted by	understated by	

<u> </u>
<b>/</b>
Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
ignature of the Expenditure Observer

<sup>\*</sup> if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -6
Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022
DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER
RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Attar Singh Chandel, Village Dharnai, PO Jais, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	61-Theog
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Kuldeep Singh Rathore
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.	N.A
14.	If not, reason thereof.  Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	W.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A N.A
1 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,10,985/-

16.	elect	ether in the DEO's option expenses of the oged in the manner reconstruction, 1951 and C.E. Rules	candidate has be quired by the R.	en	Yes		
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details			N.A			
	Reg	Whether Elec ister comprising of D ister, Cash Register tract Statement has be	ay to Day Accou , Bank Registe	ınt	N.A		
	(ii) has	Whether duly swo been submitted by car	rn in affidavit ndidate		N.A		
		)Whether requisite vouc s of election expenditu			N.A		
		) Whether separate B ned for election	ank Account		N.A	`	
	(v) petty acco	y expenditure) routed th			N.A		
18.	to th	Whether the DEO ha	ying the defect		No		
	(ii) the	defect	ndidate rectified	l			
	(iii abov not.	ve, i.e. whether the def		or			
19.	by the	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.			Yes		
	If No	o, then mention the fol	lowing:				
Items of expend		Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZ4i ster	as j Sha Ob Reg	ention amount per the adow servation gister/folder evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
				NII	· · · · ·	1	
20.					Yes		
20.		Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure			163		
	durin	erver/RO/Authorized ag campaign period	by the persons 3 time				
21.	men	DEO does not agree tioned against Row pove, give the follow	No. 19 referre		N.A		
	(i) broug camp Reco	Were the defects ght to the notice of the paign period or du notiliation Meeting	noticed by DE e candidate durin ring the Accou	ng nt	N.A		
	issue trans	If yes, then annex copi d relating to discrepa- lation (if it is in region ion the date of notice	ncies with Englis	sh	N.A		

>

(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such	
explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
Date: 9-1-2023	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
s, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
	Signature of the Expenditure Observer
	language) and mention date of reply  (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation  Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)  Date: 9-1-2027

<sup>\*</sup> if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -7

Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Kuldeep Singh Rathore, Rathore Niwas, Gussan, Gussan Road Mehli District Shimla HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	61-Theog
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Kuldeep Singh Rathore
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.  If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.23,53,990 /-

16.	election expenses of lodged in the manne	s opinion, the account the candidate has be or required by the R.	en			
17.	Act, 1951 and C.E.  If No, then please men		N.A			
	defects with details  (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		int			
	(ii) Whether duly has been submitted b		N.A N.A			
	(iv) Whether separa	nditure submitted	N.A			
	opened for election  (v) Whether all petty expenditure) roul account	expenditure (except led through bank	N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEC to the candidate for re	O had issued a notice ectifying the defect e candidate rectified	No No			
	(iii) Comments of above, i.e. whether the not.	f the DEO on the defect was rectified of	or			
19.	Whether the items of e by the candidate corre- shown in the Shadov and Folder of Evidence If No, then mention th	spond with the expense Observation Regist e.	es			
Items of expend	f Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
			of evidence NIL			
20.	Did the candidate pro Accounting election for inspection	Yes				
	Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					
21.	during campaign period		N.A			
21.	during campaign period  If DEO does not a mentioned against R to above, give the fol	gree with the facts ow No. 19 referred lowing details:-				
21.	during campaign period If DEO does not a mentioned against R	gree with the facts ow No. 19 referred lowing details:- ts noticed by DEC f the candidate during during the Accoun	O N.A			

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 09-01-2020	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
23. Commer	nts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Ammu
Date: 0 S	01-2123	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

<sup>\*</sup> if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

## Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -8 Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022 DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh Rakesh Singha, Village Mangsu, PO Thanedhar Tehsil Kumarsain, District Shimla, HP	
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	СРІМ	
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	61-Theog	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Kuldeen Singh Rathore	
5.	Date of declaration of result	Sh Kuldeep Singh Rathore 08-12-2022	
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023	
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes	
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes	
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes	
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023	
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes	
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes	
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A	
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate.  If not, reason thereof.	N.A	
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A N.A	
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 12,99,624/-	

16.	1						
	election expenses of the candidate has been		een				
		ed in the manner re 1951 and C.E. Rule		P.			
17. If No,		o, then please mention		N.A	N.A		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		unt	N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate			N.A			
		Whether requisite vous of election expendit		N.A N.A			
	open	Whether separate and deformed for election					
	(v) petty accou	expenditure) routed	enditure (except through bank	N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect  (ii) Whether the candidate rectified				No		
	(iii)	Comments of the c, i.e. whether the de		or	,		
19.				Yes			
x2.	by the show and F	her the items of elective candidate corresponding in the Shadow Colder of Evidence.  The mention the forms of	nd with the expen Observation Regis	ses			
Items c	by the show and F If No	e candidate correspor n in the Shadow C	nd with the expen Observation Regis	ses	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
Items of	by the show and F If No	e candidate correspond in the Shadow Corolder of Evidence.  then mention the force in the force	old with the expension Register Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	per the account submitted by	understated by	
Items of	by the show and F If No	e candidate correspond in the Shadow Corolder of Evidence.  then mention the force in the force	old with the expension Register Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	per the account submitted by	understated by	
Items of	by the show and F If No of iture	e candidate correspond in the Shadow Corolder of Evidence.  then mention the force in the force	and with the expension of Register Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZ4i ster	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder	per the account submitted by	understated by	
Items of expend	by the show and F  If No  of iture  Did According for Obser during	the candidate production inspection inspection wer/RO/Authorized campaign period	nd with the expen Observation Regis Observation Regis Observation Regis Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZ4i ster  The ce his Register of Expenditure by the persons 3 time	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence  Yes	per the account submitted by	understated by	
Items of expend	by the show and F  If No  of iture  Did According for Obser during If DI mentito about to about to about the show and F	the candidate production inspection inspection wer/RO/Authorized a campaign period against Row ove, give the follow	nd with the expen Observation Regis Illowing: Page No. of Shadow Observation ILZ4i ster  The persons 3 time  with the fact No. 19 referred  ring details:-	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence  Yes  S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	per the account submitted by	understated by	
Items of expend	by the show and F If No of iture  Did According If DI mentito about to  about to about the about to about the a	the candidate production inspection inspection wer/RO/Authorized campaign period against Row	ring details:-  noticed by DE e candidate during the Accourage.	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence  Yes  S N.A  O N.A	per the account submitted by	understated by	

	(iii)Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the	Yes
	expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.  (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Aditya Negi, IAS
	Date: 9-1-2023	District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP
	ts, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	Rumm
Date: 9,	1 . 10	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

 $<sup>\</sup>ast$  if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.