

# SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATE

- (a) No. and Name of Assembly/parliamentary Constituency  
(b) Total No. of contesting candidates  
(c) State and District  
(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election  
(e) Last date of lodging of account  
(f) Name of the elected candidate

68- KINNAUR (ST)  
05  
Himachal Pradesh, Distt. KINNAUR  
08-12-2022  
07-01-2023  
Sh. Jagat Singh Negi (INC)

1 Sr. No	2 Name of the candidate & Party Affiliation	3 Due date of lodging of account	4 Date of lodging of account by the candidate	5 Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	6 Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	7 Grand total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract statement	8 Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure should be similar to point No. 22 of DEO's scrutiny report Annexure -(C 3)	9 Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of abstract statement		10 Total expenses incurred by other/ entities as reported in Part-III of abstract statement		11 Remarks
								Lump sum amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the political party	Lump sum amount in cash or cheque given to candidate and mention names of donors	Grand Total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Sh. Anil Kapoor (BSP)	06/01/2023	05/01/2023	Yes	Yes	1,50,138/-	Yes	-	-	51,000/- Sh. Raj Kapoor, Sh. Diwan Chand, Sh. Dinesh Negi, Sh. Rakesh Negi, Smt. Santosh Kumari, Smt. Palam Devi	1,01,400/-	-
2	Sh. Surat Negi (BJP)	07/01/2023	05/01/2023	Yes	Yes	21,06,463/-	Yes	25,00,000/-	11,28,386/-	-	9,68,077/-	-
3	Sh. Tejwant Negi (Independent) <i>Singh</i>	07/01/2023	05/01/2023	Yes	Yes	16,42,486/-	Yes	-	-	8,43,995/- Sh. Puspender, Sh. Opend er Singh, Sh. Bhagwan Singh, Sh. Sujjan Singh, Sh. Santosh Kumar, Sh. Hari Krishan, Sh. Nandesh, Smt. Kanya Kumari, Sh. Virander Kumar, Sh. Mast Ram, Sh. Inder Jeet, Sh. Kuldeep Singh, Sh. Bhupinder Singh, Sh. Shankar Bhagat, Sh. Ishwar Singh, Sh. Sushil Chander, Sh. Jagat Singh, Sh. Bramdutt	8,71,942/-	-
4	Sh. Jagat Singh Negi (INC)	07/01/2023	05/01/2023	Yes	Yes	23,61,119/-	Yes	20,00,000/-	61,698/-	-	14,79,498/-	-
5	Sh. Tersem Singh (AAP)	07/01/2023	05/01/2023	Yes	Yes	88,200.94	Yes	-	-	15,000/- Sh. Rajeev Kumar, Sh. Ram Singh	56,080/-	-

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any \_\_\_\_\_

Date : 07-01-2023

Signature of the DEO  
District Kinnaur (DC)  
District Kinnaur at Reckong Pe

Signature of the Expenditure Observer.



**Serial Number of the Candidate in the Summary Report of the DEO : 1**

**Name of the State : Himachal Pradesh, District : Kinnaur, Election to the HP Legislative Assembly**

DEO's Scrutiny Report on Election Expenses of the Candidate under Rule 89 of C. E Rules, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the Candidate	Sh. Anil Kapoor, Village & Post Office Yulla, Sub Tehsil Tapri District Kinnaur HP.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3	No & Name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	68-Kinnaur(ST) AC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Jagat Singh Negi
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of account reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of account	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
	Reconciliation meeting in writing	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (if not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no 19)	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
9	Last date prescribed for lodging account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023
12	Whether the account lodged in time	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N/A
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not reason thereof	N/A
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/A
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N/A
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,50,138/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the RP Act, 1951 and Conduct of Election Rules 1961.	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	<u>Yes</u> / <del>No</del>
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	N/A



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expensive shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mentioned the following				Yes / No	
	Items of expend inure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the Candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
(i)	-NA	- NA-	- NA-	- NA -	- NA -	- NA
(ii), (iii)	-NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -	- NA -
Total				- —	- —	- —
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer /RO/ Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period				Yes / No	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above give the following details:-				—	
(i)	Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				N/A	
(ii)	If yes, then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is regional language) and mention the date of notice				No	
(iii)	Did he candidate give any relpy to the notic				N/A	
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same , if it is in regional language ) and mentioned the date of reply				No	
(v)	DEO,s comments/ observation on the candidate's explanation				N/A	
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary report of DEO)  Date 07-1-2023				Yes / No  Signature ( District Election Officer (DC) District Kinnaur at Reckong-Peeh	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
--	---

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate not to that effect.
- The DEO Scrutiny Report is to be complied by the s CEO and forwarded to the Commission, if the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he osr she may forwarded the comments separately.



**Serial Number of the Candidate in the Summary Report of the DEO : 2**

**Name of the State : Himachal Pradesh, District : Kinnaur, Election to the HP Legislative Assembly**

DEO's Scrutiny Report on Election Expenses of the Candidate under Rule 89 of C. E Rules, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the Candidate	Sh. Surat Negi, Village & Post Office, Bari, Tehsil Nichar, District Kinnaur HP.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bhartiya Janata Party
3	No & Name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	68-Kinnaur(ST) AC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Jagat Singh Negi
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of account reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of account	Yes / No
	Reconciliation meeting in writing	Yes / No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes / No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (if not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no 19)	Yes / No
9	Last date prescribed for lodging account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes / No
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023
12	Whether the account lodged in time	Yes / No
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N/A
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not reason thereof	N/A
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/A
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N/A
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 21,06,463/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the RP Act, 1951 and Conduct of Election Rules 1961.	
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes / No
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes / No
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes / No
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes / No
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes / No
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	N/A N/A N/A



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expensive shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mentioned the following					Yes / No	
	Items of expend inure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the Candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate	
(i)	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	
(ii), (iii)	- NA	NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	
Total				-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer /RO/ Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes / No	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					-	
(xi)	Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N/A	
(xii)	If yes, then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is regional language) and mention the date of notice					- NO	
(xiii)	Did he candidate give any relpy to the notic					N/A	
(xiv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same , if it is in regional language ) and mentioned the date of reply					NA	
(xv)	DEO,s comments/ observation on the candidate's explanation					N/A	
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary report of DEO)  Date 07-01-2023					Yes / No  District Election Officer (DC) District Kinnaur at Reckong Pong	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
--	---

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate not to that effect.
- The DEO Scrutiny Report is to be complied by the s CEO and forwarded to the Commission, if the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he osr she may forwarded the comments separately.




Serial Number of the Candidate in the Summary Report of the DEO : 3

Name of the State : Himachal Pradesh, District : Kinnaur, Election to the HP Legislative Assembly


DEO's Scrutiny Report on Election Expenses of the Candidate under Rule 89 of C. E Rules, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the Candidate	Sh. Tejwant Singh Negi, Village & Post Office, Kilba, Tehsil Sangla District Kinnaur HP.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3	No & Name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	68-Kinnaur(ST) AC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Jagat Singh Negi
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of account reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of account	Yes / No
	Reconciliation meeting in writing	Yes / No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes / No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (if not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no 19)	Yes / No
9	Last date prescribed for lodging account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes / No
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023
12	Whether the account lodged in time	Yes / No
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N/A
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not reason thereof	N/A
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/A
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N/A
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 16,42,486/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the RP Act, 1951 and Conduct of Election Rules 1961.	Yes / No
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes / No
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes / No
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes / No
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes / No
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes / No
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	N/A N/A N/A



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expensive shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mentioned the following				Yes / No	
	Items of expend inure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the Candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate
(i)	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A
(ii), (iii)	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A
Total				-	-	-
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer /RO/ Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period				Yes / No	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above give the following details:-				—	
(xxi)	Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				N/A	
(xxii)	If yes, then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is regional language) and mention the date of notice				- NO	
(xxiii)	Did he candidate give any relpy to the notic				N/A	
(xxiv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revied, (with English translation of the same , if it is in regional language ) and mentioned the date of reply				N/A	
(xxv)	DEO,s comments/ observation on the candidate's explanation				N/A	
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary report of DEO)  Date: 07-01-2023				Yes / No  Signature ( Surinder Singh Rathore ) (Name of the DEO)	

District Election Officer (DC)  
District Kinnaur at Reckong Peo

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate not to that effect.
- The DEO Scrutiny Report is to be complied by the s CEO and forwarded to the Commission, if the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he osr she may forwarded the comments separately.




**Serial Number of the Candidate in the Summary Report of the DEO : 4**


**Name of the State : Himachal Pradesh, District : Kinnaur, Election to the HP Legislative Assembly**

DEO's Scrutiny Report on Election Expenses of the Candidate under Rule 89 of C. E Rules, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the Candidate	Sh. Jagat Singh Negi, Village & Post Office, Kalpa, Tehsil Kalpa District Kinnaur HP.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3	No & Name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	68-Kinnaur(ST) AC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Jagat Singh Negi
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of account reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of account	Yes / No
	Reconciliation meeting in writing	Yes / No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes / No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (if not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no 19)	Yes / No
9	Last date prescribed for lodging account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes / No
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023
12	Whether the account lodged in time	Yes / No
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N/A
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not reason thereof	N/A
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/A
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N/A
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 23,61,119/-
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the RP Act, 1951 and Conduct of Election Rules 1961.	Yes / No
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes / No
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes / No
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes / No
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes / No
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes / No
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect	N/A
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect	N/A
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	N/A



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expensive shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mentioned the following					Yes/ No	
	Items of expend inure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the Candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate	
(i)	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	
(ii), (iii)	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA	
Total				-	-	-	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer /RO/ Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes/ No	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above give the following details:-						
(vi)	Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N/A	
(vii)	If yes, then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is regional language) and mention the date of notice					NO	
(viii)	Did he candidate give any relpy to the notic					N/A	
(ix)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same , if it is in regional language ) and mentioned the date of reply					N/A	
(x)	DEO,s comments/ observation on the candidate's explanation					N/A	
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary report of DEO)  Date : 07-01-2023					Yes/ No  Signature ( Sunder Singh Rathore ) (Name of the DEO)	

District Election Officer (DC)  
District Kinnaur at Reckong Peo

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate not to that effect.
- The DEO Scrutiny Report is to be complied by the s CEO and forwarded to the Commission, if the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he osr she may forwarded the comments separately.




Serial Number of the Candidate in the Summary Report of the DEO : 5


Name of the State : Himachal Pradesh, District : Kinnaur, Election to the HP Legislative Assembly

DEO's Scrutiny Report on Election Expenses of the Candidate under Rule 89 of C. E Rules, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the Candidate	Sh. Tersem Singh, Village & Post Office, Sunnam, Tehsil Pooh, District Kinnaur HP.
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party
3	No & Name of Assembly /Parliamentary Constituency	68-Kinnaur(ST) AC
4	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Jagat Singh Negi
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of account reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of account reconciliation meeting in writing	Yes / No
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes / No
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (if not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column no 19)	Yes / No
9	Last date prescribed for lodging account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes / No
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023
12	Whether the account lodged in time	Yes / No
12 A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	--- days / L
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate, if not reason thereof	N/A
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N/A
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N/A
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 88,200.94
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the RP Act, 1951 and Conduct of Election Rules 1961.	Yes / No
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes / No
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	Yes / No
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	Yes / No
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	Yes / No
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	Yes / No
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not	N/A N/A N/A



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expensive shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mentioned the following					Yes / No	
	Items of expend inure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the Candidate	Amount understated by the Candidate	
(i)	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	
(ii), (iii)	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	
Total				- N/A	- N/A	- N/A	
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the observer /RO/ Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes / No	
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					—	
(xvi)	Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					N/A	
(xvii)	If yes, then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is regional language) and mention the date of notice					N/A	
(xviii)	Did he candidate give any relpy to the notic					N/A	
(xix)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same , if it is in regional language ) and mentioned the date of reply					N/A	
(xx)	DEO,s comments/ observation on the candidate's explanation					N/A	
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to column no. 8 of summary report of DEO)  Date : 07-01-2023					Yes / No  Signature ( Surinder Singh Bathore ) (Name of the DEO)	

District Election Officer (DC)  
District Kinnaur at Reckong Peo

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer
--	--

- If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate not to that effect.
- The DEO Scrutiny Report is to be complied by the s CEO and forwarded to the Commission, if the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he osr she may forwarded the comments separately.