SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: **44-UNA** (b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **06**

(c) State and District: **UNA** (d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: **08.12.2022**

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **07.01.2023** (f) Name of the elected candidate: **SATPAL SINGH SATTI**

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO`s Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1.	Ramesh Chand **Bahujan Samaj Party**	**07.01.2023**	**06.01.2023**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**35,200**	**Yes**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
2.	Satpal Singh Raizada **Indian National Congress**	**07.01.2023**	**05.01.2023**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**29,51,323**	**Yes**	**30,00,000**	**0**	**1,95,000 i) Sunil Kumar ii) Sukh Dev iii) Naresh Kumar iv) Shakti v) Makhan Bhatia vi) Kuldeep Singh**		
3.	Satpal Singh Satti **Bharatiya Janata Party**	**07.01.2023**	**05.01.2023**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**32,90,850**	**Yes**	**25,00,000**	**0**	**5,21,000 i) Dayal Sweets, ii) Ram Chander, iii) Naresh KSharma iv) Surinder Lsth**	**0**	
4.	Rajiv Gautam **Aam Aadmi Party**	**07.01.2023**	**05.01.2023**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**5,25,802**	**Yes**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
5.	Kamal Kumar **Independent**	**07.01.2023**	**03.01.2023**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**16,690**	**Yes**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	
6.	Chander Mohan **Independent**	**07.01.2023**	**03.01.2023**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**1,43,589**	**Yes**	**0**	**0**	**15,010 S.D. Vashisht**	**0**	

Signature of the DEO (DC) Una

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,_____

Date: 08.01.2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO : 01
Name of the State **HIMACHAL PRADESH** District **UNA** Election-**VSE-2022**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	**Ramesh Chand**
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	**Bahujan Samaj Party**
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	**44-Una**
4.	Name of the elected candidate	**Satpal Singh Satti**
5.	Date of declaration of result	**08.12.2022**
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	**03.01.2023**
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	**(i) Yes**
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	**(i) Yes**
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	**Yes**
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	**07.01.2023**
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	**Yes**
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**06.01.2023**
12.	Whether account lodged in time	**Yes**
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	**NA**
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	**NA**
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	**NA**
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	**NA**
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	**Rs. 35,200/-**
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	**Yes**
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	**NA**
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	**Yes**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	**Yes**
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	**Yes**
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	**Yes**
	(iv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	**Yes**
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	**No** **NA**
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	**Yes**

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	----	----	----	**-----NA-----**	---	---
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	**Yes**
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -	**NA**
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**NA**
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	**NA**
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	**NA**
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	**NA**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	**NA**
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	**Yes**
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08.01.2023 **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO : 02
Name of the State **HIMACHAL PRADESH** District **UNA** Election-**VSE-2022**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	**Satpal Singh Raizada**
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	**Indian National Congress**
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	**44-Una**
4.	Name of the elected candidate	**Satpal Singh Satti**
5.	Date of declaration of result	**08.12.2022**
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	**03.01.2023**
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	**(i) Yes**
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	**(i) Yes**
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	**Yes**
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	**07.01.2023**
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	**Yes**
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**05.01.2023**
12.	Whether account lodged in time	**Yes**
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	**NA**
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	**NA**
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	**NA**
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	**NA**
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	**Rs. 29,51,323/-**
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	**Yes**
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	**NA**
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	**Yes**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	**Yes**
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	**Yes**
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	**Yes**
	(iv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	**Yes**
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	**No** **NA**
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	**Yes**

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	----	----	----	**-----NA-----**	---	---
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	**Yes**
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -	**NA**
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**NA**
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	**NA**
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	**NA**
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	**NA**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	**NA**
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	**Yes**
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08.01.2023 **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO : 03
Name of the State **HIMACHAL PRADESH** District **UNA** Election-**VSE-2022**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	**Satpal Singh Satti**
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	**Bharatiya Janata Party**
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	**44-Una**
4.	Name of the elected candidate	**Satpal Singh Satti**
5.	Date of declaration of result	**08.12.2022**
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	**03.01.2023**
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	**(i) Yes**
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	**(i) Yes**
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	**Yes**
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	**07.01.2023**
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	**Yes**
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**05.01.2023**
12.	Whether account lodged in time	**Yes**
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	**NA**
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	**NA**
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	**NA**
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	**NA**
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	**Rs. 32,90,850/-**
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	**Yes**
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	**NA**
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	**Yes**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	**Yes**
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	**Yes**
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	**Yes**
	(iv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	**Yes**
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	**No** **NA**
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	**Yes**

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	----	----	----	**-----NA-----**	---	---
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	**Yes**
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -	**NA**
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**NA**
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	**NA**
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	**NA**
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	**NA**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	**NA**
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	**Yes**
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08.01.2023 **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO : 04
Name of the State **HIMACHAL PRADESH** District **UNA** Election-**VSE-2022**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	**Rajiv Gautam**
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	**Aam Aadmi Party**
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	**44-Una**
4.	Name of the elected candidate	**Satpal Singh Satti**
5.	Date of declaration of result	**08.12.2022**
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	**03.01.2023**
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	**(i) Yes**
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	**(i) Yes**
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	**Yes**
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	**07.01.2023**
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	**Yes**
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**05.01.2023**
12.	Whether account lodged in time	**Yes**
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	**NA**
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	**NA**
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	**NA**
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	**NA**
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	**Rs. 5,25,802/-**
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	**Yes**
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	**NA**
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	**Yes**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	**Yes**
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	**Yes**
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	**Yes**
	(iv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	**Yes**
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	**No** **NA**
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	**Yes**

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	----	----	----	**-----NA-----**	---	---
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	**Yes**
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -	**NA**
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**NA**
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	**NA**
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	**NA**
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	**NA**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	**NA**
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	**Yes**
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08.01.2023 **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO : 05

Name of the State **HIMACHAL PRADESH** District **UNA** Election-**VSE-2022**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	**Kamal Kumar**
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	**Independent**
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	**44-Una**
4.	Name of the elected candidate	**Satpal Singh Satti**
5.	Date of declaration of result	**08.12.2022**
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	**03.01.2023**
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	**(i) Yes**
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	**(i) Yes**
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	**Yes**
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	**07.01.2023**
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	**Yes**
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**03.01.2023**
12.	Whether account lodged in time	**Yes**
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	**NA**
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	**NA**
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	**NA**
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	**NA**
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	**Rs. 16,690/-**
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	**Yes**
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	**NA**
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	**Yes**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	**Yes**
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	**Yes**
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	**Yes**
	(iv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	**Yes**
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	**No** **NA**
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	**Yes**

	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	----	----	----	**-----NA-----**	---	---
TOTAL						

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	**Yes**
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -	**NA**
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**NA**
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	**NA**
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	**NA**
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	**NA**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	**NA**
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	**Yes**
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08.01.2023 **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO : 06
Name of the State **HIMACHAL PRADESH** District **UNA** Election-**VSE-2022**

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
S.No.	**Description**	**To be filled up by the DEO**
1.	Name & address of the candidate	**Chander Mohan**
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	**Independent**
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	**44-Una**
4.	Name of the elected candidate	**Satpal Singh Satti**
5.	Date of declaration of result	**08.12.2022**
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	**03.01.2023**
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	**(i) Yes**
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	**(i) Yes**
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	**Yes**
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	**07.01.2023**
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	**Yes**
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	**03.01.2023**
12.	Whether account lodged in time	**Yes**
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	**NA**
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	**NA**
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	**NA**
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	**NA**
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	**Rs. 1,43,589/-**
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	**Yes**
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	**NA**
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	**Yes**

S.No.	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				**Yes**	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				**Yes**	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				**Yes**	
	(iv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				**Yes**	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				**No** **NA**	
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				**Yes**	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	----	----	----	**-----NA-----**	---	---
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				**Yes**	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details: -				**NA**	
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting				**NA**	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice				**NA**	
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				**NA**	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply				**NA**	

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	**NA**
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	**Yes**
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08.01.2023 **Signature of the Expenditure Observer**

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.
** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.