

# SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: **65-Jubbal-Kotkhai**

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **Six**

(c) State and District: **Himachal Pradesh, Shimla**

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: **08-12-2022**

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: **07-01-2023**

(f) Name of the elected candidate: **Sh Rohit Thakur (INC)**

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
Sr. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (should be similar to point No. 22 of DEOs scrutiny report i.e. Annexure-C-13)	Total expenses incurred by the Party ( As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement )		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1.	Smt. Suman Kadam, Independent	07-01-2023	03-01-23	Yes	Yes	10000/-	yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
2.	Sh. Chetan Singh Bragta, BJP	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	33,69,490/-	Yes	25,00,000/-	Nil	1.Jaipal 20,000 (Gift)	Nil	--
3	Sh. Rohit Thakur, INC	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	30,22,133/-	Yes	10,00,000	Nil	59723/- 1.Yaswant Pirta 34,723/- (Cheque) 2. Agriculture Produce Market Committee 25,000 (Cheque)	Nil	--

4.	Sh Shrikant Chauhan, AAP	07-01-2023	04-01-2023	Yes	Yes	1,92,320/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	33,000/- 1. Pooja Chauhan 3,000/- 2. Srikaant 10,000/- 3. Prashant Chauhan 10,000/- 4. Geeta Ram 10,000/-	Nil	
5.	Sh. Vishal Shankta, CPIM	07-01-2023	05-01-2023	Yes	Yes	10,74,724/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	753,200/- Schedule -9 attached	Nil	
6.	Sh. Ram Pal, BSP	07-01-2023	06-01-2023	Yes	Yes	7500/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-

District Election Officer(DC)  
Shimla, District Shimla, HP

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any;

Date: 8<sup>th</sup> January, 2023

Signature of the Expenditure Observer



**Schedule-9**

Details of Lumsum amount received from any person/company/firm/associations/body of person etc. as loan, gift or donation etc.

S.No.	Name and address	Date	Cash	DD/ Cheque no.etc. with details of drawee bank	Mention whether loan, gift or donation etc.	Total Amounting Rs.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	By Sh. Amit Chauhan	18.10.2022	-	-	gift	20,000	
2	By Sh. Virender	20.10.2022	-	-NEFT	-do-	50,000	
	By Sh. Jagbhushan	20.10.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
4	By Sh. Sanjay	25.10.2022	-	UPI	-do-	50,000	
5	By Sh. Ashok	26.10.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
6	By Sh. Happy Bhimta	28.10.2022	-	Cheque	-do-	11,000	
7	By Sh. Chet Ram Dhani	28.10.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
8	By Sh. Yogesh	02.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	2,000	
9	By Smt. Raksha Justa	03.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
10	By Smt. Krishna	3.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
11	By Smt. Manjula	3.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
12	By Smt. K.N. Jhenna	4.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
13	By Sh. Sunil Sharma	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
14	By Sh. Raj Pal	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
15	By Sh. Sanjay Chauhan	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
16	By Sh. Ishan Chauhan	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
17	By Sh. Roop Singh	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
18	By Sh. Amit Chauhan	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
19	By Sh. Sanjay Dhani	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
20	By Sh. Mohan Lal	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
21	By Sh. Bitu Sharma	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
22	By Sh. Jagi Thakur	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
23	By Sh. Sudesh Chauhan	5.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	5,000	
24	By Sh. Suresh Sharma	7.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
25	By Sh. Anirudh	10.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
26	By Sh. Vicky	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	5,000	
27	By Sh. Sukh Dev	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
28	By Sh. Sonu	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
29	By Sh. Ankush	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	5,000	
30	By Sh. Suresh Kumar	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	5,000	
31	By Sh. Sanjay	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
32	By Sh. Nikhil	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	5,000	
33	By Sh. Mohan Lal	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
34	By Sh. Raghwa Nand	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
35	By Sh. Pradeep	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
36	By Sh. Narender	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
37	By Sh. Ankush	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
38	By Sh. Hari Krishan	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
39	By Sh. Hitesh	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
40	By Sh. Anil	11.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	20,000	
41	By Sh. Unknown	17.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	5,000	
42	By Smt. Chitra Chauhan	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	5,000	
43	By Smt. Sujata Chauhan	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	5,000	
44	By Sh. Shubham	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	5,000	
45	By Smt. Pavitra Devi	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	5,000	
46	By Smt. Savitri	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
47	By Smt. Pooja Chauhan	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
48	By Smt. Neelam	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
49	By Smt. Nitu Shukla	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	

Vishal

50	By Smt. Savita Chauhan	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
51	By Smt. Sunita	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
52	By Smt. Unknown	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
53	By Smt. Sumitra Devi	22.11.2022	-	UPI	-do-	10,000	
54	By TDS	6.12.2022	-	UPI	-do-	200	
	<b>Total</b>					<b>7,53,200</b>	

*Vshy*



**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -1**  
**Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022**  
**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER**  
**RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Smt. Suman Kadam Flat No. 104, Ground Floor, Vidit Complex, Near Rawtan Bridge, Village Darbar Tehsil Kotkhai District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	65-Jubbal- Kotkhai
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Rohit Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
I 5.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported	Rs. 10000 /-

	by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:	Yes

Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
Nil					

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English	



	translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 8-1-2020</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date:	8-1-20	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -2**  
**Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022**  
**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER**  
**RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Chetan Singh Bragta, Village Tehtoli, PO. Bagahar, Tehsil Kotkhai, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	65-Jubbal- Kotkhai
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Rohit Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 33,69,490 /-



16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		N.A			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		N.A			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		N.A			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect  (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect  (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		No			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	Nil					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		N.A			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		N.A			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice		N.A			

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 8-1-23</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date: 8-1-23		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -3**  
**Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022**  
**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER**  
**RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Rohit Thakur, Village Pauta, PO. Dhar, Tehsil Jubbal, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	65-Jubbal- Kotkhai
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Rohit Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 30,22,133 /-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	N.A
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	N.A
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	N.A
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	N.A
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	N.A
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	N.A
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect  (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect  (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	No
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.  If No, then mention the following:	Yes

Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
Nil					

20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	N.A
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	N.A
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N.A



	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date:</p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date:		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.




**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -4**  
**Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022**  
**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER**  
**RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	<b>Sh. Shrikant Chauhan, Village Kothi, PO. Himri, Tehsil Kotkhai, District Shimla, HP</b>
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	<b>AAP</b>
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	<b>65-Jubbal- Kotkhai</b>
4.	Name of the elected candidate	<b>Sh Rohit Thakur</b>
5.	Date of declaration of result	<b>08-12-2022</b>
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	<b>03-01-2023</b>
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	<b>Yes</b>
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	<b>Yes</b>
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	<b>Yes</b>
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	<b>07-01-2023</b>
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	<b>Yes</b>
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	<b>04-01-2023 (Original Account)</b>
12.	Whether account lodged in time	<b>Yes</b>
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	<b>N.A</b>
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	<b>N.A</b>
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	<b>N.A</b>
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	<b>N.A</b>
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	<b>Rs. 1,92,320/-</b>



16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		N.A			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		N.A			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		N.A			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		No			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	Nil					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		N.A			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		N.A			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice		N.A			

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 8-1-23</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date: 8-1-23	<p>Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p> 	

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -5**  
**Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022**  
**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER**  
**RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Vishal Shankta, Village Bhawana, PO. Purag, Tehsil Kotkhai, District Shimla, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	CPIM
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	65-Jubbal- Kotkhai
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Rohit Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs.10,74,724 /-

16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		N.A			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		N.A			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		N.A			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		No			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	Nil					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		N.A			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		N.A			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice		N.A			



	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 8-1-23</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date: 8-1-23		Signature of the Expenditure Observer

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.



## Annexure-C3

**Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO - 6**  
**Name of the State H.P. District Shimla Vidhan Sabha Election-2022**  
**DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER**  
**RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961**

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Ram Pal, Village Sanerh, PO. Bhatian, Tehsil Nalagarh, Solan District Solan, HP
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3.	No. and name of Assembly/ Parliamentary Constituency	65-Jubbal- Kotkhai
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh Rohit Thakur
5.	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	N.A
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called, for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	N.A
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	N.A
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	N.A
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 7500/-



16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details		N.A			
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged		N.A			
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate		N.A			
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted		N.A			
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election		N.A			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account		N.A			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.		No			
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:		Yes			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
	NIL					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period		Yes			
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-		N.A			
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting		N.A			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice		N.A			

	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	N.A
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N.A
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	N.A
22.	<p>Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.</p> <p>(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)</p> <p>Date: 8-1-23</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Aditya Negi, IAS District Election Officer(DC) Shimla, District Shimla, HP</p>
23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-		
Date: 8-1-23	<p>Signature of the Expenditure Observer</p>	

\* if the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

\*\* The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.