# SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 17-Shahpur

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 07

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Kangra (e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07/01/2023

(d) Date of declaration of result of election 08/12/2022

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Kewal Singh

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	ACCOUNT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	9		10	
SI.	Name of the	Due Date of	Date of	Whether	Whether		Whether the DEO				10	11
25 (20) (20)	candidate and Party Affiliation	and the second s	lodging of accounts by the	lodged in	lodged in the manner required by law (Yes/No)	of the expenses incurred/aut horized by the candidate/a gent( as mentioned	agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure	by the Party in Part-III of Statement) Lump Sum	Grand Total of other expenses in	reported in F Abstract Star Lump sum Amount in cash/chequ e given to the	ties as Part-III of	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
	Kewal Singh (Indian National Congress)	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	15,17,965/-	Yes	20,00,000/-	Nil	4,05,900/-	Nil	
	Banars Dass Dogra (Bahujan Samaj Party)	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,71,130/-	Yes	-	Nil	Nil	Nil	
	Sarveen Choudhary (Bharatiya Janata Party)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	29,90,707/-	Yes	10,00,000/-	Nil	5,14,890/- Pawan Kumar Sanjay Jain Nishid B. Shah	Nil	

4 Abhishek Singh (Aam Aadmi Party)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	5,77,551/-	Yes		NII	58,000/- J.S. Rana Balwinder Kishore Chaman Rajesh Kuljeet Sanjay	Nil	•
5 Ashish Sharma (Hindu Samaj Party)		04.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,61,640/-	Yes	-	NII	92000/- Amit Priyanka Pingi Devi	Nil	
6 Joginder Singh (Panku Kangria) (Independent)	07.01.2023	04.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,10,817/-	Yes	-	NII	1,13,700/-	Nil	
7 Ramesh Gautam (Independent)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,72,800/-	Yes		NII	1,85,000/- Andal Kumar Sansar Chand Karuna Sharma	Nil	

District Election Officer (DC) C)
Kangra aDDbaramshala

Comments if any, by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7th January, 2023

# Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(1)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Kewal Singh, VPO Rait, Tehsil Shahpur, District Kangra (HP)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	17-Shahpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Kewal Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
).	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate.  If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	<u> </u>

15.			ection expenses f the Abstract S	1517965/-				
16.	expenses of ti	he cand	O's opinion, the lidate has been Act, 1951 and G					
17.	If No, then plo	ease me	ention the follow	ving defects with		NA		
•	Day to Day	Accou		egister comprising of ash Register, Bank en lodged		Yes		
	(ii) Whether d	uly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes		
	(iii) Whether is election exper			espect of items of		Yes		
	(iv) Whether s	separate	Bank Account		Yes			
	(v) Whether a routed through		diture (except paccount	Yes				
8.			had issued a no	NA				
	(ii) Whether the		ng the defect idate rectified th	NA				
		ts of the	e DEO on the a	NA				
19.	candidate corr Shadow Obser	respond vation	election expension with the expense Register and Fo		Yes			
	If No, then me Items of expenditure	KIEST DIV.	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
TOTAL								
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes		
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-							

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	, P10
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	~
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	~~
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	120
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No
2.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		Destripending the Comment of the bear (DC)

Date: 7-1-23

### Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(2)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO	
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Banars Dass Dogra, Vill. Kahliyana, PO Lanj, Tehsil Harchakian, District Kangra (HP)	
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party	
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	17-Shahpur	
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Kewal Singh	
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022	
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023	
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes	
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes	
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes	
).	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023	
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes	
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023 Original	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes	
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA	
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate.  If not, reason thereof.	NA	
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-	
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	•	

15.			ection expenses f the Abstract S	1,71,130/-			
16.	expenses of t	he cand	O's opinion, the lidate has been Act, 1951 and G				
17.	If No, then plo	ease me	ention the follow	ving defects with		NA	
	Day to Day	Accou		gister comprising of ash Register, Bank n lodged	•	Yes	
	(ii) Whether d	uly swo	orn in affidavit l	has been submitted		Yes	
	(iii) Whether election exper		e vouchers in re submitted		Yes		
	(iv) Whether s	separate	Bank Account		Yes		
	(v) Whether a routed through		nditure (except paccount	Yes			
18.			had issued a no	NA			
	candidate for		ng the defect idate rectified th	NA			
		ts of the	e DEO on the al	NA			
19.	candidate cor	respond vation	election expensions with the expension Register and Fo		Yes		
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
OTAL							
0.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes		
21.			e with the facts d to above, g	 \			

>	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	140
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	N
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	1240
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	120
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	Mo
2.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) (Name of the DEO) mshala.

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23

# Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(3)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sarveen Choudhary, VPO & Tehsil Shahpur, District Kangra (HP)
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	17-Shahpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Kewal Singh
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate.  If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	<u>-</u>
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

					the part of the later was being a few and the	
15.•			ction expenses	29,90,707/-		
16.	expenses of th	e candi	o's opinion, the idate has been Act, 1951 and C			
17.	If No, then ple details	ase me	ntion the follow	ring defects with		NA
	Day to Day	Accour		gister comprising of ash Register, Bank n lodged		Yes
	(ii) Whether do	uly swo	orn in affidavit l	nas been submitted		Yes
	(iii) Whether r		e vouchers in re submitted		Yes	
	(iv) Whether s	eparate	Bank Account		Yes	
	(v) Whether al		diture (except p	Yes		
18.			had issued a no	NA		
	candidate for r		g the defect date rectified th	NA		
		ts of the	e DEO on the al	NA		
19.	candidate corr	respond vation	with the expe Register and Fo	ases reported by the enses shown in the older of Evidence.		Yes
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ΓΟΤΑL						
20.	Election Ex	penditu Authori:	oduce his Regi re for insp zed persons	Yes		
21.			e with the facts d to above, g			

•	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	146
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	140
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	740
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	No
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	~~
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		Dr. Nipun Jindal, IAS District Election Officer (DC) (Namejof the DEO)amshala.

Date: 7-1-23

#### Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(4)

the candidate

#### Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

#### DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. **RULES, 1961** To be filled up by the DEO S.No. **Description** Abhishek Singh, Vill. Kiari, PO & Tehsil Shahpur, Name & address of the candidate 1. District Kangra (HP) Aam Aadmi Party 2. Political Party affiliation, if any 17-Shahpur 3. of Assembly/Parliamentary and name Constituency Sh. Kewal Singh Name of the elected candidate 4. 08.12.2022 Date of declaration of result 5. 03.01.2023 6. Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been Yes informed about the date of Account Reconciliation 7. Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting Yes Yes 8. Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) 07.01.2023 9. Last date prescribed for lodging Account Yes 10. Whether the candidate has lodged the account 05.01.2023 11. If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: Original (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting Yes 12. Whether account lodged in time NA 12 A. If not lodged in time, period of delay NA 13. If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. 14. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate 14A Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of

7							
15.			ection expenses f the Abstract S	5,77,551/-			
16.	expenses of the	he cand	O's opinion, the lidate has been Act, 1951 and G				
17.	If No, then plo	ease me	ention the follow	ving defects with		NA	
	Day to Day	Accou		gister comprising of ash Register, Bank n lodged		Yes	
	(ii) Whether d	luly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes	
	(iii) Whether is election exper			espect of items of		Yes	
	(iv) Whether s	separate	Bank Account		Yes		
	(v) Whether a routed through		diture (except p	Yes			
18.			had issued a no		NA		
	candidate for i		ig the defect idate rectified th	NA			
		ts of the	e DEO on the a	NA			
19.	candidate corr	respond rvation	with the expe Register and Fo	ases reported by the enses shown in the older of Evidence.	Yes		
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
OTAL							
0.	Election Ex	penditu Authori:	oduce his Regi re for insp zed persons	Yes			
21.			e with the facts d to above, g				

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	720
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	126
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	140
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Kes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		Dai Nipun Gladin In Stricer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala. (Name of the DEO):

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23

# Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(5)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO			
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ashish Sharma, Vill. Thamba, PO Durgella, Teshil Shahpur, District Kangra (HP)			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Hindu Samaj Party			
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	17-Shahpur			
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Kewal Singh			
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022			
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023			
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes			
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes			
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes			
).	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023			
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes			
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04.01.2023 Original			
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes			
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA			
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate.  If not, reason thereof.	NA			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate				
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	•			

· V							
15.			ection expenses of the Abstract S		1,61,640/-		
16.	expenses of t	he cand	O's opinion, the lidate has been Act, 1951 and o		Yes		
17.	If No, then pl	ease me	ention the follow	ving defects with		NA	
	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Rent Register, Catement has bee	Yes			
	(ii) Whether of by candidate	luly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted	Yes		
	(iii) Whether election exper			espect of items of		Yes	
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election		Yes	
	(v) Whether a routed through		nditure (except paccount		Yes		
18.			had issued a no	NA			
	candidate for		ng the defect idate rectified tl	he defect	NA		
		ts of th	e DEO on the a	NA			
19.	candidate cor	respond rvation	I with the expe Register and Fo	nses reported by the enses shown in the older of Evidence.		Yes	
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
TOTAL							
20.		penditu Authori:			Yes		
21.	If DEO does n Row No. 19 details:-		e with the facts d to above, g				

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	<i>L</i> 10
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	120
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	120
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	140
2.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature  Signature  District Fluction Officer (DC)
		Dis เพ่าตนักโรเคยีอก (Asficer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala. (Name of the DEO):

Date: 7-1-23

### Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(6)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Joginder Singh (Panku Kangdia), Vill. Mundla, PC Ladwara, Teshil Shahpur, District Kangra (HP)		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	17-Shahpur		
1.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Kewal Singh		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
5.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
3.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
0.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
1.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04.01.2023 Original		
2.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
3.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate.  If not, reason thereof.	NA		
4.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-		
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate			

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					1,10,817/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes
17.	If No, then ple	ease me	ntion the follow	ving defects with		NA
	Day to Day	Accoun	Expenditure Rent Register, Contement has been	Yes		
	(ii) Whether d by candidate	uly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes
	(iii) Whether relection expen			espect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether s	separate	Bank Account	opened for election		Yes
	(v) Whether all routed through		diture (except p		Yes	
18.			had issued a no	NA		
	candidate for r		date rectified the	NA		
		ts of the	e DEO on the a	NA		
19.	candidate corr Shadow Obser	respond vation	election expensions with the expension and Fo		Yes	
	If No, then me Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.		penditu Authori:			Yes	
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-					

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	120
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	140
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	140
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	140
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	140
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		Distripun Vindal (DC)  Kangra at Dharamshala. (Name of the DEO):

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23

# Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(7)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO			
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ramesh Gautam, Vill. Khari Bahi, PO Kareri, Tehsil Drini, District Kangra (HP)			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent			
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	17-Shahpur			
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Kewal Singh			
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022			
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023			
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes			
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes			
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes			
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023			
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes			
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:  (i) original account  (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023 Original			
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes			
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA			
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate.  If not, reason thereof.	NA			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-			
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-1			

15.			ection expenses of the Abstract S		1,72,800/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961					Yes
17.	If No, then plo	ease me	ention the follow		NA	
	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Rent Register, Contement has been		Yes	
	(ii) Whether d	uly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes
	(iii) Whether is election exper			espect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether s	eparate	Bank Account	opened for election		Yes
	(v) Whether a		diture (except p	Yes		
18.			had issued a no	NA		
	candidate for i		ng the defect idate rectified tl	ne defect	NA	
		ts of the	e DEO on the a	NA		
19.	candidate corr	espond vation	election expension with the expense Register and Former following:	Yes		
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OTAL						
0.	Did the candid Election Ex Observer/RO/A campaign perio	oenditu Authoriz	oduce his Regi re for insp zed persons		Yes	
21.			e with the facts d to above, g			

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	~~
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	P40
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	720
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	No
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	140
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Municipality
		Signature  District of the beogenshala.

23. Comments, if any by the Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23