SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 37-Sujanpur

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 05

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Hamirpur

(d) Date of declaration of result of election 08/12/2022

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07/01/2023

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Rajinder Rana

Ti	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10		11
SI. No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribe d format	Whether lodged in the manner required by law	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/authori zed by the candidate/agent	shown by the	Total expens incurred by th (As reported of Abstract S	ne Party in Part-III	Total expenses other/entities a in Part-III of Ab Statement	s reported	Remarks of the Expenditur e Observer
				(Yes/No)	(Yes/No)		against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point No. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- C13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by cash Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash/cheque given to the candidate (and Mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
	Rajinder Rana (Indian National Congress)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	26,05,454	yes	10,00,000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	
2	Ranjit Singh Rana(Bharatiya Janata Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	22,04,783	yes	25,00,000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	
3	Gian Chand (Bahujan Samaj Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	51,750	yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	-
4	1 Anil Rana (Aam Aadmi Party)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	3,78,756	yes	Nil	Nil	20,000/-	Nil	-
	Rajesh Kumar (Independent)	07-01-2023	07-01-2023	yes	yes	99,100	yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	

Comments if any, by the Expenditure Observer

Signature of DEO HO

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Date:7-1-2023



Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Rajinder Rana, S/o Sukh Ram, Village Groudu Mihaliayan PO. Patlander, Tehsil Sujanpur, District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	37-Sujanpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Rajinder Rana, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	3-1-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	

				<u> </u>		·	
15.			ction expenses if the Abstract St		2	26,05,454 /-	
1Ġ. *	expenses of t	he candi	date has been l	account of election lodged in the manner C.E. Rules, 1961			
17.	If No, then pl	ease mei	ntion the follow		NA		
	Day to Day	Accoun	Expenditure Reg t Register, Ca tement has beer		Yes		
	(ii) Whether of by candidate	luly swo	rn in affidavit h		Yes		
	(iii) Whether election expen			spect of items of	Yes Yes		
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election			
	(v) Whether a routed through		diture (except p	Yes			
18.	(i) Whether the	he DEO	had issued a no	tice to the		NA	
	candidate for				NA		
			date rectified th		NA		
	the defect was			ove, i.e. whether			
19.	candidate cor Shadow Obse	respond rvation F	election expensions with the experience Register and Follows		Yes		
	If No, then me		1		Amount as	Amount understated by the	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow	Mention amount as per the Shadow	per the	candidate	
			Observation	Observation	account		
			Register	Register/folder of evidence	submitted by the candidate		
i .	NA	NA	NA .	NA	NA	NA	
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
TOTAL							
20.		kpenditui Authoriz	e for insp	ster of Accounting section by the 3 times during		Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	Na,		
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA		
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	Ио		
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No		
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	70		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	70		
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature Jitender Sanita, (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)		

Date: 7-1-2023

Signature of Expenditure Observer



Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ranjit Singh Rana, S/o Sh. Jaisi Ram, Village Kach PO. Bir Bagehra, Tehsil Sujanpur, District Hamirpu
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	37-Sujnapur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Rajinder Rana, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	3-1-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	Original
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of	-

					· 		
20.		penditur Authoriz	e for inst	ster of Accounting pection by the 3 times during	Yes		
TOTAL		<u></u>					
i, iii	NA	NA	NA ·	NA	NA	NA NA	
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA .	NA NA	
1	NIA	NIA.		evidence	the candidate		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the account submitted by	Amount understated by the candidate	
19.	candidate com	espond vation F	with the expe Register and Fo	ises reported by the enses shown in the lder of Evidence.		Yes	
		ts of the	DEO on the al	bove, i.e. whether		NA	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a candidate for rectifying the defect(ii) Whether the candidate rectified				NA NA		
:	routed through	bank a	count	petty expenditure)	Yes		
	(iv) Whether s	eparate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes		
	(iii) Whether relection expense			spect of items of		Yes	
	(ii) Whether d by candidate	uly swo	rn in affidavit l	has been submitted		Yes	
	(i) Whether El Day to Day Register, Abst	Accoun	t Register, Ca	gister comprising of ash Register, Bank n lodged		Yes	
17.	17. If No, then please mention the follodetails					NA ·	
16.	expenses of the	ne candi	date has been	e account of election lodged in the manner C.E. Rules, 1961		Yes	
15.			ction expenses the Abstract S	reported by the tatement	2.	2,04,783 /-	

If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA
(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	No
(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No
(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	No
(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
ents, if any by the Expenditure Observer	Titender Sanita. (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)
	Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:- (i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? (iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply (v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary

Date: 7-1-2023

Signature of Expenditure Observer



Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

RULES, 1961	NY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE	
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sh. Gian Chand, S/o Jamba Ram, Village Bidhian PO. Amroh, Tehsil & District Hamirpur
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	37-Sujanpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Rajinder Rana, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	
	(ii) Whether he of his agent has attended the niceting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023(Original account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	_

15.			ction expenses the Abstract S	reported by the tatement		51,750 /-
16.		ne candi	's opinion, the date has been Act, 1951 and C			
17.	If No, then plo	ease mer	ntion the follow	ving defects with		NA
	Day to Day	Accoun	Expenditure Re t Register, Ca ternent has bee		Yes	
	(ii) Whether d	uly swo	rn in affidavit l		Yes	
	(iii) Whether relection expenses		vouchers in re ubmitted		Yes	
	(iv) Whether s	eparate	Bank Account	opened for election		Yes
	(v) Whether all routed through			petty expenditure)		Yes
18.	(i) Whether the candidate for i			tice to the		NA NA
	(ii) Whether the (iii) Commenth the defect was	ts of the	DEO on the al	ne defect		NA.
19.	candidate com	respond	with the expe	ses reported by the cases shown in the lder of Evidence.		Yes
	If No, then me	ntion th	e following:			
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA NA
ii, iii	NA	ΝA	NA	NA	NA	NA NA
TOTAL						
20.	Did the candid Election Ex Observer/RO/A campaign perio	penditur Authoriz	e for inst		Yes	

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	70
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	70
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		Titender Sanjta. (Name of the DEO):
	nents, if any by the Expenditure Observer	District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)

Date: 7-1-2023.

Signature of Expenditure Observer



Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

DEO's SCRUT 1961	INY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE	CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES,
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Anil Rana, S/o Ranjit Singh Rihal, Village Thathi Gudralan, PO. Kheri, Tehsil Sujanpur, District Hamirpu
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Admi Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	37-Sujanpur
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Rajinder Rana, Indian National Congress
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 (Original Account)
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	<u>-</u>
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	<u>-</u> •

15.				reported by the		3,78,756 /-		
	candidate in P	art-II of	the Abstract S	tatement	e sa			
J 16.	expenses of the	he candi	's opinion, the date has been Act, 1951 and 0		Yes			
	10401100 07 01	4 . 1	, 1551 4114 (1701	4			
17.	If No. then ple	ease mer	ntion the follow	ring defects with		NA		
17.	details			mg dolocis with				
				gister comprising of		Yes		
			t Register, Ca	ash Register, Bank n lodged				
	(ii) Whether d	ulv swo	rn in affidavit l	nas been submitted		Yes		
	by candidate							
	(iii) Whether i	reanisite	vouchers in re	spect of items of		Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted							
	(iv) Whether s	separate	Bank Account	opened for election		Yes		
		_			·			
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure)				Yes			
·	routed through	n bank a	count					
18.	(i) Whether the candidate for i		had issued a no	tice to the	NA			
	(ii) Whether th	ne candio	late rectified th	NA				
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether					NA		
	the defect was	rectified	l or not.					
19.			election expen		Yes			
			with the expe Register and Fo					
	If No, then me		_					
	Items of		Page No. of	Mention amount	Amount as	Amount understated by the		
	expenditure		Shadow	as per the Shadow	per the account	candidate		
. ·			Observation Register	Observation Register/folder of	submitted by			
				evidence	the candidate			
i	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA ·		
	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA NA		
ii, iii	···		-					
	TOTAL	, 						
20.	Did the candi- Election Ex Observer/RO/A campaign period	penditur Authoriz	e for inst		Yes			

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	70
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	N 0
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
		Signature
		Jitender Sanjta, (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-2023



Name of the State: Himachal Pradesh

District: Hamirpur

Sr.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO Rajesh Kumar, S/o Sita Ram, Village Nakhrer Souran, PO.Kuthehra, Tehsil & District Hamirpu		
1.	Name & address of the candidate			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	37-Sujanpur		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Rajinder Rana, Indian National Congress		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03-01-2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07.01.2023 (Original account)		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-		
.14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-		

15.			ction expenses f the Abstract S	reported by the	99	9,100/-
J 16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				n Yes	
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				NA	
					Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the				NA	
	candidate for rectifying the defect				NA	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19.	candidate con	respond	election exper with the expe Register and Fo	Yes ,		
	If No, then me				Amount as	Amount understated has the
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA
	TOTAL					
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes

21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA		
J	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting			
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	No		
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No		
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	No		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No		
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature Signature Signature Samita, (Name of the DEO): District Election Officer (DC) Hamirpur, District Hamirpur (H.P.)		

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-2023.