SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES (a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 41-CHINTPURNI (SC) (b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 05

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07.01.2023

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08.12.2022

(f) Name of the elected candidate: SUDARSHAN SINGH BABLOO

S.	Name of the candidate	3.	4.	5.	1					UDARSHAN SING	H RABFOO	,
No.	and Port A Cour		Date of	Whether	6.	7.	8.	10 U	9.			
110.	and Party Affiliation	of lodging	g lodging of		Whether		Whether the	Total	9.	10.		11.
		of account accounts by the candidate		the prescribed didate format	lodged in the manner required	Total of the expenses incurred/	DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate	(As reported of Abstract	of the Faity o		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part III of Abstract Statement	
1.	Balbir Singh			(Yes or No)	by law (Yes or No)	authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure-C13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	Observer
	Bharatiya Janata Party	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	21,70,757	Yes	25,00,000	0			
2.	Virender Kumar Bahujan Samaj Party	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	92.070				0	0	
3.	Sudarshan Singh Babloo	07.04.00			103	82,050	Yes	0	0			
	Indian National Congress	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	16,93,678	Yes	10,00,000		0	0	
	Ram Paul	07.01				1		10,00,000	0	49,000	0	
1	Aam Aadmi Party	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	8,60,515	Yes			i) Manish Rana		
	Kewal Kumar Independent	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	52,950		0		0	0	
				103	52,950	52,950 Yes	Yes	0	0	28,900	0	
										i) Naresh ii) Neelam iii) Suresh iv) Satnam v) Manvinder vi) Rajat vii) Veena		

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,_

Date: 08.01.2023 Signature of the DEO (AC) Ung

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 01 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DE
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Balbir Singh
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	41-Chintpurni (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sudarshan Singh Babloo
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes /
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA .
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 21,70,757/-
6.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
7.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes

S.No.	Description		To be filled up by the DNO				
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	Yes				
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure						
	submitted		,				
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes		
	(iv) Whether	r all expe	Yes				
	bank accoun	t					
18.	(i) Whether t	he DEO		×			
	defect				No		
	` ′		date rectified the				
	` ′		DEO on the ab	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA		
	rectified or n						
19.				ses reported by the candidate correspond low Observation Register and Folder of	***		
			Yes				
			mention the fo		A	1 A a	
	Items of	Date	Page No. of Shadow	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	Amount as per the	Amount understated by	
	expenditure		Observation	evidence	account	the candidate	
			Register	evidence	submitted	the candidate	
			Register		by the		
		:			candidate		
i				NA			
TOTAL			<u> </u>				
20.	Did the cand	idate pro	duce his Regist	ter of Accounting Election Expenditure			
	for inspection	n by the (Observer/RO/A	authorized persons 3 times during	Yes		
	campaign pe	riod					
21.	If DEO does	not agree	e with the facts	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA		
	to above, giv	e the foll					
	(i) Were the	defects n	NA				
		<u> </u>	e Account Reconciliation Meeting				
	(ii) If yes, the		NA				
	discrepancie						
	mention the						
	(iii) Did the	candidate	give any reply	to the notice?	NA		
	(iv) If yes, p	lease Ann	nex copies of su	uch explanation received, (with English	NA		
	Ï	f the sam	e, if it is in regi	ional language) and mention date of			
	reply			÷		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the
		DEO

	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (20) Una

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08.01-2023

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 02 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

S.No.	RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 Description	To be filled up by the DEC
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Virender Kumar
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	41-Chintpurni (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sudarshan Singh Babloo
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 82,050/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the
		DEO

	(ii) Whether	duly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted by candidate	Yes	
	(iii) Whether	requisite	e vouchers in re	espect of items of election expenditure	Yes	
	submitted					
	(iv) Whether	separate	Yes			
	(iv) Whether	r all expe	Yes			
	bank accoun	t				
18.	(i) Whether t	he DEO	had issued a no	otice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
	(ii) Whether	the cand	idate rectified t	he defect		
	(iii) Commer	nts of the	DEO on the al	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA	
	rectified or n	ot.				
19.	Whether the	items of	election expens	ses reported by the candidate correspond		
	with the expe	enses sho	own in the Shad	ow Observation Register and Folder of	Yes	
	Evidence. If	No, then	mention the fo	llowing:		
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by
			Observation	evidence	account	the candidate
			Register		submitted	
					by the	
					candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL		•				
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure					. 1
	for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during				Yes	
	campaign period					
21.	If DEO does	not agre	e with the facts	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA	
	to above, giv	e the fol				
	(i) Were the	defects n	oticed by DEO	brought to the notice of the candidate	NA	
	during camp	aign peri	od or during th	e Account Reconciliation Meeting		
	(ii) If yes, the	en annex	copies of all th	e notices issued relating to	NA	
	discrepancie	s with Er				
	mention the date of notice					
	(iii) Did the	candidate	e give any reply	to the notice?	NA	
		•	,		,	
			•	ach explanation received, (with English	NA	
	translation of	f the sam	e, if it is in reg	ional language) and mention date of		
	reply					

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature July
		(RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Obser	ver*-
	la mer
Date: 08-01-2023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

 $^{^{*}}$ If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sudarshan Singh Babloo
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	41-Chintpurni (SC)
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sudarshan Singh Babloo
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 16,93,678/-
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes

S.No.	Description	1			To be filled	up by the DEO
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted by candidate	Yes	
	(iii) Whether	r requisite	Yes			
	submitted					
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes	
	(iv) Whethe	r all expe	Yes			
	bank accoun	t				
18.		the DEO	had issued a no	otice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
			idate rectified t			
			DEO on the ab	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA	
	rectified or n					
19.			-	ses reported by the candidate correspond		
	•			low Observation Register and Folder of	Yes	
	Evidence. If					
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by
			Observation	evidence	account	the candidate
			Register		submitted	
					by the	
•					candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL	D:141 1	• 1 .	1 11 75 1	er of Accounting Election Expenditure		
20.						
	campaign pe		Jbserver/RO/A	authorized persons 3 times during	Yes	
21.	If DEO does	not agree	NA			
	to above, giv	e the foll				
	(i) Were the	defects n	NA			
	during campa	aign peri				
	(ii) If yes, the	en annex	e notices issued relating to	NA		
	discrepancies	s with En				
	mention the	date of no				
	(iii) Did the	candidate	give any reply	to the notice?	NA	
	(iv) If yes, pl	lease Anr	nex copies of su	ich explanation received, (with English	NA	
	translation of reply	f the same	e, if it is in regi	onal language) and mention date of		•

v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
	IVA
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the andidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DED (DC) (Lma
an	adidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)

23. Comments,	if any,	by the	Expenditure	Observer*-
---------------	---------	--------	-------------	------------

Date: 08-01-2023

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 04 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DE		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Ram Paul		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	41-Chintpurni (SC)		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sudarshan Singh Babloo		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting			
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA .		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement Rs. 8,60,515/-			
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes		

S.No.	o. Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure					
	submitted			• •		
	(iv) Whether	r separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes	
	(iv) Whethe	r all expe	nditure (excep	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes	
	bank accoun	it				
18.	(i) Whether t	the DEO	had issued a no	otice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
	` '		idate rectified t			
			DEO on the al	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA	
	rectified or n					
19.			-	ses reported by the candidate correspond low Observation Register and Folder of		
			Yes			
	Evidence. If					
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by
		İ	Observation	evidence	account	the candidate
			Register		submitted	
				÷	by the candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL			<u> </u>		,	
20.	Did the cand	idate pro	duce his Regist	er of Accounting Election Expenditure		
	for inspection	n by the (Observer/RO/A	uthorized persons 3 times during	Yes	
	campaign pe	riod				
21.	If DEO does	not agree	with the facts	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA	
	to above, giv	e the foll	owing details:	-		
	(i) Were the	defects n	NA	·		
	during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to				NA	
	discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and					
	mention the date of notice					
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				NA	
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of			NA.		
	reply					

PS.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature July
		(RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)

23. Com	ments, if any, by the Expenditure Obser	rver*-
		Liver
Date:	08.01-2023	Signature of the Expenditure Observer

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like

giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 05 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER **RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961** S.No. To be filled up by the Description DEO 1. Name & address of the candidate Kewal Kumar 2. Political Party affiliation, if any Independent No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency 3. 41-Chintpurni (SC) 4. Name of the elected candidate Sudarshan Singh Babloo 5. Date of declaration of result 08.12.2022 Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting 03.01.2023 6. 7. (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of (i) Yes Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting (i) Yes 8. Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Yes Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) 9. Last date prescribed for lodging Account 07.01.2023 10. Whether the candidate has lodged the account Yes 11. If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting 12. Whether account lodged in time Yes 12 A. If not lodged in time, period of delay NA 13. If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for NA explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate 14. NA 14 A. Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate NA 15. Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of Rs. 52,950/the Abstract Statement Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the 16. Yes candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 If No, then please mention the following defects with details NA 17.

S.No.	Description	1			To be filled DEO	up by the
- "	(i) Whether I Account Reg been lodged		Yes			
		duly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted by candidate	Yes	
	(iii) Whether submitted	requisite	e vouchers in re	espect of items of election expenditure	Yes	
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes	
	(iv) Whether	-	enditure (excep	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes	
18.	defect			otice to the candidate for rectifying the	No	
	` ′	nts of the	idate rectified to DEO on the ab	he defect bove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA	
19.	with the expe	enses sho	-	n expenses reported by the candidate correspond the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of on the following:		
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated
			Observation	evidence .	account	by the
			Register		submitted	candidate
	-				by the candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL						
20.	Did the cand	lidate pro	duce his Regist	ter of Accounting Election Expenditure		
	for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes	
21.	If DEO does	not agre	NA			
	to above, giv	e the fol				
	(i) Were the	defects r	NA			
	during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to				NA	
	_		_	on (if it is in regional language) and		
	mention the date of notice					
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?					

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA		
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes		
	Date: 08.01-2023	Signature		
		(RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)		

23. Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-

Date: 08-01-2022

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.