


**SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES**

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: **26- Karsog (SC)** (b) Total No. of contesting candidates: **06**  
 (c) State and District: **Himachal Pradesh, Mandi** (d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: **08-12-2022**  
 (e) Last date of lodging accounts: **07-1-2023** (f) Name of the elected candidate: **Mr Deep Raj (BJP)**

<u>1.</u>	<u>2.</u>	<u>3.</u>	<u>4.</u>	<u>5.</u>	<u>6.</u>	<u>7.</u>	<u>8.</u>	<u>9.</u>		<u>10.</u>		<u>11.</u>
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- G3)	Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement )		Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
								Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Sh Kishori Lal Communist Party of India-(M)	07-1-2023	07-1-2023	Yes	Yes	308888	Yes	-	-	-	-	
2	Sh. Chaman Lal Bahujan Samaj Party	07-1-2023	07-1-2023	Yes	Yes	37205	Yes	-	-	-	-	
3	Sh. Deep Raj Bharatiya Janta Party	07-1-2023	07-1-2023	Yes	Yes	3226898.27	Yes	2948000	-	263400	-	
4	Sh Mahesh Raj Indian National Congress	07-1-2023	07-1-2023	Yes	Yes	2687919	Yes	3000000	-	-	-	
5	Bhagwant Singh Aam Aadmi Party	07-1-2023	07-1-2023	Yes	Yes	151105	Yes	-	-	-	-	
6	Sh. Ghanshyam Azad Independent	07-1-2023	07-1-2023	Yes	Yes	37450	Yes	-	-	-	-	

  
**(Arindam Chaudhary), IAS**  
**District Election Officer (DC),**  
**Mandi District Mandi**

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any, Nil

Date: 07-01-2023


  
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Mandi ~~Elect~~ Assembly Election 2022


DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Mr Kishori Lal
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	CPI(M)
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Karsog(SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Mr Deep Raj (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 Yes
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 308888
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					Agreed
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					-
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Plamdi	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\*:-

Date 07.01.2023

  
 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately



Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 2-----


Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Mandi ~~Division~~ Assembly Election 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Mr Chaman Lal
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BSP
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Karsog(SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Mr Deep Raj (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 Yes
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....NA..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 37205
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA NA NA NA NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA -



23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer\* -

Date 07.01.2023

  
Signature of the Expenditure Observer


The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately


Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO 3

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Mandi ~~Election~~ Assembly Election 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Mr Deep Raj
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	BJP
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Karsog(SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Mr Deep Raj (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 Yes
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....NA..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 3226898.27
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA NA NA NA NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					Agreed
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revied, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	
Date 07.01.2023	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately





Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_ 4. \_\_\_\_\_

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Mandi ~~Election~~ Assembly Election 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Mr Mahesh Raj
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INC
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Karsog(SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Mr Deep Raj (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 Yes
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....NA..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 2687919
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA -



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					Agreed
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					NA
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandli	

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	
Date 07.01.2023	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately





Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Mandi ~~Election~~ Assembly Election 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961		
Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Mr Bhagwant Singh
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	AAP
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Karsog(SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Mr Deep Raj (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 Yes
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....NA..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 151105
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate (iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted (iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election (v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA NA NA NA NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA -



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
<b>Total</b>						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Absent on first inspection i.e. 01-11-2022
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					Agreed
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					Yes
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					No
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	
Date 07.01.2023	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately




Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO \_\_\_\_\_ 6 \_\_\_\_\_


Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Mandi ~~Election~~ Assembly Election 2022

DEO's SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E.  
RULES, 1961

Sr. No	Description	to be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	Mr Ghanshyam Azad
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	-
3	No and name of Assembly / Parliamentary Constituency	26-Karsog(SC)
4	Name of the elected candidate	Mr Deep Raj (BJP)
5	Date of declaration of result	08-12-2022
6	Date of Account Reconciliation meeting	03-01-2023
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No) . (if not defects that could not be reconciled be shown in column No 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07-01-2023
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of loading of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	07-01-2023 Yes
12	whether account lodged in time	Yes
12 A	If not lodged in time, Period of delay	.....NA..... days
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not reason thereof	NA
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA
14 A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part -II of the Abstract Statement	Rs 37450 ✓
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of Candidate as been lodged in the manner required by the R.P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes
17	If no, then please mention the following defects with details	NA
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	NA
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate	NA
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted	NA
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election	NA
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account	NA
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect (iii) Comments of the DEO on the above , i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.	NA -



19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the shadow observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				YES	
	Items of expenditure	Date	page No. of Shadow observation Register	Mention amount as per the shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
(i)	—	—	—	NA	—	—
ii, iii	—	—	—	NA	—	—
Total						
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/ RO /Authorized persons 3 time during campaign period					Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row NO. 19 referred to above give the following details:-					Agreed
(i)	Were the defects noticed notices by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period during the Account Reconciliation Meeting					NA
(ii)	If yes then annex copies of all notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice					-
(iii)	Did the candidate give any reply to the notice					NA
(iv)	If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation revived, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language ) and mention the date of reply					NA
(v)	DEO's comments/ observations on the candidate's explanation					NA
22	Whether the DEO agree that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate . (should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)					Yes
	Date 7/1/23					 Signature (Name of the DEO) Mandi

23 Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer*-	
Date 07.01.2023	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report , he may annex separate not to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be complied by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission . If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately