SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency: 18-Dharamshala

(c) State and District: Himachal Pradesh, Kangra

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07/01/2023

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 06

(d) Date of declaration of result of election 08/12/2022

(f) Name of the elected candidate: Sudhir Sharma

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		1	0	11
SI. No	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due Date of lodging of account		Whether lodged in the prescribed format	Whether lodged in the manner required by law	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/author ized by the candidate/agen	amount	Total expense the Party (As r Part-III of Abst Statement)	reported in	Total expens by other/entir reported in P Abstract Star	ties as Part-III of	Remarks of the Expenditure Observer
				(Yes/No)	(Yes/No)	t(as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	CHARLES STORY OF THE ART OF THE STORY	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by cash Political Party	expenses in	Amount in cash/chequ e given to the candidate	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1	Rakesh Choudhary (Bharatiya Janata Party)	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	28,09,901/-	Yes	25,00,000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	
2	Sudhir Sharma (Indian National Congress)	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	33,06,849.36/-	Yes	10,00,000/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	
3	Kulwant Singh Rana (Aam Aadmi Party)	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	445880/-	Yes	-	NII	Nil	Nil	

4 Dr. Abhay Kumar Ashok (Independent)	07.01.2023	04.01.2023	Yes	Yes	60850/-	Yes	-	NII	Nil	Nil	
(Independent)	07.01.2023	07.01.2023	Yes	Yes	7,79,877/-	Yes	-	NII	Nil	Nil	
6 Subhash Chand Shukla (Independent)	07.01.2023	04.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,01,084/-	Yes	-	NII	Nil	Nil	

District Election Officer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala () Kangra at Dharamshala ()

Comments if any, by the Expenditure Observer

Date: January, 2023

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(1)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Rakesh Choudhary, Village & Post Office Paddar Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh 176052
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bharatiya Janata Party
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	18-Dharamshala
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sudhir Sharma
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
).	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07 01.2023
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
1.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023 Original
2.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
3.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA
4.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	-
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

•						
15.			ection expenses of the Abstract S		2809901/-	
16.	expenses of	the cand	O's opinion, the didate has been Act, 1951 and			
17.	If No, then pl	lease me	ention the follow	wing defects with		NA .
	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Rent Register, Catement has been		Yes	
	(ii) Whether of by candidate	duly sw	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes
	(iii) Whether election expe			espect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account		Yes	
	(v) Whether a		nditure (except paccount	Yes		
8.			had issued a no	NA		
	candidate for		ng the defect idate rectified tl	NA		
		nts of th	e DEO on the a	NA		
19.	candidate cor	respond	f election expend with the expendence following:		Yes	
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
OTAL						
20.	Did the candi Election Ex Observer/RO/, campaign perio	penditu Authori:	oduce his Regi re for insp zed persons	Yes		
11.				mentioned against ive the following		

•		
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	120
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	1.30
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	126
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	140
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	120
2.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Xes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		On Ninur Lindah (AS fficer (DC) (Name of the DEO):

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(2)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Sudhir Sharma, Village & Post Office Rakkar, Tehsi Dharamshala, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh- 176057		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	18-Dharamshala		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sudhir Sharma		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
).	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023 Original		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate			
4A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate			

15.			ction expenses f the Abstract S	33,06,849.36 /-			
16.	expenses of t	he cand	o's opinion, the idate has been Act, 1951 and G		Yes		
17.	If No, then pl	ease me	ntion the follow	ving defects with		NA	
	Day to Day	Accour		gister comprising of ash Register, Bank n lodged		Yes	
	(ii) Whether of by candidate	duly swo	orn in affidavit l	has been submitted		Yes	
	(iii) Whether election exper			espect of items of		Yes	
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account		Yes		
	(v) Whether a routed throug		diture (except p	Yes			
18.	(i) Whether the candidate for		had issued a no	NA			
			date rectified th		NA		
		nts of the	DEO on the al		NA		
19.	candidate cor Shadow Obse	respond rvation l	election expen with the expe Register and Fo		Yes		
	If No, then me Items of expenditure	1	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
ii, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	NA	NA	
TOTAL							
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period				Yes		
21.				mentioned against give the following			

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	100
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	140
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	14
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	140
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		DiPhiNipundindal DAScer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala. (Name of the DEO):

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(3)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. **RULES, 1961** S.No. Description To be filled up by the DEO Kulwant Singh Rana, Village Sokni Da Kot, Post 1. Name & address of the candidate Office Khaniara, Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra, Himachal Pradesh- 176218 Aam Aadmy Party 2. Political Party affiliation, if any 18-Dharamshala 3. No. and name Assembly/Parliamentary of Constituency Sh. Sudhir Sharma Name of the elected candidate 08.12.2022 Date of declaration of result 5. 03.01.2023 6. Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been Yes informed about the date of Account Reconciliation 7. Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting Yes Yes 8. Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) 07.01.2023 9. Last date prescribed for lodging Account Yes 10. Whether the candidate has lodged the account 11. If the candidate has lodged the account, date of 05.01.2023 lodging of account by the candidate: Original (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting 12. Yes Whether account lodged in time NA 12 A. If not lodged in time, period of delay NA 13. If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. 14. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate 14A Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate

4)						445880/-
15.			ection expenses f the Abstract S	443860/-		
16.	expenses of t	he cand	O's opinion, the lidate has been Act, 1951 and 0		Yes	
17.	If No, then plo	ease me	ention the follow	wing defects with		NA ,
	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Rent Register, Catement has bee		Yes	
	(ii) Whether d	luly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes
	(iii) Whether election exper			espect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether s	separate	Bank Account		Yes	
	(v) Whether a routed through		nditure (except paccount	Yes		
18.			had issued a no	NA		
	candidate for i		ig the defect idate rectified th		NA	
		ts of th	e DEO on the a	NA		
19.	candidate cor	respond rvation	election experience with the experience and Formula for the control of the contro		Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Sheller	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TOTAL						
20.		penditu Authori:	oduce his Regi re for insp zed persons		Yes	
21.				s mentioned against give the following		

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	120
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	100
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	No
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	10
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		PstNipundingsk Officer (DC) (Name of the beogmished).

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO -(4)

Name of the State Himachal Pradesh District Kangra Election to the State Legislative Assembly

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961

RULES, 1961								
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO						
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Dr. Abhay Kumar Ashok, Ward No. 14, Village Upperli Dari Post Office, Dari, Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra (HP)- 176057						
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent						
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	18-Dharamshala						
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sudhir Sharma						
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022						
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023						
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes						
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes						
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes						
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023						
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes						
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04.01.2023 Original						
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes						
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA						
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA						
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate							
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-						

15.			ection expenses f the Abstract S	60850/-		
16.	expenses of the	he cand	O's opinion, the lidate has been Act, 1951 and (
17.	If No, then ple details	ease me	ention the follow	wing defects with		NA
	Day to Day	Accou	Expenditure Rent Register, Contement has bee		Yes	
	(ii) Whether d	uly swo	orn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes
	(iii) Whether i			espect of items of		Yes
	(iv) Whether s	separate	Bank Account		Yes	
	(v) Whether a routed through		nditure (except paccount	Yes		
18.			had issued a no	NA		
	candidate for i		ng the defect idate rectified tl	NA		
		ts of the	e DEO on the a	NA		
19.	candidate com	respond vation	election exper with the expe Register and Fo		Yes	
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA '
OTAL						
20.		penditu Authori:		Yes		
21.				mentioned against give the following	,	

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	1-0
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	120
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	1000
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	100
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	620
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		Prishipun Lindal JAS fficer (DC) (Name of the Deoramshala.

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(5)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Vipan Nehria, Village & Post Office Bhagsuna, Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra (HP)-1762		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	18-Dharamshala		
1.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sudhir Sharma		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
j	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes		
	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
).	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	06.01.2023 Original		
	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	•		
1	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-		

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				7,79,877/-			
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged					NA		
					Yes			
	(ii) Whether by candidate	duly sw	vorn in affidavit	has been submitted		Yes		
	(iii) Whether election expe	r requisi enditure	te vouchers in resubmitted	respect of items of		Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election					Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes			
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the				NA			
	candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA			
		nts of th	ne DEO on the a	NA				
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence.					Yes		
	If No, then mo		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate		
i	NA	NA	NA	NA 3	NA	NA		
i, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
OTAL								
20.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes		
21.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-							

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NO
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NO
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	No
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	No
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature
		DistNipunidindal, IASficer (DC) Kangra at Dharamshala. (Name of the DEO):

Date: 7-1-23

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO –(6)

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Subhash Chand Shukla, Village Jhikli Barol, PO Dari, Tehsil Dharamshala, District Kangra (HP)-176057
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Independent
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	18-Dharamshala
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Sh. Sudhir Sharma
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023
0.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
1.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	04.01.2023 Original
2.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
2 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA
3.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA .
4.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	·
łA	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	-

15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement					1,01,084/-	
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961						
17.	If No, then p	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged (ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
						Yes	
	(iii) Whether election expe	r requisi enditure	te vouchers in re submitted	espect of items of		Yes	
	(iv) Whether	separat	e Bank Account	t opened for election		Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account					Yes	
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the				NA		
	candidate for rectifying the defect (ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA		
19.	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes		
	Items of expenditure		Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
, iii	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
OTAL							
0.	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period					Yes	
1.	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-						

	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	100		
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	100		
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?	100		
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	110		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	110		
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate.	Yes		
	(Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Signature		
		Prstileur liedel Afficer (DC) (Name of the Drojamshala.		

Signature of Expenditure Observer

Date: 7-1-23