SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

(a) No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency:

42-GAGRET

(b) Total No. of contesting candidates: 06

08.12.2022

(c) State and District: UNA

(e) Last date of lodging accounts: 07.01.2023

(d) Date of declaration of result of election/bye-election: 08. (f) Name of the elected candidate: CHAITANYA SHARMA

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		10.		11.
S. No.	Name of the candidate and Party Affiliation	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the candidate	Wheth er lodged in the prescri	Whether lodged in the manner required	Grand Total of the expenses incurred/	Whether the DEO agrees with the amount shown by the candidate	Total expenses the Party (As r Part-III of Abs Statement)	s incurred by reported in	Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part III of Abstract Statement		Remarks of the Expendi- ture Observer
				bed format (Yes or No)	by law (Yes or No)	authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure- C13)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Lump sum Amount in cash / cheque given to the candidate (and mention names of donors)	Grand total of other expenses in kind incurred for the candidate	
1.	Chaitanya Sharma Indian National Congress	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	29,54,248	Yes	10,00,000	0	0	0	
2.	Rajesh Kumar Bharatiya Janata Party	07.01.2023	06.01.2023	Yes	Yes	29,35,136	Yes	25,00,000	5,39,425	0	0	
3.	Lekh Raj Katnoria Bahujan Samaj Party	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	43,287	Yes	0	0	0	0	
4.	Manohar Lal Dadwal Aam Aadmi Party	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	1,83,100	Yes	0	0	0	0	
5.	Raghubir Singh Himachal Jan Kranti Party	07.01.2023	05.01.2023	Yes	Yes	47,600	Yes	0	0	32,600 i) Puran Singh ii) Ram Kishan iii) B.K Sharma iv) Jeet Singh v) Ajnesh Mittal vi) Ram Singh vii) Sneh Lata viii) Nitin Singh ix) Raj Kumari x) Sudesh		
6.	Vinod Dadwal (Pintu) Rashtriya Devbhumi Party	07.01.2023	03.01.2023	Yes	Yes	53,404	Yes	0	0	0	0	

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any,

Signature of the DEO (Dc) Una

Date: 08.01.2023

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 01 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

C N -	RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961	To be filled on but he DEC		
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEC		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Chaitanya Sharma		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Indian National Congress		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	42-Gagret		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Chaitanya Sharma		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of	(i) Yes		
	Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing			
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account	Yes		
	Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).			
	(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)			
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the			
	candidate:			
	(i) original account	03.01.2023		
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting			
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for	NA		
	explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of	Rs. 29,54,248/-		
	the Abstract Statement			
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the	Yes		
	candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and			
	C.E. Rules, 1961	Box Manual Control		
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day	Yes		
	Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has			
	been lodged			

S.No.	Description	<u></u>		To be filled up by the DEO		
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	Yes			
	(iii) Whether	requisite	vouchers in re	spect of items of election expenditure	Yes	
	submitted					
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes	
	(iv) Whether	r all expe	nditure (except	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes	
	bank accoun	t				
18.	(i) Whether t	the DEO	had issued a no	tice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
	1 ` ′		date rectified the	•		
	' '		DEO on the ab	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA	
	rectified or n					
19.				ses reported by the candidate correspond ow Observation Register and Folder of	.,	
	1		Yes			
			mention the fo	<u> </u>	A	
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow Observation	Observation Register/folder of evidence	per the	understated by the candidate
				evidence	account submitted	the candidate
			Register	·	by the	
					candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL						1,1
20.	Did the cand	lidate pro				
	for inspectio	n by the	Yes			
	campaign pe	riod				
21.	If DEO does	not agree	e with the facts	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA	
	to above, giv	e the foll		·		
	(i) Were the		NA			
		• .		e Account Reconciliation Meeting		
	(ii) If yes, th		NA			
	discrepancie					
		mention the date of notice				
	(iii) Did the	candidate	NA			
	(iv) If yes, p	lease Anı	nex copies of si	uch explanation received, (with English	NA	·
	translation o	of the sam	e, if it is in reg	ional language) and mention date of		
	reply					

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01-2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)
		(RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS) DEO (DC) Una

08-01-2023 Date:

Signature of the E

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

** The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like

giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 02 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 To be filled up by the DEO Description S.No. Name & address of the candidate Rajesh Kumar 1. Political Party affiliation, if any Bharatiya Janata Party 2. No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency 42-Gagret 3. Name of the elected candidate Chaitanya Sharma 4. 08.12.2022 Date of declaration of result 5. 03.01.2023 6. Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting (i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of 7. (i) Yes Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing (ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting (i) Yes Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Yes 8. Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19) 07.01.2023 9. Last date prescribed for lodging Account Yes 10. Whether the candidate has lodged the account If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the 11. candidate: 06.01.2023 (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting Yes 12. Whether account lodged in time NA 12 A. If not lodged in time, period of delay NA If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for 13. explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof. NA 14. Explanation, if any, given by the candidate NA Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate 14 A. Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of Rs. 29,35,136/-15. the Abstract Statement Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the Yes 16. candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961 NA If No, then please mention the following defects with details 17. (i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Yes Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged

S.No.	Description	1			To be filled up by the DEO		
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	rn in affidavit	has been submitted by candidate	Yes		
	(iii) Whether	requisite	vouchers in re	espect of items of election expenditure	Yes		
	submitted						
				opened for election	Yes		
	(iv) Whethe	r all expe	nditure (excep	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes		
	bank accoun	t		1			
18.	` '	the DEO	had issued a no				
	defect				No		
	` '		date rectified to				
			DEO on the ab	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA		
10	rectified or n		1				
19.			•	ses reported by the candidate correspond	•		
	1			ow Observation Register and Folder of	Yes		
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount	
	expenditure	Date	Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by	
	expenditure		Observation	evidence .	account	the candidate	
			Register	evidence >	submitted	the candidate	
			ixogistor		by the		
					candidate		
i				NA			
TOTAL							
20.	Did the cand	idate pro	duce his Regist	er of Accounting Election Expenditure			
	1	·	Observer/RO/A	uthorized persons 3 times during	Yes		
	campaign pe						
21.		_		mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA		
			owing details:				
	``		•	brought to the notice of the candidate	NA		
				e Account Reconciliation Meeting	BT 4		
	` ' ' ' '		•	e notices issued relating to n (if it is in regional language) and	NA		
	_						
	mention the date of notice (iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				NA		

	(iv) If yes, p	lease Ann	nex copies of su	sch explanation received, (with English	NA		
	translation of	f the same	e, if it is in regi	onal language) and mention date of			
	reply						

•

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA		
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes		
	Date: 08.01-2023	Signature July		
		(RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)		
		DEO (DC) Una		

Date: 08-01-2023

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 03 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961					
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO			
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Lekh Raj Katnoria			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Bahujan Samaj Party			
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	42-Gagret			
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Chaitanya Sharma			
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022			
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023			
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes			
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes			
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes			
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023			
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes			
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023			
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes			
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA			
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA			
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA			
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 43,287/-			
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA			

S.No.	Description			To be filled up by the MDEO		
	(i) Whether E Account Reg been lodged		Yes			
	(ii) Whether	duly swo	Yes			
				espect of items of election expenditure	Yes	
	submitted					
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes	
	(iv) Whether	•	nditure (excep	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes	
18.	(i) Whether t	he DEO	had issued a no	otice to the candidate for rectifying the		
	defect				No	
	(ii) Whether	the candi	idate rectified t	he defect		
	(iii) Commer	nts of the	NA			
	rectified or n	ot.				
19.	Whether the	items of				
	with the expe	enses sho	Yes			
	Evidence. If	No, then				
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	•	Amount as	Amount
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated
			Observation	evidence	account	by the
			Register		submitted	candidate
					by the	
				NA	candidate	
i				NA		
TOTAL	D114 1	• • •	1 11 7	DI C DI C		
20.		•	_	ter of Accounting Election Expenditure	*7	
	campaign pe	Ť	Observer/RO/A	authorized persons 3 times during	Yes	
21.	If DEO does	not agre	e with the facts	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA	
ı	to above, giv	e the fol	lowing details:	-		
	(i) Were the	defects n	NA			
	during camp	aign peri				
	` ' •			ne notices issued relating to	NA	
			_	on (if it is in regional language) and		
	mention the					
	(iii) Did the	candidate	e give any reply	to the notice?	NA	

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA .
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
Para Para	Date: 08.01-2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)
		DEO(DC) Una

Date: 08.01-2023

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 04 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

	DEO'S SCRUTINY REPORT ON ELECTION EXPENSES OF THE CANDIDATE UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961					
S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEC				
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Manohar Lal Dadwal				
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Aam Aadmi Party				
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	42-Gagret				
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Chaitanya Sharma				
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022				
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023				
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes				
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes				
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes				
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023				
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes				
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023				
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes				
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA				
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA				
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA				
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA				
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement	Rs. 1,83,100/-				
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes				
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA				
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes				

S.No.	Description		·		To be filled up by the DEO		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate						
	(iii) Whether	requisite	Yes				
	submitted					£ .	
	(iv) Whether	separate	Yes				
	(iv) Whether	r all expe	nditure (except	t petty expenditure) routed through	Yes		
	bank accoun	t					
18.	(i) Whether t	he DEO	had issued a no	tice to the candidate for rectifying the			
	defect				No		
	(ii) Whether	the candi	date rectified the	he defect			
	` ´		DEO on the ab	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA		
	rectified or n						
19.				ses reported by the candidate correspond			
	-			ow Observation Register and Folder of	Yes		
	Evidence. If						
	Items of	Date	Page No. of	Mention amount as per the Shadow	Amount as	Amount	
	expenditure		Shadow	Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by	
			Observation	evidence	account submitted	the candidate	
			Register		by the		
					candidate		
i				NA			
TOTAL		J					
20.	Did the cand	idate pro	duce his Regist	er of Accounting Election Expenditure		<u> </u>	
	for inspection	n by the (Observer/RO/A	uthorized persons 3 times during	Yes		
	campaign pe	riod		•			
21.	If DEO does	not agree	e with the facts	mentioned against Row No. 19 referred	NA		
	to above, giv	e the foll	owing details:	-			
	(i) Were the	defects n	NA				
				e Account Reconciliation Meeting			
			e notices issued relating to	NA			
	_		-	n (if it is in regional language) and			
	mention the						
	(iii) Did the	candidate	NA				
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English				NA		
	translation of	f the same	e, if it is in regi	onal language) and mention date of			
	reply			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes
	Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)
		DEO (DC) Una

Date: 08-01-2023

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 05 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO Raghubir Singh		
1.	Name & address of the candidate			
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Himachal Jan Kranti Party		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	42-Gagret		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Chaitanya Sharma		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	(i) Yes		
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes		
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	05.01.2023		
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.	NA		
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement Rs. 47,600/-			
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961	Yes		
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged	Yes		

S.No.	Description				To be filled up by the DEO		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate					Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure						
	submitted						
	(iv) Whether	separate	Bank Account	opened for election	Yes		
	(iv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through						
	bank account						
18.	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the						
	defect						
1	` ′		date rectified t				
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was					NA	
10	rectified or n		-1	. 11 .1 .11 . 1			
19.			•	ses reported by the candidate correspond ow Observation Register and Folder of	V		
	Evidence. If		Yes				
	Items of	Date	Amount as	Amount			
	expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of	per the	understated by	
	Схронанию		Observation	evidence	account	the candidate	
			Register		submitted	the canadate	
					by the		
:					candidate		
i				NA			
TOTAL							
20.	Did the cand	idate pro	Trans.	•			
	for inspection	•	Yes				
	campaign period						
21.	If DEO does	_	NA				
	to above, giv						
	(i) Were the		NA				
	during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting (ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to						
			NA				
	discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice						
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				NA		
	(iii) Did tile (candidate	NA				
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English				NA		
	translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of				- ·		
	reply						
	1						

7S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA		
22.	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes		
	Date: 08-01-2013	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)		
		DEO (DG) Una		

Date: 08.01. 2023

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO: 06 Name of the State HIMACHAL PRADESH District UNA Election-VSE-2022

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEC		
1.	Name & address of the candidate	Vinod Dadwal (Pintu)		
2.	Political Party affiliation, if any	Rashtriya Devbhumi Party		
3.	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	42-Gagret		
4.	Name of the elected candidate	Chaitanya Sharma		
5.	Date of declaration of result	08.12.2022		
6.	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	03.01.2023		
7.	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of	(i) Yes		
	Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing			
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	(i) Yes		
8.	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account	Yes		
	Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No).			
	(If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)			
9.	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	07.01.2023		
10.	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes		
11.	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the			
	candidate:			
	(i) original account	03.01.2023		
	(ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting			
12.	Whether account lodged in time	Yes		
12 A.	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA		
13.	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for	NA		
	explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.			
14.	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate	NA		
14 A.	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate	NA		
15.	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of	Rs. 53,404/-		
	the Abstract Statement			
16.	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the	Yes		
	candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and			
	C.E. Rules, 1961			
17.	If No, then please mention the following defects with details	NA		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day-to-Day	Yes		
	Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has			

ii) Whether ubmitted v) Whether v) Whether ank account) Whether the	requisite separate all expe	vouchers in re	has been submitted by candidate espect of items of election expenditure opened for election	Yes Yes			
ubmitted v) Whether v) Whether ank account) Whether th	separate all expe	Bank Account		Yes			
v) Whether v) Whether ank account) Whether th	all expe		opened for election				
v) Whether ank account) Whether th	all expe		opened for election				
ank account) Whether th	•	nditure (except		Yes			
) Whether th	:		(iv) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through				
	bank account						
	ne DEO 1	-					
efect				No			
•		date rectified the					
,		DEO on the ab	pove, i.e. whether the defect was	NA .			
				-,			
-			•	Yes			
				A			
	Date		•		Amount		
xpenaiture				_	understated		
			evidence		by the candidate		
		Register			Candidate		
				•			
			NA				
Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure							
for inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during					Yes		
campaign period							
If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred							
to above, give the following details: -							
(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate					NA		
during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting							
				NA			
				DT.			
(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice?				NA			
(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English				NA			
translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply							
	d the candiar inspection mpaign per DEO does above, give Were the carring campa of the carrin	the the items of of the the expenses showidence. If No, then tems of penditure The candidate product in the candidate product in the defects not agree above, give the follower the defects not ring campaign period. If yes, then annex screpancies with Entention the date of not in the date of not anslation of the same anslation of	hether the items of election expense th the expenses shown in the Shad ridence. If No, then mention the forms of Date Page No. of Shadow Observation Register d the candidate produce his Register inspection by the Observer/RO/A mpaign period DEO does not agree with the facts above, give the following details: Were the defects noticed by DEO pring campaign period or during the screpancies with English translation ention the date of notice i) If yes, then annex copies of all the screpancies with English translation ention the date of notice ii) Did the candidate give any reply the strength of the same, if it is in regions and the same is in the same, if it is in regions and the same is in the same, if it is in regions and the same is in the same in the same in the same is in the same in the same in the same in the same is in the same in	hether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond the the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of ridence. If No, then mention the following: It No, then mention the following the Shadow Observation Register of Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence It No, then mention the following Register and Folder of the Shadow Observation Register of Accounting Election Expenditure or inspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during mpaign period DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred above, give the following details: - Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate ring campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to screpancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and ention the date of notice If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English anslation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of	hether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond that the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of vidence. If No, then mention the following: The sof Date Page No. of Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence Page No. of Shadow Observation Register/folder of evidence Per inspection by the Observation Page Page No. of No. 19 referred account submitted by the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure Prinspection by the Observer/RO/Authorized persons 3 times during Page Page No. 19 referred above, give the following details: Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate pring campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting of the screpancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and ention the date of notice in Did the candidate give any reply to the notice? NA If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English anslation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of NA inslation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of		

Description	To be filled up by the DEO		
(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA		
Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)	Yes		
Date: 08.01.2023	Signature (RAGHAV SHARMA, IAS)		
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO)		

Date: 08.01. 2023

^{*} If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

^{**} The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission. If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.